Workshop II: Tensor Network States and Applications, April 19 - 23, 2021

#### Deep Learning Quantum States For Hamiltonian Predictions

Shi-Ju Ran

**Capital Normal University** 



0



#### **Deep learning quantum states for Hamiltonian predictions**

Xinran Ma,<sup>1</sup> Z. C. Tu,<sup>1</sup> and Shi-Ju Ran<sup>2, \*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China <sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Capital Normal University, Beijing 100048, China (Dated: December 19, 2020)



Xiran Ma



Prof Z.C Tu









arXiv:2012.03019

## Interactions in quantum many-body systems lead to fruitful novel phenomena

**Example**: spin-1 antiferromagnetic Heisenberg sawtooth chain (simulated with DMRG)





Yuan Yang, Shi-Ju Ran<sup>\*</sup>, Xi Chen, Zhengzhi Sun, Shou-Shu Gong, Zhengchuan Wang<sup>\*</sup>, Gang Su<sup>\*</sup>. *Reentrance of Topological Phase in Spin-1 Frustrated Heisenberg Chain*. Phys. Rev. B **101**, 045133 (2020)

#### Challenges in simulating quantum many-body systems







# region

Critical

Phase-2  $|\phi_3\rangle|\phi_4\rangle$  $|\phi_5\rangle$  $|\phi_1\rangle$  $|\phi_2\rangle$ 

learn

Q

### Neural network is a powerful machine learning model ARTICLE

## Mastering the game of Go without human knowledge

David Silver<sup>1</sup>\*, Julian Schrittwieser<sup>1</sup>\*, Karen Simonyan<sup>1</sup>\*, Ioannis Antonoglou<sup>1</sup>, Aja Huang<sup>1</sup>, Arthur Guez<sup>1</sup>, Thomas Hubert<sup>1</sup>, Lucas Baker<sup>1</sup>, Matthew Lai<sup>1</sup>, Adrian Bolton<sup>1</sup>, Yutian Chen<sup>1</sup>, Timothy Lillicrap<sup>1</sup>, Fan Hui<sup>1</sup>, Laurent Sifre<sup>1</sup>, George van den Driessche<sup>1</sup>, Thore Graepel<sup>1</sup> & Demis Hassabis<sup>1</sup>



#### Can NN solve physical problems in a data-driven way?

 Opportunities to solve a new kind of problems in quantum physics, such as the inverse problems of solving Hamiltonian given the states







#### **QubismNet for many-body Hamiltonian predictions**

#### **Basic ideas**

• Main target: considering the Hamiltonian  $\widehat{H}(\alpha)$  parametrized by  $\alpha$  (e.g., coupling constant, magnetic field, etc.), predict  $\alpha$  given the ground state  $|\varphi(\alpha)\rangle$ .



#### Convolutional neural network

• One of the most powerful machine learning model for processing images





#### What is Qubism?

• One-to-one mapping from quantum states to images



Qubism: self-similar visualization of many-body wavefunctions

Javier Rodríguez-Laguna<sup>1,2,5</sup>, Piotr Migdał<sup>1</sup>, Miguel Ibáñez Berganza<sup>3</sup>, Maciej Lewenstein<sup>1,4</sup> and Germán Sierra<sup>3</sup>

 <sup>1</sup> ICFO—Institute of Photonic Sciences, Castelldefels, Barcelona, Spain
<sup>2</sup> Mathematics Department, Universidad Carlos III de Madrid, Spain
<sup>3</sup> IFT—Instituto de Física Teórica, UAM/CSIC, Cantoblanco, Madrid, Spain
<sup>4</sup> ICREA—Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats, Barcelona, Spain E-mail: javier.rodriguez@icfo.es

New Journal of Physics 14 (2012) 053028 (30pp)





**Figure 4.** Top: ground state of the 1D spin-1/2 AF Heisenberg model with PBC for 12 spins. Bottom: the lowest energy excitations, which make up a triplet. White means zero probability, color intensity reflects the modulus of the wavefunction amplitude, whereas the hue marks the phase.



