Nonlinear Integrate & Fire Neuron Models

María del Mar González

Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

Febrauary 29th, 2012

< ∃ >

 Joint work with: J. Carrillo (UAB), M. Gualdani (UT Austin), M. Schonbek (UCSC)

-

- Joint work with: J. Carrillo (UAB), M. Gualdani (UT Austin), M. Schonbek (UCSC)
- Previous work: M. Cáceres, J. Carrillo, B. Perthame (2011)

The model

Unknown: V =membrane potential

Basic LIF model

$$C_m \frac{dV}{dt} = -g_L(V - V_L) + I(t)$$

- $\tau_m = C_m/g_L \approx 10ms$ $V_L \approx -70mV$.
- *I*(*t*): the external input current.

→ ∃ → → ∃ →

The model

Unknown: V = membrane potential

Basic LIF model

$$C_m \frac{dV}{dt} = -g_L(V - V_L) + I(t)$$

- $\tau_m = C_m/g_L \approx 10ms$ $V_L \approx -70mV$.
- *I*(*t*): the external input current.
- Firing voltage: threshold value $V_F \approx -50 mV$.
- Reset voltage: discharged value $V_R \approx -60 mV$.



Stochastic Synapse Model

$$I(t) = J_E \sum_{i=1}^{C_E} \sum_{j} \delta(t - t_{Ej}^{i}) - J_I \sum_{i=1}^{C_I} \sum_{j} \delta(t - t_{Ij}^{i})$$

Parameters

- Two different Neuron-types: Inhibitory (I) or Excitatory (E).
 Strength of the Synapse: J.
 Number of presynaptic neurons: C.
- Spiking times: tⁱ_j = time of the jth-spike coming from the ith-presynaptic neuron.
- Stochastic Assumption: Neurons spike according to a Poisson process with constant probability of emitting a spike per unit time ν.



Mean and Variance of I(t):

$$\mu_C = b\nu$$
 with $b = C_E J_E - C_I J_I$ and $\sigma_C^2 = (C_E J_E^2 + C_I J_I^2)\nu$.

Diffusion Approximation

Several authors (Brunel, Hakim, Renart, Wang, Mattia, del Giudice) propose to approximate the current by

 $I(t) dt \approx \mu_C dt + \sqrt{\sigma_C} dB_t$

э

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Mean and Variance of I(t):

$$\mu_C = b\nu$$
 with $b = C_E J_E - C_I J_I$ and $\sigma_C^2 = (C_E J_E^2 + C_I J_I^2)\nu$.

Diffusion Approximation

Several authors (Brunel, Hakim, Renart, Wang, Mattia, del Giudice) propose to approximate the current by

 $I(t) dt \approx \mu_C dt + \sqrt{\sigma_C} dB_t$

• Firing rate: $\nu = \nu_{ext} + N(t)$ where N(t) is the mean firing rate of the network.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

э

Mean and Variance of I(t):

$$\mu_C = b\nu$$
 with $b = C_E J_E - C_I J_I$ and $\sigma_C^2 = (C_E J_E^2 + C_I J_I^2)\nu$.

Diffusion Approximation

Several authors (Brunel, Hakim, Renart, Wang, Mattia, del Giudice) propose to approximate the current by

 $I(t) dt \approx \mu_C dt + \sqrt{\sigma_C} dB_t$

- Firing rate: $\nu = \nu_{ext} + N(t)$ where N(t) is the mean firing rate of the network.
- 2 The value of N(t) is then computed as the flux of probability that neurons cross the threshold voltage V_F per unit time.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Mean and Variance of I(t):

$$\mu_C = b\nu$$
 with $b = C_E J_E - C_I J_I$ and $\sigma_C^2 = (C_E J_E^2 + C_I J_I^2)\nu$.

Diffusion Approximation

Several authors (Brunel, Hakim, Renart, Wang, Mattia, del Giudice) propose to approximate the current by

 $I(t) dt \approx \mu_C dt + \sqrt{\sigma_C} dB_t$

- Firing rate: $\nu = \nu_{ext} + N(t)$ where N(t) is the mean firing rate of the network.
- 2 The value of N(t) is then computed as the flux of probability that neurons cross the threshold voltage V_F per unit time.
- Average-excitatory (-inhibitory resp.) if b > 0 (b < 0 resp.).

