Particle and Game Theoretic Methods for Multi-scale and High Dimensional Problems

Jack Xin

Department of Mathematics University of California, Irvine.

International Conference on Multiscale Modeling and Simulation based on Physics and Data (IPAM, 4/25-26, 2024).

Collaborators and Acknowledgements

- Zhongjian Wang, Nanyang Tech Univ.
- ZhiZhang Wu, Junlong Lyu, Tan Zhang, and Zhiwen Zhang University of Hong Kong
- Renaud Raquépas, Courant Institute, New York Univ.
- Hongwei Gao, Ziang Long, Yifeng Yu
 UC Irvine
- Partially supported by NSF



Outline

Outline

- Fisher-Kolmogorov-Petrovsky-Piskunov Front Speeds in Flows.
- Feynman-Kac Representation and Particle Approximation.
- Learning Invariant Measure w. Physical Parameter Dependence.
- Entropy production in time reversal of diffusion processes.
- Computing principal eigenvalue by interacting particle method.
- Challenges: concentrations, large gradients and high dimensions.
- Curvature G-equation and Two-Player Game Representation.
- One-Way Reachability, Minimum Principle, Cell Problems.
- Bounded Oscillation Estimate, Front Speeds in Cell Flows.
- Conclusions and Future Work.

FKPP Variational Formula in Stationary Ergodic Media

$$u_t = \kappa \Delta_x u + \mathbf{v}(t, x) \cdot \nabla_x u + u(1-u), \ x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

v is space-time stationary ergodic, mean zero, volume preserving. To calculate front speed c^* along direction **e**, let *w* solve linear equation parameterized by $\lambda > 0$ ($u = \exp{\{\lambda \ \boldsymbol{e} \cdot x\} \ w}$):

$$w_t = \mathcal{L}w := \kappa \, \Delta_x w + (2\kappa \, \lambda \, \boldsymbol{e} + \boldsymbol{v}) \cdot \nabla_x w + (1 + \kappa \, \lambda^2 + \lambda \, \boldsymbol{e} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}) w,$$

with w(0, x) = 1. Almost surely,

$$\mu(\lambda) = \lim_{t o \infty} \, t^{-1} \, \ln w$$

exists as principal Lyapunov exponent, convex and superlinear in large λ .

$$c^*(oldsymbol{e}) = \mathit{inf}_{\lambda>0} \, rac{\mu(\lambda)}{\lambda}.$$

Freidlin, Gärtner, 1979: spatially periodic (1D random) media; Nolen, X, 2009: space-time stationary ergodic flow.

IPAM, 4/26/2024

Lagrangian Approximation in Space-Time Periodic Flow

• Write $\mathcal{L} = L + M = Markovian + Potential$,

$$M \cdot := c(t, x) \cdot = (1 + \kappa \lambda^2 + \lambda \boldsymbol{e} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}) \cdot$$

Feynman-Kac formula gives:

$$\mu = \lim_{t \to \infty} t^{-1} \ln \left(\mathbb{E} \exp\{ \int_0^t c(t - s, \boldsymbol{X}_s^{t, \boldsymbol{x}}) \, ds \} \right),$$
$$d \, \boldsymbol{X}_s^{t, \boldsymbol{x}} = \boldsymbol{v}(t - s, \boldsymbol{X}_s^{t, \boldsymbol{x}}) \, ds + \sigma \, d \, \boldsymbol{W}_s, \, \boldsymbol{X}_o^{t, \boldsymbol{x}} = \boldsymbol{x}.$$

 Direct approximation of this formula is challenging, as the main contribution to E comes from sample paths that visit maximal points of time-dependent potential c.

