ONE-DIMENSIONAL SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS WITH SLOWLY DECAYING POTENTIALS: SPECTRA AND ASYMPTOTICS OR BABY FOURIER ANALYSIS MEETS TOY QUANTUM MECHANICS

MICHAEL CHRIST AND ALEXANDER KISELEV

Notes for IPAM tutorial, March 19-23, 2001 Workshop on Oscillatory Integrals and Dispersive Equations

Contents

1.	Introduction and background	2
2.	Two (sample) principal results	4
3.	A criterion for ac spectrum	5
4.	Expansions for generalized eigenfunctions	8
5.	WKB approximation	9
6.	Transmission and reflection coefficients	10
7.	Reduction and expansion	11
8.	Maximal operators	13
9.	Multilinear operators and maximal variants	15
10.	Perturbations of Stark operators	19
11.	Slowly varying and power-decaying potentials	20
12.	Wave operators and scattering	24
13.	Three variations on a theme of Strichartz	27
14.	Open problems	28
References		30

These informal notes survey research carried out jointly by the authors over the last few years, and particularly developments since the Fall of 1999. The text heavily emphasizes our own efforts, with limited discussion of the extensive prior literature.¹ Among many possible sources for an introduction to that literature are [41, 48, 18, 52, 8]. The papers cited in the bibliography contain more complete references.

Date: March 8, 2001.

The author's preparation of these notes was supported by NSF grant DMS-9970660, by the Institute for Pure and Applied Mathematics. and by the Miller Institute for Basic Research in Science, while he was on appointment as a Miller Research Professor.

The second author was supported in part by NSF grant DMS-9801530.

¹All errors and omissions are the sole responsibility of the first author.

1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

The basic object of study is a time-independent Schrödinger operator on the real line

(1)
$$H = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x).$$

Standing hypotheses throughout these notes (except for the discussion of a few examples in §1) are that V is real-valued and that $\int_{|x-y|<1} |V(y)| dy \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$, although Theorem 22 can be formulated more generally. Then H is self-adjoint on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Considered instead as an operator on $L^2[0,\infty)$ with (say) Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions, it is likewise self-adjoint.

A quantum-mechanical interpretation is that H_0 describes the behavior of a free electron, while $H_0 + V$ describes one electron interacting with an external electrical field, described by the potential V. One can sometimes think of V as representing some disorder. We will be interested in the case of small disorder, where $V \to 0$ at ∞ in some sense.

If V is sufficiently small, then the spectrum of $H_0 + V$ should resemble that of H_0 . One of the goals of the theory surveyed in these notes is to justify this expectation for certain classes of potentials. In particular, reasonably precise and sharp conditions will be given for the persistence of absolutely continuous spectrum under perturbations.

To any self-adjoint operator H on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . and any vector $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ is associated a spectral measure μ_{φ} , satisfying

(2)
$$\langle f(H)\varphi,\varphi\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\lambda) \, d\mu_{\varphi}(\lambda)$$

for any Borel measurable, bounded function f.

Any finite measure μ decomposes as $\mu_{\rm s} + \mu_{\rm ac}$ where the summands are respectively singular with respect to, and mutually absolutely continuous with respect to, Lebesgue measure. The singular component decomposes further as $\mu_{\rm pp} + \mu_{\rm sc}$ where the last summand contains no atoms, while $\mu_{\rm pp}$ is a countable linear combination of Dirac masses. *H* is said to have (some) absolutely continuous spectrum if there exists $\varphi \neq 0$ such that $(\mu_{\varphi})_{\rm ac} \neq 0$, and to have purely absolutely continuous spectrum if $\mu_{\varphi} = (\mu_{\varphi})_{\rm ac}$ for every φ . We often abbreviate "absolutely continuous" as "ac". Similarly one speaks of pure point and purely sc spectrum. $\mathcal{H}_{\rm ac}$ denotes the maximal subspace of \mathcal{H} on which *H* has purely absolutely continuous spectrum.

The point spectrum is dictated by the eigenfunctions, that is, the L^2 solutions of Hu = Eu. By a generalized eigenfunction² of $H = H_0 + V$ we mean a solution u of $Hu \equiv Eu$ for some $E \in \mathbb{R}$. A generalized eigenfunction has at most exponential growth if V is uniformly in L^1_{loc} ; the spectrum is related to those with at most polynomial growth. More precise links between growth rates and the ac spectrum will be discussed in §3.

