

# Arithmetic subgroups whose representations all map into $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$

Dave Witte Morris

University of Lethbridge, Alberta, Canada  
<http://people.uleth.ca/~dave.morris>  
 Dave.Morris@uleth.ca

Suppose  $\Gamma$  is an arithmetic subgroup of a semisimple Lie group  $G$ . For any finite-dimensional representation  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ , a classical paper of J. Tits determines whether  $\rho(\Gamma)$  is conjugate to a subgroup of  $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ . Combining this with a well-known surjectivity result in Galois cohomology provides a short proof of the known fact that every  $G$  has an arithmetic subgroup  $\Gamma$ , such that the containment is true for every representation  $\rho$ . We will not assume the audience is acquainted with Galois cohomology or the theorem of Tits.

$G =$  linear semisimple Lie group (no compact factors)  
 $\doteq \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$  semisimple over  $\mathbb{R}$  (no anisotropic factors)  
 $\Gamma =$  arithmetic subgroup

Definition of arithmeticity

$$\Rightarrow \exists \rho: \tilde{G} \hookrightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{R}), \rho(\Gamma) \subseteq GL_n(\mathbb{Z}).$$

## Definition

$\Gamma$  **universally arithmetic**  $\Leftrightarrow \forall \rho: \tilde{G} \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{R}),$   
 $\exists M \in GL_n(\mathbb{R}), M \rho(\Gamma) M^{-1} \subseteq GL_n(\mathbb{Z}).$

Eg.  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  is universally arithmetic in  $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

## Proposition (Morris [2004])

Every  $G$  has a universally arithmetic subgroup  $\Gamma$ .

## Definition

$\Gamma$  **universally arithmetic**  $\Leftrightarrow \forall \rho: \tilde{G} \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{R}),$   
 $\exists M \in GL_n(\mathbb{R}), M \rho(\Gamma) M^{-1} \subseteq GL_n(\mathbb{Z}).$

Eg.  $\Gamma$  irred in  $G_1 \times G_2$

$\Rightarrow \pi_1(\Gamma)$  is dense in  $G_1$ , so  $\not\subseteq (G_1)_{\mathbb{Z}}$   
 $\Rightarrow \Gamma$  **not** universally arithmetic.

$\Gamma$  universally arithmetic in  $G_1 \times G_2$

$\Rightarrow \Gamma \doteq \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$  and  $\Gamma_i$  univ arith in  $G_i$ .

**Warning.** Converse is not true.

**Prop.** List (up to commens) all univ arith subgrps  
 of simple  $G$ . (could also do semisimple)

## Proposition (Morris [2004])

Every  $G$  has a universally arithmetic subgroup  $\Gamma$ .

Reps of  $G \leftrightarrow$  Reps of  $\mathfrak{g}$  (characteristic 0)

So can restate (stronger) in terms of Lie algebras.

## Definition

Semisimple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is  **$\mathbb{R}$ -universal**:

$\forall$  homo  $\rho: \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{R})$  ( $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear),  
 $\exists M \in GL_n(\mathbb{R}), M \rho(\mathfrak{g}) M^{-1} \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{Q}).$

## Proposition (Raghunathan [1982], Morris [2004])

Every semisimple  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$  has an  $\mathbb{R}$ -universal  $\mathbb{Q}$ -form:

$\forall \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}, \exists \mathbb{R}$ -universal  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \mathbb{R}.$

## Proposition (Raghunathan [1982], Morris [2004])

Every semisimple  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$  has an  $\mathbb{R}$ -universal  $\mathbb{Q}$ -form.

- 1 My original proof was tedious (and explicit).
- 2 Better pf (conceptual) by Prasad-Rapinchuk [2006].
- 3 Today: another proof (direct, natural).

## Exercise

$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$   $\mathbb{R}$ -univ  $\Rightarrow$  irred reps over  $\mathbb{Q}$  remain irred over  $\mathbb{R}$   
 $\Leftrightarrow$  "weakly  $\mathbb{R}$ -universal"

Converse often holds (split over quad ext, same  $*$ -action)

Eg.  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  split  $\Rightarrow$  irred rep is highest-weight module  $V_{\lambda}$   
 $\Rightarrow V_{\lambda} \otimes \mathbb{C}$  irred  $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  weakly  $\mathbb{R}$ -universal.

Eg.  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  split  $\Rightarrow$  irred rep is highest-weight module  $V_{\lambda}$   
 $\Rightarrow V_{\lambda} \otimes \mathbb{C}$  irred  $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  weakly  $\mathbb{R}$ -universal.

In general,  $\rho \otimes \mathbb{C} = \sum_i V_{\lambda_i}, \{\lambda_i\} = \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$ -orbit.

Today, **assume**  $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{g} = G$  (or  $\mathfrak{g}$  is "inner form").  
 So all  $\lambda_i$ 's **equal**:  $\rho \otimes \mathbb{C} = mV_{\lambda}$ . Notation:  $\rho = \rho_{\lambda}$ .

## Schur's Lemma

$\rho: \mathfrak{g}_F \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_n(F) \quad C_L(\rho) = C_{\text{Mat}_{n \times n}(L)}(\rho(\mathfrak{g}_F))$   
 $\rho$  irreducible over  $L \Leftrightarrow C_L(\rho)$  is division algebra.

**Cor.**  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  weakly  $\mathbb{R}$ -universal  $\Leftrightarrow$

$\forall \mathbb{Q}$ -irred  $\rho, C_{\mathbb{Q}}(\rho) \otimes \mathbb{R} = C_{\mathbb{R}}(\rho)$  is div alg.

Tits: use **Galois cohomology** to calculate  $C_F(\rho_{\lambda})$ .