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

 Ito's rule: PDE for the evolution of the probability density p(v, t) ≥ 0 of finding neurons at a voltage v ∈ (-∞, V_F] at a time t ≥ 0.

4 3 5 4 3 5

 Ito's rule: PDE for the evolution of the probability density p(v, t) ≥ 0 of finding neurons at a voltage v ∈ (-∞, V_F] at a time t ≥ 0.

Nonlinear Fokker-Planck equation in $v \in (-\infty, V_F]$.

$$p_t(v,t) + \partial_v \left[\frac{h(v,N(t))p(v,t)}{p(v,t)} - p_{vv}(v,t) = \frac{N(t)\delta_{v-V_R}}{\delta_{v-V_R}},$$

向 ト イヨ ト イヨト

 Ito's rule: PDE for the evolution of the probability density p(v, t) ≥ 0 of finding neurons at a voltage v ∈ (-∞, V_F] at a time t ≥ 0.

Nonlinear Fokker-Planck equation in $v \in (-\infty, V_F]$.

$$p_t(v,t) + \partial_v \left[\frac{h(v,N(t))}{p(v,t)} - p_{vv}(v,t) = N(t)\delta_{v-V_R}, \right]$$

• Drift:
$$h(v, N) = -v + bN$$
.

向 ト イヨ ト イヨト

 Ito's rule: PDE for the evolution of the probability density p(v, t) ≥ 0 of finding neurons at a voltage v ∈ (-∞, V_F] at a time t ≥ 0.

Nonlinear Fokker-Planck equation in $v \in (-\infty, V_F]$.

$$p_t(v,t) + \partial_v \left[\frac{h(v, N(t))}{p(v,t)} - p_{vv}(v,t) = N(t) \delta_{v-V_R}, \right]$$

• Drift:
$$h(v, N) = -v + bN$$
.

• Mean Firing Rate: $N(t) := -p_v(V_F, t) \ge 0$.

通 と イ ヨ と イ ヨ と

 Ito's rule: PDE for the evolution of the probability density p(v, t) ≥ 0 of finding neurons at a voltage v ∈ (-∞, V_F] at a time t ≥ 0.

Nonlinear Fokker-Planck equation in $v \in (-\infty, V_F]$.

$$p_t(v,t) + \partial_v \left[h(v, N(t)) p(v,t) \right] - p_{vv}(v,t) = N(t) \delta_{v-V_R},$$

• Drift:
$$h(v, N) = -v + bN$$
.

- Mean Firing Rate: $N(t) := -p_v(V_F, t) \ge 0$.
- Boundary conditions: $p(V_F, t) = 0$, $p(-\infty, t) = 0$

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

 Ito's rule: PDE for the evolution of the probability density p(v, t) ≥ 0 of finding neurons at a voltage v ∈ (-∞, V_F] at a time t ≥ 0.

Nonlinear Fokker-Planck equation in $v \in (-\infty, V_F]$.

$$p_t(v,t) + \partial_v \left[h(v, N(t)) p(v,t) \right] - p_{vv}(v,t) = N(t) \delta_{v-V_R},$$

• Drift:
$$h(v, N) = -v + bN$$
.

- Mean Firing Rate: $N(t) := -p_v(V_F, t) \ge 0$.
- Boundary conditions: $p(V_F, t) = 0$, $p(-\infty, t) = 0$
- Initial data: $p(v,0) = p_I(v)$.