Lagrangian Approximation in Space-Time Periodic Flow

• Consider a "normalized version", the Feynman-Kac semi-group:

$$\Phi_t^c(\nu_0)(\phi) := \frac{\mathbb{E}[\phi(\boldsymbol{X}_t^{t,\boldsymbol{x}}) \exp\{\int_0^t c(t-s, \boldsymbol{X}_s^{t,\boldsymbol{x}}) \, ds\}]}{\mathbb{E}[\exp\{\int_0^t c(t-s, \boldsymbol{X}_s^{t,\boldsymbol{x}}) \, ds\}]} := \frac{P_t^c(\nu_0)(\phi)}{P_t^c(\nu_0)(1)}$$

acting on initial probability measure ν_0 ($\mathbf{x} \sim \nu_0$), converges weakly to an invariant measure ν_c as $t \uparrow \infty$, for any test function ϕ . Moreover,

$$P_t^c(\nu_c) = \exp\{\mu t\} \nu_c \text{ or } \mu = t^{-1} \ln \mathbb{E}_{\nu_c}[P_t^c(\nu_c)].$$

• Discretize $X_s^{t,x}$ as $X_i^{\Delta t}$ by explicit Euler, $i = 1, \dots, n \times m$, $m = \frac{T}{\Delta t}$, approximate evolution of probability measure $\Phi_t^c(\nu)$ by a particle system, with a resampling technique to reduce variance.

Lagrangian Approximation in Space-Time Periodic Flow

Let

$$\mathcal{P}_n^{c,\Delta t}(\nu_0)(\phi) := \mathbb{E}\left[\phi(\boldsymbol{X}_{nm}^{\Delta t}) \exp\left\{\Delta t \sum_{i=1}^m c((m-i)\Delta t, \boldsymbol{X}_{i+(n-1)m}^{\Delta t})
ight\}
ight]$$

• As $n \to \infty$, the sampled FK semi-group actions on ν :

$$\mathbb{E}_{\nu_0} \frac{P_n^{c,\Delta t}(\nu_0)(\phi)}{P_n^{c,\Delta t}(\nu_0)(1)} \to \int_D \phi \, d\, \nu_{c,\Delta t}, \ \forall \text{ smooth } \phi,$$

D: space periodic cell, $\nu_{c,\Delta t}$: approximate invariant measure.

Theorem (Lyu, Wang, X, Zhang, SINUM 2022)

There exists $q \in (0,1)$ so that:

 $\mu_{\Delta t}^{n} := (nT)^{-1} \ln[P_{n}^{c,\Delta t}(\nu_{0})(1)] \to T^{-1} \ln[P_{1}^{c,\Delta t}(\nu_{c,\Delta t})(1)] = \mu + o((\Delta t)^{q})$

Genetic Interacting Particle Algorithm

• Initialize 1st generation of particles $\boldsymbol{\xi}_1^0 = (\boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{0,1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{0,N_0}) \in (\mathbb{T}^d)^{N_0}$, unif. distributed over \mathbb{T}^d $(d \ge 2)$. Let g be the generation no. in approximating $\nu_{c,\Delta t}$. Each generation moves and mutates *m*-times, with a life span T (time period), time step $\Delta t = T/m$.

for
$$g = 1$$
: $G - 1$
for $i = 0$: $m - 1$
 $\zeta_g^i \leftarrow$ one-step-advection-diffusion update on ξ_g^i
with fitness $F \leftarrow \exp\{c(T - i\Delta t, \zeta_g^i)\Delta t\}$.
 $E_{g,i} := \frac{1}{\Delta t} \ln$ (mean population fitness), growth rate.
Normalize fitness to weight $p := F/SUM(F)$.
 $\xi_g^{i+1} \leftarrow$ resample ζ_g^i via multinomial distribution with weight p .
end for
 $\xi_{g+1}^0 \leftarrow \xi_g^m$, $E_g \leftarrow mean(E_{g,i})$ over i .
end for

• Output: approximate $\mu_{\Delta t} \leftarrow \text{mean}(E_g)$, and $\boldsymbol{\xi}_G^0$.

Genetic Algorithm, Bottleneck and Learning

- Feynman-Kac (F-K) semigroup, particle methods of invariant measure and principal eigenvalue, are well-known in physics, large deviation, Monte Carlo.
- Ferré & Stoltz, 2019: error estimates of discrete F-K and particle approximation in *spatially periodic media*.
- Advantages of interacting particle method for generating training data: (1) meshfree, (2) self-adaptive, (3) not sensitive to high dimensions (costs about the same from 2D to 3D).
- Computational bottelneck: long time evolution of large number of particles in the small κ (large Péclet number $\sim \kappa^{-1}$) regime.
- Invariant measure learning: map uniform distribution to invariant measure at κ based on a few less expensive simulations at κ_i > κ.