Suppose that a Borel measure μ on \mathbb{R} is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure. By an essential support of μ is meant a Borel set S such that $\mu(\mathbb{R}\backslash S) = 0$, and $\mu(E) > 0$ whenever $E \subset S$ has positive Lebesgue measure.

To μ_{φ} is associated its Borel transform

(3)
$$\mathcal{M}_{\mu_{\varphi}}(z) = \langle (H-z)^{-1}\varphi, \varphi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\lambda - z)^{-1} d\mu_{\varphi}(\lambda).$$

 $^{^{2}}$ This term is often used in with a more specific meaning, but in these notes will always mean any solution of the eigenfunction equation, with no growth restriction.

Since μ_{φ} is a finite measure, $\mathcal{M}(z)$ is well-defined whenever $\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0$. Its imaginary part is

(4)
$$\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\mu_{\varphi}}(E+i\varepsilon)\right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\varepsilon}{(\lambda-E)^2 + \varepsilon^2} \ d\mu_{\varphi}(\lambda).$$

Let μ be any locally finite positive measure. Define

(5)
$$D^{\alpha}\mu(x) = \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\mu(x-\varepsilon, x+\varepsilon)}{(2\varepsilon)^{\alpha}} .$$

By differentiation theory, in order to prove that a finite measure μ has a nonzero absolutely continuous component, it suffices to prove that $D^1\mu(x) > 0$ for all x in some set having positive Lebesgue measure. If $\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{M}_{\mu})(E+i\varepsilon) > 0$, then $D^1\mu(E) > 0$.

A brief tour of some basic classes of potentials, and the spectral properties of the associated Schrödinger operators:

- The free Hamiltonian $H_0 = -d^2/dx^2$ has purely ac spectrum.
- If $V(x) \to +\infty$ as $|x| \to \infty$ then the spectrum consists of a discrete sequence of eigenvalues tending to $+\infty$.
- If $V \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ then $H_0 + V$ has only point spectrum (aka bound states) in \mathbb{R}^- , with 0 as its only possible accumulation point. In \mathbb{R}^+ the spectrum is purely absolutely continuous, and an essential support is \mathbb{R}^+ itself. If $xV(x) \in L^1$ then there are only finitely many bound states.
- (Wigner-von Neumann potential) There exists a potential with asymptotic behavior $V(x) \sim c \sin(x)/x$ which has an eigenvalue at E = +1, embedded in the continuous spectrum.
- In \mathbb{R}^n for n > 1, if $V(x) = O(|x|^{-r})$ for some r > 1, then there are no positive eigenvalues [26, 51, 1]. Moreover, by the theorem of Agmon-Kato-Kuroda [41], $\mu_{sc} = \emptyset$, and an essential support of μ_{ac} is \mathbb{R}^+ .
- If V is periodic in \mathbb{R} then the spectrum is purely absolutely continuous, and consists of a countable sequence of intervals $[a_j, b_j]$ with $b_j \leq a_{j+1}$ and $a_j, b_j \to +\infty$.
- The Almost Mathieu operators h act on ℓ^2 by

(6)
$$hu(n) = u(n-1) + u(n+1) + v(n)u(n),$$

(7)
$$v(n) = \lambda \cos(\pi \alpha n + \theta)$$

where λ, α, θ are parameters. They exhibit all manner of spectra, including purely absolutely continuous, dense pure point, and purely singular continuous, depending on the magnitude of λ and Diophantine properties of α .

• (Pearson [40]) Let $h \ge 0$ be continuous and compactly supported, but not $\equiv 0$. Consider potentials with large gaps:

$$V(x) = \sum_{n} a_n h(x - x_n)$$

where $x_n \to +\infty$, and $a_n \to 0$. If $x_n/x_{n+1} \to 0$ sufficiently rapidly then the spectrum is purely absolutely continuous if $a \in \ell^2$, and is purely singular otherwise.