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

• Conservation of Mass:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{V_F} p(v,t) dv = \int_{-\infty}^{V_F} p_I(v) dv = 1.$$

э

聞 と く き と く き と

• Conservation of Mass:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{V_F} p(v,t) dv = \int_{-\infty}^{V_F} p_I(v) dv = 1.$$

- Excitatory case (b > 0): (Cáceres-Carrillo-Perthame)
 - There exists initial data such that develop blow up at finite time
 - There are cases of non-existence or non-uniqueness for the steady state

★ ∃ →

• Conservation of Mass:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{V_F} p(v,t) dv = \int_{-\infty}^{V_F} p_I(v) dv = 1.$$

- Excitatory case (b > 0): (Cáceres-Carrillo-Perthame)
 - There exists initial data such that develop blow up at finite time
 - There are cases of non-existence or non-uniqueness for the steady state
- Concentrate, for now, on the inhibitory case (b < 0)

• Change of variables: Fokker-Plank to heat equation $y = e^t v, \quad \tau = \frac{1}{2}(e^{2t} - 1), \quad p(v, t) = e^t w \left(e^t v, \frac{1}{2}(e^{2t} - 1)\right)$

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

э

• Change of variables: Fokker-Plank to heat equation $y = e^t v$, $\tau = \frac{1}{2}(e^{2t} - 1)$, $p(v, t) = e^t w \left(e^t v, \frac{1}{2}(e^{2t} - 1)\right)$ yields

$$w_{\tau} = w_{yy} - bM(\tau)\alpha^{-1}(\tau)w_{y} + M(\tau)\delta_{\{y=\frac{v_{R}}{\alpha(\tau)}\}}$$

• Change of variables: Fokker-Plank to heat equation $y = e^t v$, $\tau = \frac{1}{2}(e^{2t} - 1)$, $p(v, t) = e^t w \left(e^t v, \frac{1}{2}(e^{2t} - 1)\right)$ yields

$$\begin{split} \boxed{w_{\tau} = w_{yy} - bM(\tau)\alpha^{-1}(\tau)w_{y} + M(\tau)\delta_{\{y = \frac{v_{R}}{\alpha(\tau)}\}}} \\ \text{where } \alpha(\tau) = (2\tau + 1)^{-1/2} = e^{-t}, \quad M(\tau) = -\left.\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}\right|_{y=0} \end{split}$$

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

э

• Change of variables: Fokker-Plank to heat equation $y = e^t v$, $\tau = \frac{1}{2}(e^{2t} - 1)$, $p(v, t) = e^t w \left(e^t v, \frac{1}{2}(e^{2t} - 1)\right)$ yields

$$w_{\tau} = w_{yy} - bM(\tau)\alpha^{-1}(\tau)w_{y} + M(\tau)\delta_{\{y=\frac{v_{R}}{\alpha(\tau)}\}}$$

where $\alpha(\tau) = (2\tau + 1)^{-1/2} = e^{-t}$, $M(\tau) = -\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}\Big|_{y=0}$

• Change of variables: Get rid of wy term:

• Change of variables: Fokker-Plank to heat equation $y = e^t v$, $\tau = \frac{1}{2}(e^{2t} - 1)$, $p(v, t) = e^t w \left(e^t v, \frac{1}{2}(e^{2t} - 1)\right)$ yields

$$w_{\tau} = w_{yy} - bM(\tau)\alpha^{-1}(\tau)w_{y} + M(\tau)\delta_{\{y=\frac{v_{R}}{\alpha(\tau)}\}}$$

where $\alpha(\tau) = (2\tau + 1)^{-1/2} = e^{-t}$, $M(\tau) = -\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}\Big|_{y=0}$

• Change of variables: Get rid of w_y term:

$$u(x, \tau) = w(y, \tau), \quad x = y - b \int_0^{\tau} M(s) \alpha^{-1}(s) \, ds.$$

• Change of variables: Fokker-Plank to heat equation $y = e^t v$, $\tau = \frac{1}{2}(e^{2t} - 1)$, $p(v, t) = e^t w \left(e^t v, \frac{1}{2}(e^{2t} - 1)\right)$ yields

$$w_{\tau} = w_{yy} - bM(\tau)\alpha^{-1}(\tau)w_{y} + M(\tau)\delta_{\{y=\frac{v_{R}}{\alpha(\tau)}\}}$$
$$\alpha(\tau) = (2\tau + 1)^{-1/2} - e^{-t} M(\tau) = -\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}$$

where $\alpha(\tau) = (2\tau + 1)^{-1/2} = e^{-t}$, $M(\tau) = -\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}\Big|_{y=0}$.