Nonlocal Resnet for optimal transport (OT) map

 η : physical parameter input. $X = Y = \mathbb{R}^d$.



Deep Particle Network Training

- Training data: interacting particle algorithm generates samples of invariant measure at $\kappa_i = 2^{-2-0.25(i-1)}$, $i = 1, \dots, 8(= n_\eta)$, and $N_0 = 40000$ particle evolution for G = 2048, $\Delta t = 2^{-8}$, T = 1.
- At each κ_i , randomly sample N = 2000 points \mathcal{Y}_i without replacement.
- $\{\mathcal{Y}_1, \cdots, \mathcal{Y}_8\}$: one mini-batch of training data.
- Total 5 mini-batches for 50000 gradient descent steps of training.
- On a quad-core CPU desktop with an RTX2080 8GB GPU.

Arnold-Beltrami-Childress Flow

 (Arnold '65; Hénon '66; Dombre, Frisch, Greene, Hénon, Mehr & Soward '86):

$$x' = A \sin z + C \cos y$$

$$y' = B \sin x + A \cos z$$

$$z' = B \cos x + C \sin y$$

weakly chaotic at A = B = C = 1.

• Cellular (Hamiltonian) flow or BC flow (A = 0) with $\pi/4$ rotation:

$$\mathbf{v}(x,y) = (\partial_y \mathcal{H}, -\partial_x \mathcal{H}), \ \mathcal{H} = \sin(x)\sin(y)$$



Ballistic Orbits in ABC (L), Komogorov(R) Flow

- Kolmogorov (K) flow: ABC (A=B=C=1) with only sine terms.
- Construction of orbits by symmetry: X, Yu, Zlatoš (ABC, SIAM Math Anal. 2016), Kao, Liu, X (K flow, MMS 2022).



A=B=C=1, plus random shear perturbation

• $\delta(\sin(z) + \cos(y), \sin(x) + \cos(z) + \epsilon \cdot \xi(x, \omega), \sin(y) + \cos(x)), \kappa = 1, T = 512, \Delta t = 2^{-11}, T = 512, N = 100,000$ particles.



Figure: $\xi \approx OU$ process, generated by random Fourier series.

• $c^* = O(\delta^{0.9842})$ at $\delta \approx 100$, $\epsilon = 0$. As $\epsilon \uparrow$, vortex tube structure in ABC flow facilitating transport is destroyed by $(0, \xi(x, \omega), 0), c^* \downarrow$.

KPP front speeds in ABC flows

Defocusing of invariant measure: $\epsilon = 0$ (top), 2 (bottom).



IPAM, 4/26/2024

Kolmogorov Flows

• Galloway & Proctor 1992, Childress & Gilbert 1995:

$$x' = \sin z$$

$$y' = \sin x$$

$$z' = \sin y$$

Disorder (mixing) dominates phase space, integrable regions shrink to small islands.

• Time-Periodic Kolmogorov flow field (strongly mixing):

$$\mathbf{v} = (\sin(z + \sin(2\pi t)), \sin(x + \sin(2\pi t)), \sin(y + \sin(2\pi t))).$$

DP Generated Invariant Measure Projected on yz plane



Figure: 1st/2nd col: $\kappa = 2^{-2.5}/2^{-3}$ in time-periodic K flow.

IPAM, 4/26/2024

DP Predicted Inv. Meas. vs. Ground Truth on yz plane



Figure: Invariant measures projected to *yz* plane in time-periodic K flow at $\kappa = 2^{-4}$: prediction (left), ground truth (right), at test value $\kappa = 2^{-4}$.

Time Reversal of Diffusion Processes

• Stochastic differential equation (SDE) in \mathbb{R}^d :

 $dX_s = h(X_s, s) ds + g(s) dW_s := -\nabla V(X_s) ds + b(X_s) ds + \sqrt{2\epsilon} dW_s$ (1)

where V is a smooth potential function with at least quadratic growth at infinity, b is a bounded divergence free and smooth vector field, $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, and W is standard Wiener process.

