

## Lecture 3. Random Fourier measurements

- 1 Sampling from Fourier matrices
- 2 Law of Large Numbers and its operator-valued versions
- 3 Frames. Rudelson's Selection Theorem

## Sampling from Fourier matrices

- Our measurements = random **frequencies** of a signal  $f$ .
- The frequencies are values of the (discrete) Fourier transform (DFT).
- DFT is a linear operator in  $\mathbb{C}^d$ , given by a  $d \times d$  DFT matrix

$$\psi_{\omega,t} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \exp(-i2\pi\omega t/d), \quad \omega, t \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}.$$

- More generally,  $\Psi$  is **any orthogonal matrix** with entries  $O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}})$ .
- The discrete Fourier transform of  $f \in \mathbb{C}^d$  is

$$\hat{f} = \Psi f.$$

## Sampling from Fourier matrices

- **Measurements** of a signal  $f$  are  $N$  random frequencies

$$\{\hat{f}(\omega), \omega \in \Omega\},$$

where  $\Omega$  is a random subset of  $\{0, \dots, d-1\}$  of size  $N$ .

- **Measurement matrix:**  $\Phi = N \times d$  minor of the DFT matrix  $\Psi$  with rows in the random subset  $\Omega$ :

$$\Psi = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \text{ } \\ \Phi \\ \text{ } \end{array} \right] \Omega, |\Omega| = N$$

d

Thus the measurements of  $f$  are given by  $\Phi f$ .

- **Fourier Reconstruction Problem.** When can we reconstruct any  $n$ -sparse signal  $f$  in  $\mathbb{C}^d$  from the  $N$  Fourier measurements?

## Reconstruction from Fourier measurements

- **Fourier Reconstruction Problem.** Can we reconstruct an  $n$ -sparse signal  $f$  in  $\mathbb{C}^d$  from the  $N$  Fourier measurements  $\widehat{f^*}(\omega)$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$ ?
- Reconstruct using the **convex optimization problem**

$$\text{minimize } \|f^*\|_1 \text{ subject to } \widehat{f^*}(\omega) = \widehat{f}(\omega), \omega \in \Omega.$$

- How many measurements  $N = N(n, d)$  are needed?
- **Non-uniform result:** For a given  $f$ , the random set of  $N \sim n \log d$  frequencies is good with high probability:  $f$  is reconstructed correctly from these frequencies [Candes-Romberg-Tao]. Optimal.
- **Uniform result:** With high probability, the random set of  $N \sim n \log^4 d$  frequencies is good for every  $f$ .
- Suffices to prove: **a random minor of DFT is a restricted isometry.**
- [Candes-Tao]:  $N \sim n \log^6 d$ ; [Rudelson-V.]:  $N \sim n \log^4 d$ .
- **Conjecture:**  $N \sim n \log d$ .  
Improving beyond  $N \sim n \log d \log \log d$  must be hard.  
(Related to  $\Lambda_1$ -conjecture; Bourgain-Talagrand).

## Random sampling

- We sample  $N$  frequencies.
- Thus our measurement matrix  $\Phi$  is a **random sample** ( $N$  rows) **of the DFT matrix**  $\Psi$  (total of  $d$  rows):

$$\Psi = \left. \begin{array}{c} \text{ } \\ \text{ } \\ \Phi \\ \text{ } \\ \text{ } \end{array} \right\} \Omega, |\Omega| = N$$

$d$

- We need to understand random sampling in matrices (operators).

# Law of Large Numbers

- Fundamental principle of random sampling:

**Law of Large Numbers** (LLN).

It states that the empirical averages converge to true averages.

- Classical form: for **reals** rather than matrices.

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_N$  be independent copies of a random variable  $X$ .

Our goal: to estimate the **mean**  $\mathbb{E}X$  using the sample  $X_1, \dots, X_N$ .

- Approximate by the **empirical mean**

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N X_k \approx \mathbb{E}X.$$

- How good is this approximation?

# Law of Large Numbers

## Proposition (LLN)

$$E := \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N X_k - \mathbb{E}X \right| \lesssim \frac{\sigma(X)}{\sqrt{N}},$$

where  $\sigma(X)$  is the standard deviation of  $X$ .

## Proof.

**Symmetrization:** reducing general r.v.'s  $X_k$  to Bernoulli  $\varepsilon_k$ .

Let  $X'_k$  be independent copy of  $X_k$ . Then

$$E = \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N X_k - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N X'_k \right| = \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (X_k - X'_k) \right|.$$

$(X_k - X'_k)$  is a symmetric random variable, thus distributed identically with  $\varepsilon_k(X_k - X'_k)$ . Hence

$$E \lesssim \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \varepsilon_k (X_k - X'_k) \right| \leq \frac{2}{N} \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{k=1}^N \varepsilon_k X_k \right|.$$

We **condition** on  $(X_k)$ ; the randomness remains in the Bernoulli  $(\varepsilon_k)$ .