• Change of variables: Get rid of wy term:

$$u(x, \tau) = w(y, \tau), \quad x = y - b \int_0^{\tau} M(s) \alpha^{-1}(s) \, ds.$$

- Get a free boundary Stefan problem with source
- Related to a price formation equation (Lasry-Lions, G.-Gualdani, Chayes-G.-Gualdani-Kim, Caffarelli-Markowich-Pietschmann)

$$(P) \begin{cases} u_{t} = u_{xx} + M(t)\delta_{x=s_{1}(t)}, & x < s(t), t > 0, \\ s(t) = -b \int_{0}^{t} M(s)\alpha^{-1}(s) \, ds, & t > 0, \\ M(t) = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\Big|_{x=s(t)}, & t > 0, \end{cases}$$

э

$$(P) \begin{cases} u_{t} = u_{xx} + M(t)\delta_{x=s_{1}(t)}, & x < s(t), t > 0, \\ s(t) = -b\int_{0}^{t} M(s)\alpha^{-1}(s) \, ds, & t > 0, \\ M(t) = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\Big|_{x=s(t)}, & t > 0, \\ s_{1}(t) = s(t) + \frac{v_{R}}{\alpha(t)}, & t > 0, \end{cases}$$

æ

3

$$(P) \begin{cases} u_t = u_{xx} + M(t)\delta_{x=s_1(t)}, & x < s(t), t > 0, \\ s(t) = -b \int_0^t M(s)\alpha^{-1}(s) \, ds, & t > 0, \\ M(t) = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=s(t)}, & t > 0, \\ s_1(t) = s(t) + \frac{v_R}{\alpha(t)}, & t > 0, \\ u(-\infty, t) = 0, & u(s(t), t) = 0, & t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_I(x), & x < 0. \end{cases}$$

э

$$(P) \quad \begin{cases} u_t = u_{xx} + M(t)\delta_{x=s_1(t)}, & x < s(t), t > 0, \\ s(t) = -b \int_0^t M(s)\alpha^{-1}(s) \, ds, & t > 0, \\ M(t) = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=s(t)}, & t > 0, \\ s_1(t) = s(t) + \frac{v_R}{\alpha(t)}, & t > 0, \\ u(-\infty, t) = 0, & u(s(t), t) = 0, & t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_I(x), & x < 0. \end{cases}$$

• Conservation of mass: $\int_{-\infty}^{s(t)} u(x,t) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{0} u_I(x) dx$

$$(P) \quad \begin{cases} u_t = u_{xx} + M(t)\delta_{x=s_1(t)}, & x < s(t), t > 0, \\ s(t) = -b \int_0^t M(s)\alpha^{-1}(s) \, ds, & t > 0, \\ M(t) = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=s(t)}, & t > 0, \\ s_1(t) = s(t) + \frac{v_R}{\alpha(t)}, & t > 0, \\ u(-\infty, t) = 0, & u(s(t), t) = 0, & t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_I(x), & x < 0. \end{cases}$$

Conservation of mass: ∫^{s(t)}_{-∞} u(x, t) dx = ∫⁰_{-∞} u_l(x)dx
 The flux across the free boundary s(t) is the jump of the δ at s₁(t): M(t) := -u_x(s(t), t) = u_x(s₁(t)⁻, t) - u_x(s₁(t)⁺, t)

$$(P) \begin{cases} u_t = u_{xx} + M(t)\delta_{x=s_1(t)}, & x < s(t), t > 0, \\ s(t) = -b \int_0^t M(s)\alpha^{-1}(s) \, ds, & t > 0, \\ M(t) = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=s(t)}, & t > 0, \\ s_1(t) = s(t) + \frac{v_R}{\alpha(t)}, & t > 0, \\ u(-\infty, t) = 0, & u(s(t), t) = 0, & t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_I(x), & x < 0. \end{cases}$$

• Conservation of mass: $\int_{-\infty}^{s(t)} u(x, t) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{0} u_I(x) dx$

- **2** The flux across the free boundary s(t) is the jump of the δ at $s_1(t)$: $M(t) := -u_x(s(t), t) = u_x(s_1(t)^-, t) u_x(s_1(t)^+, t)$
- If b < 0, the free boundary s(t) is an increasing function of time, and s(t), s₁(t) never cross.