- Kolmogorov (1937): The initial distribution ~ exp{−V/ε} is realized by solving (1) backward in time from terminal distribution iff b = 0.
- Let terminal (prior) distribution p_T at time T be normal. MCMC sampler: p_{data} = Z⁻¹ exp{−V}, V is a closed form log-likelihood function.
- Mapping p_{prior} to p_{data} (since 2010's): variational autoencoder, generative adversarial network, flow-based models (e.g. neural ODEs, finding h from data), deep particle (2022).

Entropy Production

- Denoising diffusion probabilistic (score-based generative) modeling.
- Map p_{data} to p_{prior} by (1) or adding noise in time to increase entropy.
- Invert the map (denoising) by solving SDE (in reverse time):

$$d\tilde{X}_s = [h(\tilde{X}_s, s) - g^2(s) \nabla_{\tilde{X}_s} \log p_s(\tilde{X}_s)] \, ds + g(s) \, d\tilde{W}_s, \qquad (2)$$

Anderson (1982), where p_s is distribution of forward time SDE (1).

• Entropy integral to quantify reversability:

$$S_t = \epsilon^{-1} \int_0^t \langle b(X_s), \circ d X_s \rangle$$

work done by non-gradient part of the drift h.

Entropy Production

Let P^{λ, ε}_t be the probability measure of (1) from initial measure λ. Its moment generating function is (α ∈ ℝ):

$$\chi_t^{\epsilon}(\alpha) = \int_{\mathcal{C}([0,t];\mathbb{R}^d)} \exp\{-\alpha \, S_t^{\epsilon}\} \, d \, \mathcal{P}_t^{\lambda, \epsilon}$$

with representation:

$$\chi_t^{\epsilon}(\alpha) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} d\xi \left(\exp\{t \, A^{\varepsilon, \alpha}\} 1 \right)(\xi)$$

where $A^{\varepsilon,\alpha}$ acts on smooth and compactly supported functions f as:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{A}^{\varepsilon,\alpha}f &=& \varepsilon\Delta f + < -\nabla V + (1-2\alpha)b, \nabla f > -\frac{\alpha(1-\alpha)}{\varepsilon}|b|^2 f \\ &+ \frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon} < b, \nabla V > f + \alpha(\nabla \cdot b)f \end{array}$$

over $\alpha \in [-\delta, 1+\delta]$ for some small $\delta > 0$.

Entropy Production and Leading Eigenvalue

Let λ^{ε,α} be the leading eigenvalue (the one with the largest real part) of A^{ε,α}. Then:

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \chi_t^{\epsilon}(\alpha) = \lambda^{\epsilon, \alpha}$$
(3)

convex in α and symmetric about $\alpha = 1/2$.

- Legendre transform of λ^{ε,α} in α is the large deviation rate function of t⁻¹ S^ε_t, so characterizes the stochastic growth rate of entropy.
- $A^{\varepsilon,\alpha}$ shares $\lambda^{\varepsilon,\alpha}$ with operator:

$$\begin{split} B^{\varepsilon,\alpha}f &:= \exp((-2\varepsilon)^{-1}V)A^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(\exp((2\varepsilon)^{-1}V)) \\ &= \varepsilon \Delta f + \langle (1-2\alpha)b, \nabla f \rangle - \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} |\nabla V|^2 f + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \langle b, \nabla V \rangle f \\ &- \frac{\alpha(1-\alpha)}{\varepsilon} |b|^2 f + \frac{1}{2} (\Delta V) f - \alpha (\nabla \cdot b) f := (L+U) f. \end{split}$$