### Theorem (Khinchine's Inequality)

For reals  $(a_k)$  and  $0 < p < \infty$ ,

$$A_p \left( \sum_k |a_k|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \left( \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_k \varepsilon_k a_k \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq B_p \left( \sum_k |a_k|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

where  $B_p = O(\sqrt{p})$ . The reverse inequality also holds.

We condition on  $(X_k)$  and take expectation with respect to  $(\varepsilon_k)$ .

By Khinchine's inequality with  $p = 1$ ,

$$E \lesssim \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_k |X_k|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} (\mathbb{E}|X|^2)^{1/2} = \frac{\sigma(X)}{\sqrt{N}}. \quad \square$$



## Law of Large Numbers for operators

- We sample from matrices (linear operators) rather than reals. Need LLN for operators (“**Non-commutative**” version).
- Let  $X_1, \dots, X_N$  be independent copies of a random **linear operator**  $X$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .  
Our goal: to learn the **mean**  $\mathbb{E}X$  from the sample  $X_1, \dots, X_N$ .
- Approximate by the **empirical mean**

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N X_k \approx \mathbb{E}X$$

in the operator norm.

- Will the scalar argument carry over here? Symmetrization does. But is there a Khintchine’s inequality for linear operators?
- Yes, but for the **Schatten class** norm rather than the operator norm.

## Schatten class

- Schatten class  $C_p^n$  consists of all operators on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the norm

$$\|A\|_{C_p^n} = \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k(A)^p \right)^{1/p},$$

where  $\lambda_k(A)$  are the singular values (eigenvalues of  $|A| = \sqrt{A^*A}$ ).

- $p = \infty$ : operator norm.
- $p = 2$ : Frobenius (Hilbert-Schmidt) norm.
- By Hölder's inequality,  $\|A\| \leq \|A\|_{C_p}^n \leq n^{1/p} \|A\|$ .
- Schatten norm is equivalent to the operator norm for  $p = \log n$ :

$$\|A\| \leq \|A\|_{C_p}^n \leq e \|A\|.$$

# Non-commutative Khintchine's inequality

## Theorem (Non-commutative Khintchine's [Lust-Picquard])

For self-adjoint operators  $(A_k)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $2 \leq p < \infty$ ,

$$A_p \left\| \left( \sum_k A_k^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{C_p^n} \leq \left( \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_k \varepsilon_k A_k \right\|_{C_p^n}^p \right)^{1/p} \leq B_p \left\| \left( \sum_k A_k^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{C_p^n},$$

where  $B_p = O(\sqrt{p})$ . The reverse inequality also holds.

- A version holds for  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  [Lust-Picquard, Pisier].
- For the operator norm:  
use the equivalence of the norms (with  $p = \log n$ ):

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_k \varepsilon_k A_k \right\| \lesssim \sqrt{\log n} \left\| \left( \sum_k A_k^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|.$$

- Now we are ready to prove LLN for random operators:

# Law of Large Numbers for operators

## Theorem (LLN for operators)

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_N$  be independent copies of a random positive self-adjoint operator  $X$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

$$E := \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N X_k - \mathbb{E}X \right\| \lesssim \sqrt{\log n} \cdot \frac{\sigma(X)}{\sqrt{N}},$$

where  $\sigma(X) = \sqrt{\|X\|_\infty \|\mathbb{E}X\|}$ .

Differences with the real-valued case:

- Factor  $\sqrt{\log n}$  is needed and is optimal.
- Standard deviation  $\sigma(X)$  replaced by a bound of  $L^\infty \cdot L^1$  type. Typically larger.

# Proof of LLN for random operators

## Proof.

By the symmetrization and Khintchine's inequality,

$$E \lesssim \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^N \varepsilon_k X_k \right\| \lesssim \frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{N} \mathbb{E} \left\| \left( \sum_{k=1}^n X_k^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|.$$

Can' move the expectation inside the norm! Will relate the RHS to  $E$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left\| \left( \sum_{k=1}^n X_k^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\| &= \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n X_k^2 \right\|^{1/2} \leq \|X\|_\infty \left( \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n X_k \right\| \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{N} \|X\|_\infty \left( \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k \right\| \right)^{1/2} \leq \sqrt{N} \|X\|_\infty (E + \|\mathbb{E}X\|)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these two inequalities gives  $E \lesssim \sqrt{\frac{\log n}{N}} (E + \|\mathbb{E}X\|)^{1/2}$ .  
Solving for  $E$  completes the proof. □

# Frames

## Definition

A system of vectors  $(x_k)$  in a Hilbert space is called a **tight frame** if it satisfies Parseval's identity:

$$\sum_k |\langle x_k, x \rangle|^2 = \|x\|^2 \quad \text{for every vector } x.$$

- Examples:

- ▶ Orthonormal bases
- ▶ Orthonormal bases repeated 10 times and multiplied by  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}}$
- ▶ Orthogonal projections of orthonormal bases from a higher dimensional space (characterizes all frames)

- $(x_k)$  is a tight frame  $\Leftrightarrow \sum_k x_k \otimes x_k = I$ .

