Variations on a theme by Cauchy

Uriel Frisch and Nicolas Besse Laboratoire Joseph-Louis Lagrange Université Côte d'Azur, OCA, Nice

IPAM, January 2017

- Euler equations in flat or curved space and differential geometry (DG)
- Cauchy's (1815) Lagrangian invariants equations and Cauchy's vorticity formula from a traditional and DG point of view <u>arxiv.org/abs/1701.01592</u>
- Generalized Cauchy invariants, local helicities galore
- Recursion relations for time-Taylor coefficients and analyticity; the Cauchy-Lagrange numerical method
- A few words about wall-bounded flow and blow-up

Eulerian (spatial) and Lagrangian (material) coordinates



Lagrangian map : $\boldsymbol{a} \mapsto \boldsymbol{x} = \varphi(\boldsymbol{a}, t).$ Jacobian : $J \equiv \det(\partial x_i / \partial a_j) = V' / V.$ Incompressible flow : J = 1

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{v} + (\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{v} = -\nabla p, \qquad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v} = 0$$

The geometrization of the Lagrangian approach Vladimir Arnold 1937 - 2010

Arnold (1966) (Ann. Inst. Fourier): The solutions of the incompressible Euler equations extremize the kinetic-energy-based action :

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_\Omega \mu(a) g_{ij}(\varphi(a,t)) \dot{\varphi}^i(a,t) \dot{\varphi}^j(a,t) \quad \text{(Euclidean case: } g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}, \ \mu(a) = d^3 a\text{)},$$

with the constraints $\varphi(a, 0) = a$ and given $\varphi(a, T)$.

From a DG point of view, vorticity is an invariant

When changing from Eulerian to Lagrangian coordinates, a scalar function, say a temperature θ must just be compose with the Lagrangian map φ :

 $\theta^{\text{Lagrangian}} = \theta^{\text{Eulerian}} \circ \varphi$

When working with differential forms one must take into account the change in elements of length, surface, volume, ..., to obtain the *pullback* (Eulerian to Lagrangian) and the *pushforward* (Lagrangian to Eulerian) transformations.

Consider the velocity 1-form $v^{\flat} := \vec{v} \cdot \overrightarrow{dx}$. It satisfies

$$\partial_t v^{\flat} + \pounds_v v^{\flat} + d\left(p - \frac{1}{2}(v, v)_g\right) = 0,$$

which can be written in more traditional (Euclidean) fluid mechanics notation

$$\partial_t \vec{v} + \frac{1}{2} \overrightarrow{\nabla} |\vec{v}|^2 + \vec{v} \cdot \overrightarrow{\nabla} \vec{v} + \overrightarrow{\nabla} \left(p - \frac{|\vec{v}|^2}{2} \right) = 0$$

The vorticity 2-form $\omega := dv^\flat = \sum_{i < j} \left(\frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x^i} \right) dx^i \wedge dx^j$

thus satisfies $\partial_t \omega + \pounds_v \omega = 0$. The vorticity is Lie-advection invariant! A first instance is Helmholtz (1858). But actually goes back to Cauchy.

The Cauchy (1815) invariants equation in DG notation

Use a dot for the Lagrangian derivative $\partial_t + v_k \partial_k$, Euler eq. reads $\ddot{x}_k = -\partial_k p$. Multiply by dx^k and sum over k: $\ddot{x}_k dx^k = -dp$. Denote the Lagrangian time derivative by D_t when needed, rewrite as

$$D_t\left(\dot{x}_k dx^k\right) - d\frac{|\dot{x}|^2}{2} = -dp.$$

Apply the exterior derivative d, use dd = 0 and integrate over time from 0 to t: The Cauchy invariants equation $d\dot{x}_k \wedge dx^k = d(v_{0k}da^k) = \omega_0$. In traditional fluid mechanics notation it is $\overrightarrow{\nabla}^{\mathrm{L}}\dot{x}_k \times \overrightarrow{\nabla}^{\mathrm{L}}x_k = \overrightarrow{\omega_0}$.