Integral formulation a la Friedman

• Green's function:
$$G(x, t, \xi, \tau) = \frac{1}{[4\pi(t-\tau)]^{1/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{|x-\xi|^2}{4(t-\tau)}\right\}$$

白 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

æ

Integral formulation a la Friedman

• Green's function:
$$G(x, t, \xi, \tau) = \frac{1}{[4\pi(t-\tau)]^{1/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{|x-\xi|^2}{4(t-\tau)}\right\}$$

.

• • = • • = •

3

• Duhamel's formula:

$$u(x,t) = \underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{0} G(x,t,\xi,0)u_{I}(\xi)d\xi}_{\text{homogeneous heat equation}} - \underbrace{\int_{0}^{t} M(\tau)G(x,t,s(\tau),\tau)d\tau}_{\text{Takes care of free boundary}} + \underbrace{\int_{0}^{t} M(\tau)G(x,t,s_{1}(\tau),\tau)d\tau}_{\text{Delta function at }s_{1}(t)}$$

Integral formula for M

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}(t) &= \partial_x u(s(t), t) \\ &= -2 \int_{-\infty}^0 G(s(t), t, \xi, 0) u_l'(\xi) \, d\xi \\ &+ 2 \int_0^t \mathcal{M}(\tau) G_x(s(t), t, s(\tau), \tau) d\tau \\ &- 2 \int_0^t \mathcal{M}(\tau) G_x(s(t), t, s_1(\tau), \tau) d\tau \\ &=: \Theta(\mathcal{M})(t) \end{split}$$

回 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

æ

Integral formula for M

Ι

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}(t) &= \partial_{x} u(s(t), t) \\ &= -2 \int_{-\infty}^{0} G(s(t), t, \xi, 0) u_{I}'(\xi) \, d\xi \\ &+ 2 \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{M}(\tau) G_{x}(s(t), t, s(\tau), \tau) d\tau \\ &- 2 \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{M}(\tau) G_{x}(s(t), t, s_{1}(\tau), \tau) d\tau \\ &=: \Theta(\mathcal{M})(t) \end{split}$$

Fixed point argument: Θ : $C_{\sigma,m} \rightarrow C_{\sigma,m}$, where $C_{\sigma,m} := \{M \in \mathcal{C}([0,\sigma]) : ||M|| \le m\}$ $||M|| := \sup_{0 \le t \le \sigma} |M(t)|$

A B M A B M

Integral formula for M

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}(t) &= \partial_{x} u(s(t), t) \\ &= -2 \int_{-\infty}^{0} G(s(t), t, \xi, 0) u_{I}'(\xi) \, d\xi \\ &+ 2 \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{M}(\tau) G_{x}(s(t), t, s(\tau), \tau) d\tau \\ &- 2 \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{M}(\tau) G_{x}(s(t), t, s_{1}(\tau), \tau) d\tau \\ &=: \Theta(\mathcal{M})(t) \end{split}$$

Fixed point argument: $\Theta : C_{\sigma,m} \to C_{\sigma,m}$, where $C_{\sigma,m} := \{M \in \mathcal{C}([0,\sigma]) : \|M\| \le m\}$ $\|M\| := \sup_{0 \le t \le \sigma} |M(t)|$ Contraction for σ small, where $\sigma = \sigma(\|u_I'\|, v_R)$

Lemma - short time existence for M

 \exists ! continuous solution *M* for $t \in (0, T)$

→ 3 → < 3</p>

Lemma - short time existence for M

 \exists ! continuous solution *M* for $t \in (0, T)$

Corollary - short time existence for u

 \exists ! "regular" solution *u* for $t \in (0, T)$

I ≡ → I

Lemma - no break up time

 $\exists \mu > 0$ small enough such that, for any $t_0 > 0$, if

$$\sup_{x\in(-\infty,s(t_0-\mu)]}|u_x(x,t_0-\mu)|<\infty,$$

then also

$$\sup_{t_0-\mu< t< t_0} M(t) < \infty.$$

Here μ is independent of t_0 .