• Consider instead a family of potentials

(8)

(9)
$$V_{\omega}(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n(\omega)h(x-n)$$

³With rare exceptions, we discuss only operators on \mathbb{R}^1 ; this is one exception.

where $a \in \ell^{\infty}$, and $\omega \in \Omega$, a probability space. Suppose that $a_n = b_n r_n(\omega)$ where $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\{r_n\}$ are independent, identically distributed random variables, whose distributions are bounded and absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure. If $b_n \equiv b$, a nonzero constant, then for almost every ω , the spectrum of $H_0 + V_{\omega}$ consists entirely of (a dense in \mathbb{R}^+ set of) eigenvalues; there is no continuous spectrum. The same holds more generally, if $b_n \sim n^{-r}$ and $r \leq 1/2$.

- In the preceding example, if instead $\{b_n\} \in \ell^2$, then for almost every ω , the spectrum is purely absolutely continuous.
- For any r < 1, there exist potentials satisfying $V(x) = O(|x|^{-r})$ for which the set of all eigenvalues is *dense* in \mathbb{R}^+ .

When $V \in L^1$, there is no point spectrum embedded in the continuous spectrum. When for instance $V = O(|x|^{-r})$ and r < 1, it remained an open question until around 1996 whether there was necessarily any continuous spectrum. The first progress, for r > 3/4, was due to Kiselev [28]; a series of papers, culminating in [9] and Remling [42], established existence of ac spectrum for all r > 1/2. The situation is highly unstable, in the sense that for r < 1 there is sometimes *dense* point spectrum embedded in the continuous spectrum.

Deift and Killip obtained a definitive result, in some respects, by quite a different method [19].

Theorem 1 (Deift and Killip). If $V \in L^1 + L^2(\mathbb{R})$ then $H_0 + V$ has nonempty absolutely continuous spectrum; moreover, an essential support equals $(0, \infty)$.

These notes describe a further development of the method of [9], which requires slightly stronger hypotheses on V, but yields additional information. In particular, this additional information can be used to study the associated Schrödinger group $\exp(itH)$.

2. Two (sample) principal results

The main purpose of the work outlined in these notes is to better understand Schrödinger operators with rather slowly decaying potentials by

- 1. Analyzing the behavior of the associated generalized eigenfunctions.
- 2. Applying the resulting estimates to analyze the evolution group $\exp(itH)$.
- 3. Extending the discussion to wider classes of potentials.

In the course of doing so, we will also

- 4. Extend the range of the much-used WKB approximation, establishing a theory in which WKB asymptotics hold for parametrized families of functions, almost surely but not uniformly with respect to the parameter.
- 5. Develop general machinery concerning multilinear integral operators, and maximal versions thereof.

We now formulate two sample theorems, in order to indicate more concretely where we are heading.

Theorem 2. [10] Let $V \in L^1 + L^p(\mathbb{R})$ for some 1 . Then an essential support $for the absolute spectrum of <math>H = -\partial_x^2 + V$ is \mathbb{R}^+ . For almost every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists a generalized eigenfunction satisfying

(10)
$$u(x,\lambda) - e^{i\phi(x,\lambda)} \to 0 \text{ as } x \to +\infty,$$

where $\phi(x,\lambda) = \lambda x - (2\lambda)^{-1} \int_0^x V$.

⁴A perhaps more familiar form for the exponent is $i \int_0^x \sqrt{\lambda^2 - V(y)} \, dy$. If $V \in L^2$, this is equivalent to $i\phi(x,\lambda)$.

du/dx has corresponding asymptotics $i\lambda \cdot e^{i\phi(x,\lambda)}$.

Lack of smoothness of the potential is not the issue here; assuming that $\partial^k V/\partial^k x \in L^p + L^1$ for all k would not change the conclusions, nor would it make the theorem any easier to prove.⁵ Indeed, the examples (9) are smooth in this sense. This is as one might expect, from the uncertainty principle; spectral properties of H at energies in any fixed compact subinterval of \mathbb{R} should not depend strongly on behavior of the potential on scales ≤ 1 . The situation is quite different if V satisfies symbol-type hypotheses, ensuring that successive derivatives of V decay successively more rapidly [24].

This theorem captures a certain tradeoff: it has weaker hypotheses than $V \in L^1$, but offers a (necessarily) weaker conclusion. The improvement from hypothesizing merely that $V \in L^p$, rather than power decay $V = O(|x|^{-r})$, has the physical interpretation that long gaps in which the potential vanishes identically do not affect the ac spectrum (so long as V is sufficiently small; gaps are the essential feature of the examples of Pearson described above).

Our second sample result concerns long-time asymptotics for the associated evolution e^{itH} . For definitions of wave and scattering operators see §12.