By elementary manipulations of the Jacobian matrix $\overrightarrow{\nabla}^{\mathrm{L}} x$ Cauchy obtains his famous vorticity formula $\overrightarrow{\omega} = \overrightarrow{\omega_0} \cdot \overrightarrow{\nabla}^{\mathrm{L}} x$.

Actually, both the Cauchy invariants equation and his vorticity formula are instances, related by Hodge duality, of a general result on Lie-advection invariant exact p-forms.

The generalized Cauchy invariants equation

We now work with a prescribed time-dependent velocity field v_t , along which some *p*-form γ is Lie-advected. If γ is exact, one has a *Generalized Cauchy invariants equation* and (by Hodge duality) a *Generalized Cauchy formula*. Specifically, let

$$\gamma = d\alpha$$
 and $\partial_t \gamma_t + \pounds_{v_t} \gamma_t = 0$. $\frac{d}{dt} \varphi_t = v(t, \varphi_t), \quad \varphi_0 = \text{Identity},$

then
$$\frac{1}{(p-1)!} \delta^{i_1 \dots i_{p-1}}_{j_1 \dots j_{p-1}} d\alpha_{i_1 \dots i_{p-1}} \wedge dx^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{j_{p-1}} = \gamma_0, \quad x = \varphi_t,$$

where
$$\delta_{i_1...i_p}^{j_1...j_p} = \begin{vmatrix} \delta_{i_1}^{j_1} & \dots & \delta_{i_p}^{j_1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \delta_{i_1}^{j_p} & \dots & \delta_{i_p}^{j_p} \end{vmatrix}$$

and
$$\frac{1}{(p-1)!} \delta^{i_1 \dots i_{p-1}}_{j_1 \dots j_{p-1}} \star (d\alpha_{i_1 \dots i_{p-1}} \wedge dx^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{j_{p-1}}) = \star \gamma_0,$$

where \star denotes the Hodge dual operator.

Local helicities in hydrodynamics and MHD

- In 3D hydrodynamics and MHD there are known integral invariants, such as the kinetic helicity $\int d^3x \, \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\omega}$, the magnetic helicity $\int d^3x \, \vec{A} \cdot \vec{B}$ and the cross-helicity $\int d^3x \, \vec{v} \cdot \vec{B}$.
- All these helicities have *local* counterparts, material invariants, that is Lie-advected (LA) 0-forms, Hodge duals of LA 3-forms, namely the local helicity σ = u ∧ ω, the cross helicity ξ = u ∧ B and the Elsasser local magnetic helicity h = A ∧ B. (All forms are LA.) Here, ω and B denote the vorticity and magnetic 2-forms, u (resp. A) are the LA Clebsch (resp. magnetic vector potential) 1-forms, taken equal to the velocity v^b (resp. the magnetic vector potential A) 1-forms at t = 0. Note that ω = dv^b = du and B = dA = dA.

One also has the following generalized Cauchy invariants equations:

 $dA_k \wedge dx^k = B_0 = dA_0$ and $\frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij}^{kl} d\pi_{kl} \wedge dx^i \wedge dx^j = \sigma_0$, where $\sigma = u \wedge \omega = d\pi$ (if the Poincaré lemma applies).

Recursion relations derived from Cauchy's invariants

• Introduce the displacement: $\boldsymbol{\xi} := \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}$

 $\nabla^{\mathrm{L}} \times \dot{\boldsymbol{\xi}} + \nabla^{\mathrm{L}} \dot{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_k \times \nabla^{\mathrm{L}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_k = \boldsymbol{\omega}_0$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{3} \nabla^{\mathrm{L}} \dot{x}_{k} \times \nabla^{\mathrm{L}} x_{k} = \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0}$$
$$\det(\nabla^{\mathrm{L}} \boldsymbol{x}) = 1$$

det
$$(\mathbf{I} + \nabla^{\mathrm{L}} \boldsymbol{\xi}) = 1$$
 or $\nabla^{\mathrm{L}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\nabla^{\mathrm{L}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} \right)^{2} - \operatorname{tr} \left(\nabla^{\mathrm{L}} \boldsymbol{\xi} \right)^{2} \right] + \operatorname{det} (\nabla^{\mathrm{L}} \boldsymbol{\xi}) = 0$