## How to use Galois cohomology

$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) =$  complex semisimple Lie algebra

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R}) =$  **split**  $\mathbb{R}$ -form

$\sigma: \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$  complex conjugation

$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} =$  any  $\mathbb{R}$ -form =  $\mathbb{R}$ -span of  $\mathbb{C}$ -basis, constns in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

So  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} + i\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Cplx conj w.r.t.  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$  is  $\sigma_{\mathbb{R}}: \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

$\sigma$  and  $\sigma_{\mathbb{R}}$  are conjugate-linear Lie alg autos of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ ,  
so  $t_{\mathbb{R}} := \sigma_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma^{-1} \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) = G_{\mathbb{C}}$ . **Note:**  $t_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma t_{\mathbb{R}} = 1$ .  
 $\{\mathbb{R}\text{-forms of } \mathfrak{g}\} \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{C}} \quad t_{\mathbb{R}} \in H^1(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}); G_{\mathbb{C}})$

$\exists \alpha_{\mathbb{R}}: \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{g}'_{\mathbb{R}} \Leftrightarrow \exists \alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}), \sigma'_{\mathbb{R}} = \alpha \sigma_{\mathbb{R}} \alpha^{-1}$   
 $\Leftrightarrow \exists x \in G_{\mathbb{C}}, t'_{\mathbb{R}} = \sigma'_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma^{-1} = x t_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma x^{-1} \sigma^{-1} = x t_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{x}^{-1}$   
 $t'_{\mathbb{R}}$  is "cohomologous" to  $t_{\mathbb{R}}$

$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}$ -form of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightsquigarrow t_{\mathbb{R}} \in G_{\mathbb{C}} \quad t_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{t}_{\mathbb{R}} = 1$

## Tits calculated $C_{\mathbb{R}}(\rho_{\lambda})$ from $\lambda$ [1971]

$z_{\mathbb{R}} := t_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{t}_{\mathbb{R}} \in Z := Z(\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{C}})$  in  $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

In fact,  $\bar{z}_{\mathbb{R}} = z_{\mathbb{R}}$ , so  $z_{\mathbb{R}} \in Z_{\mathbb{R}}$ . So  $\lambda(z_{\mathbb{R}}) \in \{\pm 1\}$ .

$$C_{\mathbb{R}}(\rho) \doteq \left( \frac{-1, \lambda(z_{\mathbb{R}})}{\mathbb{R}} \right) \doteq \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & \text{if } \lambda(z_{\mathbb{R}}) = 1; \\ \mathbb{H} & \text{if } \lambda(z_{\mathbb{R}}) = -1. \end{cases}$$

$\mathbb{Q}$ -form  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightsquigarrow t_{\mathbb{Q}} \in G_L$  if  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  splits over  $L = \mathbb{Q}[i]$ .

$$C_{\mathbb{Q}}(\rho) \doteq \left( \frac{-1, \lambda(z_{\mathbb{Q}})}{\mathbb{Q}} \right) \doteq \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & \text{if } \lambda(z_{\mathbb{Q}}) = 1; \\ \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{Q}} & \text{if } \lambda(z_{\mathbb{Q}}) = -1. \end{cases}$$

**Cor.**  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  weakly  $\mathbb{R}$ -universal (split over quadratic extension)

$\Leftrightarrow \forall \mathbb{Q}$ -irred  $\rho, C_{\mathbb{Q}}(\rho) \otimes \mathbb{R} = C_{\mathbb{R}}(\rho)$  is div alg

$\Leftrightarrow \forall \lambda, \lambda(z_{\mathbb{Q}}) \neq 1 \Rightarrow \lambda(z_{\mathbb{R}}) \neq 1$ .

**Cor.**  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$   $\mathbb{R}$ -univ  $\Leftrightarrow \forall \lambda, \lambda(z_{\mathbb{R}}) = 1 \Rightarrow \lambda(z_{\mathbb{Q}}) = 1$ .

## Proposition (Ragunathan [1982], Morris [2004])

Every semisimple  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$  has an  $\mathbb{R}$ -universal  $\mathbb{Q}$ -form.

## Outline of proof (for inner forms).

$t_{\mathbb{R}} \in G_{\mathbb{C}} =$  adjoint grp,  $z_{\mathbb{R}} := t_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{t}_{\mathbb{R}} \in Z(\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{C}}) =: Z_{\mathbb{C}}$ .  
 $\bar{z}_{\mathbb{R}} = z_{\mathbb{R}} \Rightarrow z \in Z_{\mathbb{R}} = Z_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . (inner form)

So  $\hat{G}_{\mathbb{C}} := \tilde{G}_{\mathbb{C}} / \langle z \rangle$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -group.

$t_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{t}_{\mathbb{R}} = z = 1$  in  $\hat{G}_{\mathbb{C}} \Rightarrow t_{\mathbb{R}}$  is coho to  $t_{\mathbb{Q}} \in \hat{G}_{\mathbb{Q}[i]}$ .  
 $H^1(\mathbb{Q}[i]/\mathbb{Q}; \hat{G}_{\mathbb{Q}[i]}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}; \hat{G}_{\mathbb{C}})$  [~Kneser]

Let  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  be  $\mathbb{Q}$ -form corresponding to  $t_{\mathbb{Q}}$

= fixed points of  $t_{\mathbb{Q}} \sigma$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}[i]}$

$\lambda(z_{\mathbb{R}}) = 1 \Rightarrow \lambda(\langle z_{\mathbb{R}} \rangle) = 1 \Rightarrow \lambda(z_{\mathbb{Q}}) = 1$ .  $\square$

## A list of references is in the bibliography of:

Dave Witte Morris:

A cohomological proof that real representations of semisimple Lie algebras have  $\mathbb{Q}$ -forms (preprint).

arxiv:1410.2339