Theorem 3. [14] Let $H = H_0 + V$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ with Dirichlet boundary condition at the origin. Suppose that $V \in L^p + L^1$ for some 1 . Suppose further that

(11)
$$\lim_{x \to +\infty} \int_0^x V(y) \, dy \qquad exists.$$

Then for each $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$, the wave operators Ω_{\pm} exist in L^2 norm as $t \to \pm \infty$. Moreover, Ω^{\pm} are bijective isometries from $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ to \mathcal{H}_{ac} .

For the physical interpretation, see $\S12$.

Moreover, the scattering operator $(\Omega^+)^{-1} \circ \Omega^-$ can be identified as a "Fourier multiplier" operator, which can be explicitly described in terms of the asymptotics of the phase $\phi(x, \lambda)$. See Theorem 27.

3. A CRITERION FOR AC SPECTRUM

How can one get a grip on the spectral measure for a selfadjoint operator H? A criterion of Weyl characterizes points E of the essential⁶ spectrum by the existence of sequences of unit vectors φ_n for which $||(H-E)\varphi_n||_{\mathcal{H}} \to 0$. For Schrödinger operators (in any dimension, satisfying mild hypotheses not stated here), an extension by Simon of a theorem of Sch'nol [45] states that the spectrum, as a set, coincides with the closure of the set of energies for which there is a polynomially bounded⁷ generalized eigenfunction; see also [52], page 501.

Several devices are potentially available for studying the ac spectrum:

- 1. (Perhaps the best-known strategy for this IPAM workshop audience.) Analyze the associated group e^{itH} ($e^{it\sqrt{H}}$ being problematic because H need not be positive), and recover μ_{φ} by Fourier inversion from the formula $\langle e^{itH}\varphi,\varphi\rangle = \int e^{it\lambda} d\mu_{\varphi}(\lambda)$.
- 2. Estimate resolvents $(H \lambda^2)^{-1}$, and apply Stone's formula via the Weyl *m*-function.
- 3. Apply the subordinacy theory developed by Gilbert and Pearson.

⁵Throughout these notes, the class $L^p + L^1$ can be replaced by the Birman-Solomjak space $\ell^p(L^1)$, which is the Banach space of all functions satisfying $\sum_n (\int_n^{n+1} |V|)^p < \infty$. ⁶The essential spectrum is the set of all limit points of the spectrum, that is, the spectrum minus all

⁶The essential spectrum is the set of all limit points of the spectrum, that is, the spectrum minus all isolated eigenvalues.

⁷Subexponential growth suffices.

4. Apply a criterion [15] relating the spectral measure to the growth properties of approximate eigenfunctions. See Proposition 4 and Corollary 5, below.

We next discuss these criteria in slightly greater detail. While the time-dependent first strategy has been enormously successful in other aspects of spectral theory, it does not appear promising here. One seeks to recover the absolutely continuous component of some measure, which may have also have a singular component, from the asymptotics of its Fourier transform, a daunting prospect.

The Weyl *m*-function is $m(\lambda) = u_{\lambda}^{+\prime}(0)/u_{\lambda}^{+}(0)$; it equals $\partial_{x,y}^{x}G_{\lambda^{2}}(x,y)$ evaluated at (0,0) where G_{ζ} is Green's function, that is, the kernel associated to $(H - \zeta)^{-1}$. A formula of Stone reads

(12)
$$\pi^{-1} \operatorname{Im} \left(m(E+i\varepsilon) \right) dE \to d\mu(E) \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0^+$$

in the sense of weak limits, where μ is a positive measure on \mathbb{R} such that H is unitarily equivalent to multiplication by E on⁸ $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu)$.

m can be related to other quantities, specifically to the reciprocals a, b of transmission and reflection coefficients (for the definitions see (34) below), by

(13)
$$m(\lambda^2) = i\lambda \frac{a(\lambda) - b(\lambda)}{a(\lambda) + b(\lambda)}.$$

¿From this, it follows that

(14)
$$\operatorname{Im}(m(\lambda)) \ge 4\lambda^{-1}|a(\lambda)|^2$$

In this way, upper bounds for a lead to lower bounds for Im(m), thence to the presence of absolutely continuous spectrum by (12) plus a limiting argument. Later we will discuss in detail upper bounds for a and for related quantities.

