

Algebraic methods to construct tensors

Mateusz Michałek

University of Konstanz

Joint work with: Roser Homs-Pons, Joachim Jelisiejew, Tim Seynnaeve

arXiv:2103.12598

Tensors $T \in V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3$

Tensors $T \in V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3$
are 2-linear maps:

$$f : W_1^* \times W_2^* \rightarrow W_3$$

$$T_f = \sum_{i,j} e_{1,i} \otimes e_{2,j} \otimes f(e_{1,i}, e_{2,j}) \in W_1 \otimes W_2 \otimes W_3$$

Tensors $T \in V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3$

are 2-linear maps:

$$f : W_1^* \times W_2^* \rightarrow W_3$$

$$T_f = \sum_{i,j} e_{1,i} \otimes e_{2,j} \otimes f(e_{1,i}, e_{2,j}) \in W_1 \otimes W_2 \otimes W_3$$

are linear maps:

$$W_1^* \otimes W_2^* \rightarrow W_3$$

Tensors $T \in V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3$

are 2-linear maps:

$$f : W_1^* \times W_2^* \rightarrow W_3$$

$$T_f = \sum_{i,j} e_{1,i} \otimes e_{2,j} \otimes f(e_{1,i}, e_{2,j}) \in W_1 \otimes W_2 \otimes W_3$$

are linear maps:

$$W_1^* \otimes W_2^* \rightarrow W_3$$

are linear spaces of matrices:

$$W_3^* \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}(W_1^*, W_2)$$

Matrix multiplication

Matrix multiplication:

$$\text{Mat}_n \times \text{Mat}_n \rightarrow \text{Mat}_n$$

$$M_n \in (\text{Mat}_n)^* \otimes (\text{Mat}_n)^* \otimes \text{Mat}_n$$

$$M_n = \sum e^{ij} \otimes e^{jk} \otimes e_{ik}$$

Matrix multiplication

Matrix multiplication:

$$\text{Mat}_n \times \text{Mat}_n \rightarrow \text{Mat}_n$$

$$M_n \in (\text{Mat}_n)^* \otimes (\text{Mat}_n)^* \otimes \text{Mat}_n$$

$$M_n = \sum e^{ij} \otimes e^{jk} \otimes e_{ik}$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_n \\ a_{n+1} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n^2-n+1} & \dots & a_{n^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M_n = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & A & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & A \end{pmatrix}$$

Matrix multiplication

Matrix multiplication:

$$\text{Mat}_n \times \text{Mat}_n \rightarrow \text{Mat}_n$$

$$M_n \in (\text{Mat}_n)^* \otimes (\text{Mat}_n)^* \otimes \text{Mat}_n$$

$$M_n = \sum e^{ij} \otimes e^{jk} \otimes e_{ik}$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_n \\ a_{n+1} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n^2-n+1} & \dots & a_{n^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M_n = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & A & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & A \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{rk } M_n \leq n^3$$

$$\operatorname{rk} M_2 = 7 < 8$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_2 = & (e^{21} + e^{22}) \otimes e^{11} \otimes (e_{21} + e_{22}) + (e^{11} + e^{22}) \otimes (e^{11} + e^{22}) \otimes (e_{11} + e_{22}) + \\ & e^{11} \otimes (e^{12} - e^{22}) \otimes (e_{12} + e_{22}) + e^{22} \otimes (e^{21} - e^{11}) \otimes (e_{11} + e_{21}) + \\ & (e^{11} + e^{12}) \otimes e^{22} \otimes (e_{12} - e_{11}) + (e^{21} - e^{11}) \otimes (e^{11} + e^{12}) \otimes e_{22} + \\ & (e^{12} - e^{22}) \otimes (e^{21} + e^{22}) \otimes e_{11} \end{aligned}$$