Probabilistic Representation

Markov generator:

$$Lf := \varepsilon \Delta f + \langle (1-2\alpha)b, \nabla f \rangle$$

with corresponding SDE:

$$dX_t = (1-2\alpha)b(X_t) dt + \sqrt{2\varepsilon} dB_t.$$

- Assume: at least quadratic growth of potential $|\nabla V|^2 \ge a|x|^2$ for $|x| \ge R$, consts. (a, R) > 0, $\lim_{|x| \to \infty} \Delta V / |\nabla V| = 0$; and bounded drift $\|b\|_{C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le C$.
- Evolution operator P_t^U :

$$P_t^U \varphi(x) = \mathbb{E}\left[\varphi(X_t) \exp\left(\int_0^t U(X_s) \, ds\right) \left| X_0 = x\right], \qquad (4)$$

 $\mathbb{E}[\cdot] \text{ on Brownian motion and } \varphi \text{ measurable.}$ • Let \hat{P}_t^U be the time discretized P_t^U , $t = n\Delta t$.

Discrete FK semigroup

• \exists invariant measure $\hat{\nu}_*$ under discrete FK semigroup so that:

$$\hat{P}_t^U(\hat{\nu}_*) = \exp\{\hat{\lambda}_{\Delta t}^{\varepsilon,\alpha} t\} \hat{\nu}_* \text{ or } \hat{\lambda}_{\Delta t}^{\varepsilon,\alpha} = t^{-1} \ln \mathbb{E}_{\hat{\nu}_*}[\hat{P}_t^U(\hat{\nu}_*)]$$

and

$$\hat{\lambda}_{\Delta t}^{\varepsilon,\alpha} = \lim_{k \to +\infty} \frac{1}{k\Delta t} \log \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\Delta t \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} U(X_{j\Delta t}) \right) \left| X_0 \sim \nu \right].$$

- Interacting Particle method + HKU cluster: 2 Intel Xeon Gold 6226R (16 Core) CPU's and 96GB RAM.
- Experiments: #(particles)=500,000, $\Delta t = 2^{-8}$; $\varepsilon = 0.1, 0.01, 0.001$. At each ε , $\alpha \in \left[-\frac{1}{10}, \frac{11}{10}\right]$, compute $\lambda^{\varepsilon,\alpha}$ for $\alpha = -\frac{1}{10} + \frac{j}{31} \frac{12}{10}$ with $j = 0, 1, \ldots, 31$. The computation of $\lambda^{\varepsilon,\alpha}$ for each ε with 32 different values of α is performed in parallel on 32 cores of the CPU's.

2D example

Double-well potential

$$V(x_1, x_2) = x_1^4 - 2x_1^2 + (1 + a(x_1 - 1)^2)x_2^2 + x_2^4$$

with a = 0.4, and cell (Hamiltonian) flow

 $b(x_1, x_2) = \pi^{-1}(\cos(\pi x_1)\sin(\pi x_2), -\sin(\pi x_1)\cos(\pi x_2))$



• $\lambda^{\alpha} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lambda^{\varepsilon, \alpha}$ known in closed-form.

Computed leading eigenvalue vs. asymptotic formula

2D example: computed $\lambda^{\varepsilon,\alpha}$ and exact λ^{α} as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$



2D example: approximate invariant measures



Figure: Distribution of particles at T = 2048, and $\alpha \approx 0.5968 (top)/1.0613$.

IPAM, 4/26/2024

4D example: $\lambda^{arepsilon, lpha}$ in doublewell potential (a = 0.4)

$$V(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = x_1^4 - 2x_1^2 + (1 + a(x_1 - 1)^2) x_2^2 + x_2^4 + x_3^4 - 2x_3^2 + (1 + a(x_3 - 1)^2) x_4^2 + x_4^4$$

$$b(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = \pi^{-1}(\cos(\pi x_1)\sin(\pi x_2), -\sin(\pi x_1)\cos(\pi x_2), 2\cos(\pi x_3)\sin(\pi x_4), -2\sin(\pi x_3)\cos(\pi x_4)),$$



8D example: $\lambda^{\varepsilon,\alpha}$ in potential and Hamiltonian flow.

$$V(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8) = \frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{2} + \frac{x_1^4 + x_2^4}{8} + \frac{x_3^2 + x_4^2}{2} + \frac{x_3^4 + x_4^4}{8} + x_5^4 - 2x_5^2 + (1 + a(x_5 - 1)^2)x_6^2 + x_6^4 + x_7^4 - 2x_7^2 + (1 + a(x_7 - 1)^2)x_8^2 + x_8^4$$

with a = 0.3.