A generalized eigenfunction u(x) with energy E, for a one-dimensional Schrödinger operator, is said to be *subordinate* at $+\infty$ if

(15)
$$\lim_{y \to +\infty} \frac{\int_0^y |u(x)|^2 \, dx}{\int_0^y |v(x)|^2 \, dx} = 0$$

for any linearly independent generalized eigenfunction v with the same energy. Subordinacy at $-\infty$ is defined analogously. Then [22] the singular spectrum is supported on the set of all E for which there exists a generalized eigenfunction that is subordinate at both $+\infty$ and $-\infty$, and the absolutely continuous spectrum on the set of all E for which there exists no such generalized eigenfunction.

As a consequence of the subordinacy theory, it was proved by Stolz^9 [55] that if V is uniformly in L^1_{loc} , and if all generalized eigenfunctions are globally bounded on \mathbb{R} for all Ein some set Λ , then there is ac spectrum everywhere on Λ , and no singular spectrum. See also [50] for a proof and discussion, including further references to the general subordinacy theory. For further development see [25].

This consequence of subordinacy theory is all that is required to deduce spectral implications from properties of generalized eigenfunctions which will be established in these notes. However, before proceeding to that analysis, we discuss here an alternative approach, which may offer potential advantages for other problems, in particular, for higher dimensions. This may turn out to be of some importance, because the subordinacy theory

⁸Functions in $L^2(\mathbb{R},\mu)$ taking values in an appropriate auxiliary Hilbert space.

⁹With a weaker hypothesis than stated here.

and *m*-function approaches are special to dimension one. (Note that the space of all generalized eigenfunctions with given energy is two-dimensional for \mathbb{R}^1 , but infinite-dimensional in higher dimensions.)

This new criterion relies on a notion of approximate eigenfunctions.

Proposition 4. [15] For any spectral measure μ_{φ} associated to a self-adjoint operator H on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , any $E \in \mathbb{R}$, and any $\varepsilon > 0$,

(16)
$$\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{M}_{\mu_{\varphi}}(E+i\varepsilon) \ge c_0 \varepsilon^{-1} \sup_{\psi} |\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle|^2$$

where the supremum is taken over all $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfying

(17)
$$\|\psi\| = 1 \text{ and } \|(H-E)\psi\| \le \varepsilon.$$

Thus in order to prove that the absolutely continuous component of μ_{φ} charges a set S, it suffices to show that for almost every $E \in S$ there exists a sequence $\psi_j \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\|(H-E)\psi_j\|/\|\psi_j\| \to 0$ and

(18)
$$\frac{|\langle \varphi, \psi_j \rangle|^2}{\|\psi_j\| \cdot \|(H-E)\psi_j\|} \ge c > 0,$$

uniformly as $j \to \infty$. Indeed, normalizing to make each ψ_j a unit vector and setting $\varepsilon_j = ||(H-E)\psi_j||$, we deduce that $\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{M}(E+i\varepsilon)$ is strictly positive. Hence $D^1\mu_{\varphi}(E)$ is likewise strictly positive, by the standard majorization of the maximal Poisson integral by the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function.

Proposition 4 is quite easy to prove. By the spectral theorem, we may assume that H is multiplication by the coordinate λ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, d\nu)$ for some positive measure ν ; then $d\mu_{\varphi} = |\varphi|^2 d\nu$. Now

(19)
$$\begin{split} |\int \varphi \psi d\nu(\lambda)|^2 \\ &\leq \left[\int |\varphi(\lambda)|^2 \frac{\varepsilon}{(\lambda-E)^2 + \varepsilon^2} d\nu(\lambda)\right] \left[\int |\psi(\lambda)|^2 \frac{(\lambda-E)^2 + \varepsilon^2}{\varepsilon} d\nu(\lambda)\right] \\ &\leq |\operatorname{Im} \, \mathcal{M}_{\mu\varphi}(E+i\varepsilon)|^2 \varepsilon^{-1} (\|H\psi\|^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\psi\|^2), \end{split}$$

from which the conclusion follows by invoking the conditions $\|\psi\| = 1$ and $\|(H-E)\psi\| \le \varepsilon$.

A more concrete criterion for the existence of absolutely continuous spectrum is as follows.