Expand (formally) in powers of t: $\boldsymbol{\xi} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t^n \boldsymbol{\xi}^{(n)}$, and determine coefficients of various powers:

$$n\nabla^{\mathrm{L}} \times \boldsymbol{\xi}^{(n)} + \sum_{r+s=n} r\nabla^{\mathrm{L}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{(r)} \times \nabla^{\mathrm{L}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{(s)} = \boldsymbol{\omega}_{0} \delta_{n1}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$
$$\nabla^{\mathrm{L}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}^{(n)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r+s=n} \left[\nabla^{\mathrm{L}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}^{(r)} \nabla^{\mathrm{L}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}^{(s)} - \operatorname{tr} \left(\nabla^{\mathrm{L}} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{(r)} \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{(s)} \right) \right] + \sum_{r+s+\sigma=n} \nabla^{\mathrm{L}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{(r)} \cdot \left(\nabla^{\mathrm{L}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}^{(s)} \times \nabla^{\mathrm{L}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{3}^{(\sigma)} \right) = 0$$

In the presence of a solid impermeable boundary, these recursion relations for the time-Taylor coefficients $\xi^{(n)}$ must be supplemented by conditions expressing the invariance of the boundary under the Lagrangian flow.

The recursion relations can be used to obtain bounds on the time-Taylor coefficients and prove analyticity in time of the Lagrangian particle trajectories, but also to derive novel semi-Lagrangian numerical integration schemes.

The Lagrangian algorithm built on the Cauchy invariants

In 1928 Courant, Friedrichs and Lewy showed that numerical solutions of hyperbolic PDE's by simple finite difference methods are subject to the constraint $\Delta t < \Delta x / V_{\text{max}}$ In hydrodynamics, this affects Eulerian but not Lagrangian algorithms. The use of high-order Lagrangian time-Taylor expansions allows us to study, e.g. blowup, by semi-Lagrangian high-order numerical schemes.





Switching from Eulerian to Lagrangian computations can result in speed up of several orders of magnitude



Some useful references

* Frisch, U. and Villone, B. 2014. Cauchy's almost forgotten Lagrangian formulation of the Euler equation for 3D incompressible flow, Europ. Phys. J. H 39, 325–351. arXiv:1402.4957 [math.HO]
* Frisch, U. and Zheligovsky, V. 2014. A very smooth ride in a rough sea, Commun. Math. Phys., 326, 499–505. arXiv:1212.4333 [math.AP]
* Zheligovsky, V. and Frisch, U. 2014. Time-analyticity of Lagrangian particle trajectories in ideal fluid flow, J. Fluid Mech., 749, 404–430. arXiv:1312.6320 [math.AP]
* Rampf, C., Villone, B and Frisch, U. 2015. How smooth are particle

trajectories in a ΛCDM Universe?, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 452, 1421–1436. arXiv:1504.00032 [astro-ph.CO]

* Podvigina, O., Zheligovsky, V. and Frisch, U. 2015. The Cauchy-Lagrangian method for numerical analysis of Euler flow.

J. Comput. Phys., 306, 320–342. arXiv:1504.05030v1 [math.NA]

* Besse, N. and Frisch, U. A constructive approach to regularity of Lagrangian trajectories for incompressible Euler flow in a bounded domain, submitted. arXiv:1603.09219v1 [math.AP].

* Besse, N. and Frisch, U. Geometric formulation of the Cauchy invariants for incompressible Euler flow in flat and curved spaces, preprint 2017. arXiv:1701.01592 [physics.flu-dyn]