$$b(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8) = \pi^{-1}(\cos(\pi x_1)\sin(\pi x_2), -\sin(\pi x_1)\cos(\pi x_2), \\ \widetilde{b}_2 \cos(\pi x_3)\sin(\pi x_4), -\widetilde{b}_2 \sin(\pi x_3)\cos(\pi x_4), \\ \cos(\pi x_5)\sin(\pi x_6), -\sin(\pi x_5)\cos(\pi x_6), \\ 2\cos(\pi x_7)\sin(\pi x_8), -2\sin(\pi x_7)\cos(\pi x_8)), \end{cases}$$



IPAM, 4/26/2024

Computed leading eigenvalue vs. asymptotic formula

8D example: computed $\lambda^{\varepsilon,\alpha}$ and exact λ^{α} as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$



Computed leading eigenvalue vs. asymptotic formula

16D example: computed $\lambda^{\varepsilon,\alpha}$ and exact λ^{α} as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$



16D example: projected invariant measures



Figure: T = 2048, $\alpha \approx 0.2097$, projected on (x_{12}, x_{14}) $(top)/(x_{15}, x_{16})$.

Complexity vs. Dimension

Linear Growth: comput. time (min) vs. dimension.



G-equation: Hamilton-Jacobi Level Set Equation

• Markstein (1950's), Williams (1980's): convex yet non-coercive Hamiltonian.



$$G_t + s_l |DG| + V(x) \cdot DG = 0.$$

• Motion law: normal velocity $v_{\vec{n}} = \vec{V} \cdot \vec{n} + s_l$, s_l laminar speed, $\vec{n} = \frac{DG}{|DG|}$.

Curvature Effect on Laminar Speed

• **Curvature effect**, Markstein (1951): as flame front bends toward cold region (unburned area, point C), propagation slows down. As flame bends toward hot spot (burned area, point B), it burns faster.



• Empirical linear relation proposed by Markstein:

$$s_I = s_I^0 (1 - { ilde d} \, \kappa)_+$$

 κ : mean curvature along the flame front. \vec{d} : Markstein length. (·)₊ prevents "unburn". Folklore: curvature slows flame on average.

Curvature G-equation in Cell Flow

• After normalizing consts, a non-convex & non-coercive Hamiltonian:

$$G_t + \left(1 - d \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{DG}{|DG|}\right)\right)_+ |DG| + V(x) \cdot DG = 0$$

• Let $V(x) = A D^{\perp} \sin(x_1) \sin(x_2)$, intensity A > 0.

Theorem (Gao, Long, X, Yu. J. Geometric Analysis, 2024)

For any unit vector $p \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and initial data $G(x,0) = p \cdot x$, there exists a positive number $\overline{H}_{A,d}(p)$ such that

$$\left| \, {{\mathcal{G}}(x,t) - {p \cdot x} + \overline{H}_{{{\mathcal{A}}},d}(p)\,t} \,
ight| \le C, \quad orall \, (t,x) \in \; {\mathbb{R}}^2 imes [0,\infty),$$

for a constant C depending only on A and d.

Corrector

• Look for a solution of the form $p \cdot x - \overline{H}(p)t + v(x)$, v is the so called corrector satisfying (a.k.a. cell problem):

$$\left(1 - d \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{p + Dv}{|p + Dv|}\right)\right)_{+} |p + Dv| + V(y) \cdot (p + Dv) = \overline{H}(p), \quad (5)$$

subject to 2π -periodic boundary condition in y.

- Theorem follows by comparing G with $v\pm$ const.
- To construct a solution, consider a modified cell (discount) problem as Lions-Varadhan-Papanicolaou (1980's, *coercive* periodic Hamiltonian):

$$\lambda v + \left(1 - d \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{p + Dv}{|p + Dv|}\right)\right)_{+} |p + Dv| + V(y) \cdot (p + Dv) = 0, \quad (6)$$

for a parameter $\lambda > 0$,.