$$\operatorname{rk} M_2 = 7 < 8$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_2 = & (e^{21} + e^{22}) \otimes e^{11} \otimes (e_{21} + e_{22}) + (e^{11} + e^{22}) \otimes (e^{11} + e^{22}) \otimes (e_{11} + e_{22}) + \\ & e^{11} \otimes (e^{12} - e^{22}) \otimes (e_{12} + e_{22}) + e^{22} \otimes (e^{21} - e^{11}) \otimes (e_{11} + e_{21}) + \\ & (e^{11} + e^{12}) \otimes e^{22} \otimes (e_{12} - e_{11}) + (e^{21} - e^{11}) \otimes (e^{11} + e^{12}) \otimes e_{22} + \\ & (e^{12} - e^{22}) \otimes (e^{21} + e^{22}) \otimes e_{11} \end{aligned}$$

$$M_a \boxtimes M_b = M_{ab}$$

$$\omega =$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf\{\tau : \text{the complexity of multiplication of two } n \times n \text{ matrices is } O(n^\tau)\} \\ & = \inf\{\tau : \operatorname{rank} \text{ of } M_n = O(n^\tau)\} = \inf\{\tau : \text{border rank of } M_n = O(n^\tau)\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$CW_k = \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc|c} a_0 & a_1 & \dots & a_k & a_{k+1} \\ \hline a_1 & 0 & \dots & a_{k+1} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_k & a_{k+1} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \hline a_{k+1} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

$$\omega < 2.38$$

$$CW_k = \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc|c} a_0 & a_1 & \dots & a_k & a_{k+1} \\ \hline a_1 & 0 & \dots & a_{k+1} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_k & a_{k+1} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \hline a_{k+1} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

$$\omega < 2.38$$

Conjecture

$$\omega = 2$$

- CW should not give $\omega < 2.3$ [Ambainis, Filmus, Le Gall]
- Fixed smoothable algebra should not give $\omega = 2$ [Lysikov, Blaeser, Zuiddam, Christandl, Buergisser]

What can we do?

We probably need:

- new, good tensors
- families of tensors

Try to look around CW

What can we do?

We probably need:

- new, good tensors
- families of tensors

Try to look around CW

CW comes from:

- smoothable local algebra
- highest weight vector

$S^3(Mat_n)$ decomposes [Seynnaeve]

Every irreducible representation contains a special hww

CW are among the hww

We analyzed one that:

- has minimal border rank
- gives $\omega < 2.45$

Symmetrized M_n is also one of hww

Conjecturally, they all have not too big border rank

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|ccccc|ccccc|ccccc|ccccc}
 b_1 & b_0 & y_1 & \dots & y_m & x_1 & \dots & x_m & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_2 & a_1 & 0 \\
 b_0 & b_2 & z_1 & \dots & z_m & 0 & \dots & 0 & x_1 & \dots & x_m & a_1 & 0 & a_2 \\
 \hline
 y_1 & z_1 & & & & a_1 & & & a_2 & & & & & \\
 \vdots & \vdots & & & & & \ddots & & & \ddots & & & & \\
 y_m & z_m & & & & & & a_1 & & & a_2 & & & \\
 \hline
 x_1 & 0 & a_1 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
 \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & & & & & & & & & & \\
 x_m & 0 & & & a_1 & & & & & & & & & \\
 \hline
 0 & x_1 & a_2 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
 \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & & & & & & & & & & \\
 0 & x_m & & & a_2 & & & & & & & & & \\
 \hline
 a_2 & a_1 & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
 a_1 & 0 & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
 0 & a_2 & & & & & & & & & & & &
 \end{array} \right) .$$

Algebra: polynomial ring modulo and ideal

$$n := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} A < \infty$$

$$A \times A \rightarrow A$$

is 2-linear

T_A the associated tensor

Theorem

T_A is symmetric if and only if A is Gorenstein

A is smoothable $\Leftrightarrow \text{brk } T_A = n$ [Blaeser, Lysikov, Landsberg]

$$S \subset K[Y] = K[y_1, \dots, y_n]$$

$$S^\perp \subset K[X] = K[x_1 = \partial y_1, \dots, x_n = \partial y_n]$$

$S^\perp$ is an ideal and defines a finite local algebra $A_S := K[X]/S^\perp$

A_S is Gorenstein iff $|S| = 1$

Apolarity

$$S \subset K[Y] = K[y_1, \dots, y_n]$$

$$S^\perp \subset K[X] = K[x_1 = \partial y_1, \dots, x_n = \partial y_n]$$

$S^\perp$ is an ideal and defines a finite local algebra $A_S := K[X]/S^\perp$

A_S is Gorenstein iff $|S| = 1$

Example

$$S = x_1x_4 + x_2x_3, S^\perp = \langle y_1^2, y_2^2, y_3^2, y_4^2, y_1y_2, y_1y_3, y_2y_4, y_3y_4, y_1y_4 - y_2y_3 \rangle$$