Proof: more careful estimates in the integral formula

I ≡ → I

Lemma - no break up time

 $\exists \mu > 0$ small enough such that, for any $t_0 > 0$, if

$$\sup_{x\in(-\infty,s(t_0-\mu)]}|u_x(x,t_0-\mu)|<\infty,$$

then also

$$\sup_{t_0-\mu < t < t_0} M(t) < \infty.$$

Here μ is independent of t_0 .

Proof: more careful estimates in the integral formula

Theorem (b < 0)

There exists a unique regular solution u for all t > 0.

/□ ▶ < 글 ▶ < 글

Criterium

Solution exists up to time T^* , where

$$T^* = \sup\{t < 0 : M(t) < \infty\}.$$

Proof:

M bounded $\Rightarrow u_x$ bounded \Rightarrow Can extend solution past T^*

伺 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

Asymptotics for b = 0 (linear case - no free boundary)

$$(P_0) \begin{cases} p_t = \partial_v [vp] + p_{vv} + N(t)\delta_{v=V_R}, := \mathcal{L}p, \quad v < 0, t > 0, \\ N(t) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial v} \Big|_{v=0}, \quad t > 0, \\ p(-\infty, t) = 0, \quad p(0, t) = 0, \quad t > 0, \\ p(v, 0) = p_I(v), \quad v < 0. \end{cases}$$

æ

御 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

Asymptotics for b = 0 (linear case - no free boundary)

$$(P_0) \quad \begin{cases} p_t = \partial_v [vp] + p_{vv} + N(t)\delta_{v=V_R} := \mathcal{L}p, \quad v < 0, t > 0, \\ N(t) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial v} \Big|_{v=0}, \quad t > 0, \\ p(-\infty, t) = 0, \quad p(0, t) = 0, \quad t > 0, \\ p(v, 0) = p_l(v), \quad v < 0. \end{cases}$$

Known (Caceres-Carrillo-Perthame):

- There is a unique equilibrium.
- Solution decays exponentially fast to equilibrium.
- Entropy methods+Poincaré inequality
- Weight does not satisfy Muckenhoupt condition

Asymptotics for b = 0 (linear case - no free boundary)

$$(P_0) \quad \begin{cases} p_t = \partial_v [vp] + p_{vv} + N(t)\delta_{v=V_R} := \mathcal{L}p, \quad v < 0, t > 0, \\ N(t) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial v} \Big|_{v=0}, \quad t > 0, \\ p(-\infty, t) = 0, \quad p(0, t) = 0, \quad t > 0, \\ p(v, 0) = p_l(v), \quad v < 0. \end{cases}$$

Known (Caceres-Carrillo-Perthame):

- There is a unique equilibrium.
- Solution decays exponentially fast to equilibrium.
- Entropy methods+Poincaré inequality
- Weight does not satisfy Muckenhoupt condition

Question: decay.... at what rate ????

There exists a one parameter family of equilibria

$$p_{\infty}(v) = \begin{cases} e^{-v^2/2} & v \in (-\infty, V_R), \\ \alpha_0 e^{-v^2/2} \int_v^0 e^{v^2/2} dv & v \in (V_R, 0], \end{cases}$$

for

$$\alpha_0 := \left(\int_{V_R}^0 e^{v^2/2} \, dv\right)^{-1}.$$

□ > < E > < E >

э

Standard Fokker-Planck equation

• Compute the eigenvalues: $\mathcal{L}p = \lambda p$, where

$$p_t = \partial_v \left[vp \right] + p_{vv} := \mathcal{L}p$$

• Norm:

$$\|p\|_{L^2_{\exp}(\mathbb{R})}^2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{v^2/4} |p(v)| \right)^2 dv.$$

Standard Fokker-Planck equation

• Compute the eigenvalues: $\mathcal{L}p = \lambda p$, where

$$p_t = \partial_v \left[vp \right] + p_{vv} := \mathcal{L}p$$

• Norm:

$$\|p\|_{L^2_{exp}(\mathbb{R})}^2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{v^2/4} |p(v)| \right)^2 dv.$$