• Existence and uniqueness of $v = v_{\lambda}$ to (6) known by Perron's method (Crandall-Ishii-Lions 1992). Comparison principle implies: $\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2} |\lambda v_{\lambda}(x)| \le 1 + \max_{\mathbb{R}^2} |V|.$

• Aim to show

$$\lim_{\lambda o 0} \lambda \, v_\lambda(x) = -\overline{H}(p) \quad ext{uniformly on } \mathbb{R}^2$$

then (6) \rightarrow (5) with standard sub/super-solution technique on v_{λ} .

• Key is the *bounded oscillation* estimate:

$$\max_{x, y \in [-\pi,\pi]^2} |v_{\lambda}(x) - v_{\lambda}(y)| \le C = C(d,A).$$
(7)

In the absence of curvature (d = 0), (7) follows from mutual reachability of x and y by a controled trajectory (X-Yu, 2010). If y is reachable from x by ξ for |α(t)| ≤ 1,

$$\dot{\xi}(t) = \alpha(t) - V(\xi(t))$$

subject to $\xi(0) = x$ within time *T*, then

$$v_{\lambda}(x) \leq v_{\lambda}(y) + C T$$
,

for incompressible V in any dimension.

Deterministic Two-Person Game in 2D

A deterministic game (Kohn-Serfaty, 2006): consider the discrete dynamical system {x_n}^N_{n=1} ⊂ ℝ² associated with the game starting from x₀ = x: for n = 0, 1, 2, ..., N − 1,

$$\begin{cases} x_{n+1} = x_n + \tau \sqrt{2d} b_n \, \vec{\eta}_n + \tau^2 \, \vec{\eta}_n^\perp - \tau^2 \, V(x_n) \\ x_0 = x, \end{cases}$$

where $|\vec{\eta}_n| \le 1$ and $b_n \in \{-1, 1\}$.

- Player I controls direction via $\vec{\eta}_n$ and player II controls sign via b_n .
- Let g = g(x) be a final payoff function. Player I (II) aims to minimize (maximize) $g(x_N)$. If both players proceed optimally, the value function

$$u(x,N\tau^2):=g(x_N),$$

converges to the solution of curvature G-eq with initial data g(x):

$$\lim_{N\tau^2\to t,\tau\to 0}u(x,N\tau^2)=G(x,t).$$

Inequalities from One-Way Reachability via Game

• A typical scenario to get an upper bound of the game value is for player I to devise a strategy so that the game trajectory, starting at a point P, ends at a point Q in a desired region U in N moves despite any strategy of player II; then

$$u(P, N\tau^2) \leq g(Q) \leq \max_{q \in U} g(q).$$

• For stationary equation, suppose: (1) w satisfies

$$\left(1 - d \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{Dw}{|Dw|}\right)\right)_+ |Dw| + V(x) \cdot Dw \le C$$

implying w - Ct is a sub-solution to G-eq; (2) player I has a strategy to steer the game trajectory from x to a region D (invariant under -V flow) within time T regardless how player II plays; then

$$w(x) - CT \leq G(x, N\tau^2) \leq \max_{y \in D} w(y).$$

• Unlike the d = 0 case, two-way reachability does NOT hold.

Interior One-way Reachability



Player I's strategy: at each step, choose $\eta = \frac{V}{|V|}$ until it reaches the target lower level set of \mathcal{H} : $\mathcal{H}(X_{n+1}) - \mathcal{H}(X_n) \leq -|D\mathcal{H}(X_n)| \tau^2 + O(\tau^3)$. Then switch to $\eta = 0$ to reach the target point P_2 .

Quarter Cell Boundary Crossing: PDE Method



Evolve G-equation with initial data $(\Omega \subset S = (0, 1)^2)$: $g_S(x) = -\frac{2}{\pi} \arctan(\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial S))$, for $x \in S$; else, $\frac{2}{\pi} \arctan(\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial S))$. The edge $\{0\} \times (0, 1)$ is part of the zero level set of *G* where:

$$v_{\vec{n}} = (1 - d\kappa)_+ + V(x) \cdot \vec{n} \approx 1,$$

so $\exists t_{\delta} > 0$ s.t. $G((0, \theta), t) < 0$ for $(\theta, t) \in [\delta, 1 - \delta] \times (0, t_{\delta}]$. An optimal game trajectory starting from $W_{\alpha,\delta}$ must pass through the edge $\{0\} \times [\delta, 1 - \delta]$ during the time interval $(0, t_{\delta}]$.