Illustrations of the images by the Qubism map from the ground states of the QIM, XY, and XXZ models

#### Learning from reduced density matrix

- One drawback of Qubism: the cost increases exponentially with the system size;
- Learn from the reduced density matrix (RMD) of a bulk with size  $L_b \ll L$  (L=64 in practice );
- The ground states with large L are represented by matrix product states (MPS).



#### Training and testing accuracies on quantum chains

- Samples in the training set (to optimize the parameters in the NN);
- MSE:  $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} |h_n^p h_n|^2$
- MSE error for the samples in the testing set (independently and identically distributed as the training samples, but are not fed to the NN) is small ~O(10<sup>-4</sup>~10<sup>-5</sup>)
- On QIM, criticality seems to have no effects to the predictions



#### Generalization power on 1D chains

- Generalization set: the states from different region (light yellow) from the training and test sets (light blue);
- We take the width for taking the generalizing set  $\delta = 0.4$ .
- When L is small, **stages** appears in the prediction curve, due to the **energy gaps** of the eigenstates of the Hamiltonian.
- The gaps are suppressed by **increasing** *L*, and the accuracies will also be improved.
- For the quantum Ising mode, the NN learns from the states away from the critical point, and can still well predict the magnetic field of the states in the critical vicinity;



#### Generalization power on 2D systems

Ο



XXZ

#### Generalization power on 2D systems

 Similar accuracies are achieved on the XXZ and XY models on the finite-size square lattice.



#### Size dependence of generalization power

- By fixing L<sub>b</sub>=8, both the error and the strength of the fluctuations for the generalization set decreases with L.
- By fixing L=64, both the error and the strength of the fluctuations for the generalization set decreases with L<sub>b</sub>.
- The error converges for  $L_b \sim 6-8$ , indicating the correlation length of the states.



#### Generalization power for different parameter gaps

- The generalization error increases with the parameter gap  $\delta$  (the width of the region where we sample the generalization set).
- But at most, the error reaches  $\varepsilon_g \sim 0.05$  for  $\delta = 0.8$ . For small  $\delta$ , we have  $\varepsilon_g \sim O(10^{-3})$  or less.



#### 1D CNN performs more poorly than 2D CNN

- 1D CNN with Qubism map performs obviously more poorly than 2D CNN with Qubism map, particularly for the generalization set.
- This benefits from both the Qubism map and the superior power of CNN for processing 2D images.







#### Perspective II: TN machine learning

- TN is a young **quantum-inspired machine learning model**, such as supervised learning by MPS (E. Stoudenmire and D. J. Schwab, NIPS 2016).
- For machine learning, neural network (NN) still exhibits obvious advantages on the powers of expression and, particularly, generalization.



Model	MMIST train	MMIST test	Fashion-MMIST train	Fashion-MMIST test			
MPS machine [28]	1.0000	0.9855	0.99	0.88			
Unitary tree TN [9]	0.98	0.95	-	-			
Tree curtain model [35]	-	-	0.9538	0.8897			
Bayesian TN [15]	-	-	0.8950	0.8692			
EPS-SBS [7]	-	0.9885	-	0.886		Ye-Ming	Μ
PEPS [17]	-	-	-	0.883		Peng Zha	ang,
CNN-PEPS [17]	-	-	-	0.912		Ju Ran, a	rXiv
AlexNet [36]	-	-	-	0.8882			
ResNet [36]	-	-	-	0.9339			
sResMPS(+dropout)	1.0000	0.9898	0.9920	0.9076	Nor	linear TN m	odel
aResMPS(+ReLU,+dropout	t) 1.0000	0.9900	0.9999	0.9146			Gaci

Ye-Ming Meng, Jing Zhang, Peng Zhang, Chao Gao, and Shi-Ju Ran, arXiv:2012.11841.

#### Thank you for your attention!

0

 $\frown$ 