Eigenvalues are

$$\lambda = -n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

• Eigenfunctions are given by the Hermite polynomials H_n as

$$p_n(v)=H_n(v)e^{-v^2/2}.$$

$$(P_0) \begin{cases} p_t = \partial_v [vp] + p_{vv} + N(t)\delta_{v=V_R} := \mathcal{L}p, \quad v < 0, t > 0, \\ N(t) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial v} \Big|_{v=0}, \quad t > 0, \\ p(-\infty, t) = 0, \quad p(0, t) = 0, \quad t > 0, \\ p(v, 0) = p_I(v), \quad v < 0. \end{cases}$$

御 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

æ

$$(P_0) \quad \begin{cases} p_t = \partial_v [vp] + p_{vv} + N(t)\delta_{v=V_R}, := \mathcal{L}p, \quad v < 0, t > 0, \\ N(t) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial v} \Big|_{v=0}, \quad t > 0, \\ p(-\infty, t) = 0, \quad p(0, t) = 0, \quad t > 0, \\ p(v, 0) = p_l(v), \quad v < 0. \end{cases}$$

Theorem

• $\lambda = 0$ is an eigenvalue for \mathcal{L} with one-dimensional eigenspace that corresponds to the steady state.

< ∃ >

$$(P_0) \quad \begin{cases} p_t = \partial_v [vp] + p_{vv} + N(t)\delta_{v=V_R}, := \mathcal{L}p, \quad v < 0, t > 0, \\ N(t) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial v} \Big|_{v=0}, \quad t > 0, \\ p(-\infty, t) = 0, \quad p(0, t) = 0, \quad t > 0, \\ p(v, 0) = p_l(v), \quad v < 0. \end{cases}$$

Theorem

- $\lambda = 0$ is an eigenvalue for \mathcal{L} with one-dimensional eigenspace that corresponds to the steady state.
- No other λ ∈ C can be an eigenvalue for L, except for a countable set of values for V_R.

I ≡ ▶ < </p>

$$(P_0) \quad \begin{cases} p_t = \partial_v [vp] + p_{vv} + N(t)\delta_{v=V_R}, := \mathcal{L}p, \quad v < 0, t > 0, \\ N(t) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial v} \Big|_{v=0}, \quad t > 0, \\ p(-\infty, t) = 0, \quad p(0, t) = 0, \quad t > 0, \\ p(v, 0) = p_l(v), \quad v < 0. \end{cases}$$

Theorem

- $\lambda = 0$ is an eigenvalue for \mathcal{L} with one-dimensional eigenspace that corresponds to the steady state.
- No other λ ∈ C can be an eigenvalue for L, except for a countable set of values for V_R.

(Proof: matching + uniqueness of solutions for ODE.)

$$(P_0) \quad \begin{cases} p_t = \partial_v [vp] + p_{vv} + N(t)\delta_{v=V_R}, := \mathcal{L}p, \quad v < 0, t > 0, \\ N(t) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial v} \Big|_{v=0}, \quad t > 0, \\ p(-\infty, t) = 0, \quad p(0, t) = 0, \quad t > 0, \\ p(v, 0) = p_l(v), \quad v < 0. \end{cases}$$

Theorem

- $\lambda = 0$ is an eigenvalue for \mathcal{L} with one-dimensional eigenspace that corresponds to the steady state.
- No other $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ can be an eigenvalue for \mathcal{L} , except for a countable set of values for V_R .

(Proof: matching + uniqueness of solutions for ODE.) Conclusion: the spectral gap may have nothing to do with the exponential decay to equilibrium !!!

Tenth WORKSHOP ON INTERACTIONS BETWEEN DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS AND PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Barcelona, May 28 - June 1, 2012 Financial support available. Deadline: March 30, 2012.

- M. Capinski (Krakow): Geometric methods for invariant manifolds in dynamical systems
- M. Gidea (Chicago): Aubry Mather Theory from a Topological Viewpoint
- H. Shahgholian (KTH): Obstacle type free boundaries (Theory and applications)
- E. Valdinoci (Milano): Local phase transition equations

▶ < □ ▶ < □</p>

Thank you!!

▲御▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶

æ