$K[Y]/S^\perp$ has basis: $1, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, m = y_1y_4$

Multiplication in this basis:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & y_1 & y_2 & y_3 & y_4 & m \\ y_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & m & 0 \\ y_2 & 0 & 0 & m & 0 & 0 \\ y_3 & 0 & m & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ y_4 & m & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ m & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Two quadrics

Theorem (Cartwright, Erman, Velasco, Viray)

If S consists of two quadrics, then $S^\perp$ is smoothable.

We may use two letters in CW

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc|ccc|ccc|cc}
 \lambda_0 & \lambda_1 & \dots & \lambda_m & \lambda_{m+1} & \dots & \lambda_{2m} & \lambda_{2m+1} & \dots & \lambda_{3m} & \lambda_{3m+1} & \lambda_{3m+2} \\
 \lambda_1 & & & & \lambda_{3m+1} & & & \lambda_{3m+2} & & & & \\
 \vdots & & & & & \ddots & & & \ddots & & & \\
 \lambda_m & & & & & & \lambda_{3m+1} & & & \lambda_{3m+2} & & \\
 \hline
 \lambda_{m+1} & \lambda_{3m+1} & & & & & & & & & & \\
 \vdots & & \ddots & & & & & & & & & \\
 \lambda_{2m} & & & \lambda_{3m+1} & & & & & & & & \\
 \hline
 \lambda_{2m+1} & \lambda_{3m+2} & & & & & & & & & & \\
 \vdots & & \ddots & & & & & & & & & \\
 \lambda_{3m} & & & \lambda_{3m+2} & & & & & & & & \\
 \hline
 \lambda_{3m+1} & & & & & & & & & & & \\
 \lambda_{3m+2} & & & & & & & & & & &
 \end{array} \right) .$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc|ccc|ccc|cc}
 \lambda_0 & \lambda_1 & \dots & \lambda_m & \lambda_{m+1} & \dots & \lambda_{2m} & \lambda_{2m+1} & \dots & \lambda_{3m} & \lambda_{3m+1} & \lambda_{3m+2} \\
 \lambda_1 & & & & \lambda_{3m+1} & & & \lambda_{3m+2} & & & & \\
 \vdots & & & & & \ddots & & & \ddots & & & \\
 \lambda_m & & & & & & \lambda_{3m+1} & & & \lambda_{3m+2} & & \\
 \hline
 \lambda_{m+1} & \lambda_{3m+1} & & & & & & & & & & \\
 \vdots & & \ddots & & & & & & & & & \\
 \lambda_{2m} & & & \lambda_{3m+1} & & & & & & & & \\
 \hline
 \lambda_{2m+1} & \lambda_{3m+2} & & & & & & & & & & \\
 \vdots & & \ddots & & & & & & & & & \\
 \lambda_{3m} & & & \lambda_{3m+2} & & & & & & & & \\
 \hline
 \lambda_{3m+1} & & & & & & & & & & & \\
 \lambda_{3m+2} & & & & & & & & & & &
 \end{array} \right) .$$

$$\omega < 2.431$$

Why algebras?

Theorem

Fix $\epsilon > 0$. There exists a local smoothable algebra A of length l , degenerating to M_n , where $n^{\omega+\epsilon} > l$.

$S = \sum x_{ij}x_{jk}x_{ik}$ gives nonsmoothable Gorenstein algebra A .

Want: B smoothable that surjects to A

Why algebras?

Theorem

Fix $\epsilon > 0$. There exists a local smoothable algebra A of length l , degenerating to M_n , where $n^{\omega+\epsilon} > l$.

$S = \sum x_{ij}x_{jk}x_{ik}$ gives nonsmoothable Gorenstein algebra A .

Want: B smoothable that surjects to A

- graded algebras: good for CW
- monomial algebras?

Thank you!