Recall:  $x_k \otimes x_k$  is a rank-one linear operator that acts as

$(x_k \otimes x_k)(x) = \langle x_k, x \rangle x_k$ . In terms of matrices,  $x_k \otimes x_k = x_k^T x_k$ .

- **$\epsilon$ -tight frames**: the identities hold up to error  $\epsilon$ .

# Frames

- **Duality for tight frames.**

The rows of a matrix  $A$  form a tight frame  $\Leftrightarrow A$  is an isometry.

$$A = \begin{array}{|c} \hline x_k \\ \hline \end{array}$$

- **Duality  $\varepsilon$ -tight frames.**

The rows of a matrix  $A$  form an  $\varepsilon$ -tight frame  $\Leftrightarrow A$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -isometry:

$$(1 - \varepsilon)\|x\| \leq \|Ax\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|x\| \quad \text{for all } x.$$

## Rudelson's Selection Theorem

- The number of frame elements must be at least the dimension  $n$  of the space.
- **Conjecture.** Every tight frame in dimension  $n$  has a subset of size  $C(\varepsilon)n$ , and which is an  $\varepsilon$ -tight frame (possibly after appropriate normalization).
- **Rudelson's Selection Theorem:** true for a *random* subset of size  $C(\varepsilon)n \log n$ .
- $\log n$  is needed for *random* subsets. (Ex.: repeated o.n.bases).
- Reduces the size of the frame to almost linear in the dimension, *independently of the original size* of the frame!



# Rudelson's Selection Theorem

## Theorem (Rudelson's Selection Theorem)

Let  $(x_k)$  be a tight frame in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choose a random  **$N$ -element subset** of  $(x_k)$  by selecting each element independently with probability proportional to  $\|x_k\|^2$ . Then, with high probability, this (appropriately normalized) subset is an  $\varepsilon$ -tight frame of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , provided

$$N \sim C(\varepsilon)n \log n.$$

- Normalization:  $\sqrt{\frac{n}{N}} \cdot \frac{x_k}{\|x_k\|}$ .

# Proof of Rudelson's Selection Theorem

## Proof.

Since  $(x_k)$  is a tight frame,

$$I = \sum_k x_k \otimes x_k. \quad (*)$$

In particular,  $\sum_k \|x_k\|^2 = n$  (take the trace).

We shall view  $(*)$  as the **expectation of a random operator**.

With probabilities  $p_k := \frac{\|x_k\|^2}{n}$  and normalization  $\bar{x}_k = \frac{x_k}{\sqrt{p}}$ , we rewrite

$$I = \sum_k p_k \bar{x}_k \otimes \bar{x}_k = \mathbb{E}X,$$

where  $X$  takes values  $\bar{x}_k \otimes \bar{x}_k$  with probability  $p_k$ .

The probabilities and the normalization enforce **even contributions** of all values to the random operator  $X$ :  $\|\bar{x}_k\| = \sqrt{n}$  for all  $k$ .

So, we have a random operator  $X$ , which takes values  $\bar{x}_k \otimes \bar{x}_k$ , and such that

$$\mathbb{E}X = I.$$

Apply LLN for random operators. First check that  $\|X\|_\infty = \max_k \|\bar{x}_k\|^2 = n$ , hence

$$\sigma(X) = \sqrt{\|X\|_\infty \|\mathbb{E}X\|} = \sqrt{n}.$$

Then LLN gives

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N X_j - I \right\| \lesssim \sqrt{\log n} \cdot \frac{\sigma(X)}{\sqrt{N}} = \sqrt{\frac{n \log n}{N}} \leq \varepsilon, \quad (*)$$

once  $N \geq \varepsilon^{-2} n \log n$ .

Fix a realization  $X_j = \bar{x}_{k_j} \otimes \bar{x}_{k_j}$ . By duality, (\*) means that the set  $(x_{k_j})$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -tight frame (after an appropriate normalization).  $\square$

## Application to Fourier measurements

- Our measurement matrix  $\Phi$  is  $N$  random rows of the DFT matrix  $\Psi$ :

$$\Psi = \left. \begin{array}{c} \text{ } \\ \Phi \\ \text{ } \end{array} \right\} \Omega, |\Omega| = N$$

$d$

- Want to show that  $\Phi$  is a restricted isometry: every minor  $\Phi_T$ ,  $|T| = n$ , is an  $\varepsilon$ -isometry ( $\varepsilon = 0.2$ ).
- **Fix  $T$  for now.** By the frame duality, this condition is equivalent to the following: **the rows of  $\Phi_T$  form an  $\varepsilon$ -tight frame.**
- These rows are sampled randomly from the rows of  $\Psi_T$  (amber matrix), which form a tight frame (again by the frame duality).
- By Rudelson's Selection Theorem, if  $N \sim n \log n$  then the random sample is indeed an  $\varepsilon$ -tight frame. Restricted isometry proved.
- **How to unfix  $T$ ?** Not easy. The probability for fixed  $T$  is insufficient to take the union bound over all  $T$  (there are  $\binom{d}{n}$  of them).
- Have to handle all  $T$  at once. [Candes-Tao], [Rudelson-V.]