PDE estimates from: 1-Way Reachability

Lemma

Let G(x, t) be the unique solution with $G(x, 0) = p \cdot x$. There exist positive constants β and C depending only on d and V s.t. for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, \infty)$,

$$G(x,t) - p \cdot x \le -\beta t + C \tag{8}$$

and

$$\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \lambda \, v_{\lambda}(x) < -\frac{\beta}{2} + \lambda \, C. \tag{9}$$

Inequality (8) follows from estimating travel times of game trajectories across cells and 1-way reachability inequalities.

Inequality (9) from constructing a super-solution to a time-dependent variant of the discount cell problem via the inequality (8).

Minimum Principle from: 1-Way Reachability

Applying inequality (9) at λ < β/(4C), we have from the discount cell problem:

$$\left(1-d \operatorname{div}\left(rac{p+Dv_{\lambda}}{|p+Dv_{\lambda}|}
ight)
ight)_{+}|p+Dv_{\lambda}|+V(y)\cdot(p+Dv_{\lambda})\geq eta/4$$

- \rightarrow minimum principle: The minimum value of $u_{\lambda} := p \cdot x + v_{\lambda}$ in a domain can only be attained on its boundary.
- $u = u_{\lambda}$ is a viscosity sub-solution of the stationary G-equation:

$$\left(1 - d \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{Du_{\lambda}}{|Du_{\lambda}|}\right)\right)_{+} |Du_{\lambda}| + V(y) \cdot (Du_{\lambda}) = 1 + \max_{[-\pi,\pi]^{2}} |V|(y) := \alpha$$
satisfying $u_{\lambda}(x_{0}) \leq \max_{y \in \overline{S}} u_{\lambda}(y) + \alpha T_{0}$
if a bounded set S is reachable from x within T₀ and S is invariant.

if a bounded set S is reachable from x_0 within T_0 , and S is invariant under -V flow.

IPAM, 4/26/2024

Quarter Cell Interior Oscillation Estimate



For each point x ∈ ∂Q_μ and each point y ∈ Q_μ, u_λ(x) ≥ u_λ(y) − C_μ for some constant C_μ > 0. Accordingly:

$$\min_{x\in\partial \mathcal{Q}_{\mu}}u_{\lambda}(x)\geq \max_{x\in\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mu}}u_{\lambda}(x)-\mathcal{C}_{\mu}.$$

• By minimum principle: $\min_{x \in \partial Q_{\mu}} u_{\lambda}(x) = \min_{x \in \overline{Q}_{\mu}} u_{\lambda}(x)$, and so: $\max_{x \in \overline{Q}_{\mu}} u_{\lambda}(x) - \min_{x \in \overline{Q}_{\mu}} u_{\lambda}(x) = \max_{x, y \in \overline{Q}_{\mu}} |u_{\lambda}(x) - u_{\lambda}(y)| \le C_{\mu}.$

IPAM, 4/26/2024

Conclusions and Future Work

- Deep Particle (DP) framework to compute and learn multi-scale PDEs with physical parameter dependence based on data from stochastic interacting particle (SIP) systems.
- Learned and generated complex phenomena of FKPP in 3D flows.
- Computed 1st eigenvalue of advection dominated reaction-diffusion operators on ℝ^d (d = 16) by SIP with linear complexity.
- Integrated two-person game and PDE method for existence of average curvature dependent front speeds in cell flows.
- Ongoing/future: (1) DP for reaction-diffusion models with interacting particle description arising in cancer cell spreading. (2) curvature G-equation in 3D: non-existence of H
 ₊ in shear flow V = (0,0, A f(x₁, x₂)) if A exceeds a finite value, i.e. averaging fails in some direction (Mitake, Mooney, Tran, X, Yu, '23).