Corollary 5. Suppose that $V \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$, uniformly on unit intervals. Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ and suppose that for each $E \in S$, there exists a generalized eigenfunction u_E of H_0+V satisfying the growth restriction

(20)
$$\liminf_{R \to \infty} R^{-1} \int_{|x| \le R} |u_E(x)|^2 \, dx < \infty.$$

Then for any compact subset $S' \subset S$ of positive Lebesgue measure, there exists φ such that $\mu_{\varphi}(S') > 0$.

In particular, (20) is satisfied if $u_E \in L^{\infty}$.

In order to deduce the Corollary from Proposition 4, one must produce a sequence of approximate eigenfunctions. This is done by multiplying generalized eigenfunctions by sequences of cutoff functions. Fix $h \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R})$, with $h \equiv 1$ in a neighborhood of the origin. Set $u_E^{(R)}(x) = h(x/R)u(x)$. Then

(21)
$$(H_0 + V)(u_E^{(R)}) \equiv -R^{-2}h''(x/R)u_E(x) - 2R^{-1}h'(x/R)u'_E(x).$$

Fix any nonnegative φ supported in a sufficiently small interval near 0 but in $[0, \infty)$; then it is easy to see that $|\langle u_E, \varphi \rangle| = |\langle u_E^{(R)}, \varphi \rangle| \neq 0$ for all $E \in S'$ for which $|u_E(0)/u'_E(0)|$ is sufficiently large; other E may be handled similarly by a different choice of φ . Onedimensional elliptic regularity theory applied to the equation Hu = Eu, in conjunction with (20), reveals that u'_E likewise satisfies (20). From (21), we then deduce that $||(H - E)u_E^{(R)}|| \cdot ||u_E^{(R)}|| = O(R^{-1/2}) \cdot O(R^{1/2})$, so the criterion (18) holds.

This corollary is rather general. The criterion (20) applies in Euclidean space of any dimension. Moreover, it applies for instance to -L+V on any Lie group, where L is a left-invariant (subelliptic, not necessarily elliptic) sub-Laplacian and $V \in L^{\infty}$, with $\{|x| \leq R\}$ replaced by the ball of radius R, with fixed center, with respect to the Carnot-Caratheodory metric associated to L.

4. Expansions for generalized eigenfunctions

To begin to analyze the generalized eigenfunctions, suppose that $V \in L^1$. The equation $-u'' - \lambda^2 u + Vu = 0$ may be written formally as $u = (\partial_x^2 + \lambda^2)^{-1}Vu$, modulo adding an element of the nullspace of $\partial_x^2 + \lambda^2$. One of several inverse operators is

(22)
$$(\partial_x^2 + \lambda^2)^{-1} g(x) = (2i\lambda)^{-1} \int_{y>x} \left[e^{i\lambda(x-y)} - e^{-i\lambda(x-y)} \right] g(y) \, dy \; .$$

Seeking a solution asymptotically to $e^{i\lambda x}$ as $x \to +\infty$, we arrive at the integral equation

(23)
$$u(x) = e^{i\lambda x} + (2i\lambda)^{-1} \int_x^\infty \left[e^{i\lambda(x-y)} - e^{-i\lambda(x-y)} \right] V(y) u(y) \, dy.$$

If $V \in L^1$ then the usual contraction mapping argument yields for every $\lambda \neq 0$ the existence of a solution satisfying $u_{\lambda}(x) - e^{i\lambda x} \to 0$ as $x \to +\infty$.

Alternatively, one can iterate the equation, at least formally, to arrive at

(24)
$$u(x) = e^{i\lambda x} + (2i\lambda)^{-1} \int_{x}^{\infty} \left[e^{i\lambda(x-y)} - e^{-i\lambda(x-y)} \right] V(y) e^{i\lambda y} dy + (2i\lambda)^{-2} \iint_{x \le y_1 \le y_2} \left[e^{i\lambda(x-y_1)} - e^{-i\lambda(x-y_1)} \right] V(y_1) \cdot \left[e^{i\lambda(y_1-y_2)} - e^{-i\lambda(y_1-y_2)} \right] V(y_2) u(y_2) dy_1 dy_2 .$$

The first line has no unknown u. The terms involving V are scalar multiples of

(25)
$$e^{-i\lambda x} \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{i2\lambda y} V(y) \, dy$$

(26)
$$e^{i\lambda x} \int_x^\infty V(y) \, dy$$

(25) is $e^{-i\lambda x}\widehat{V_x}(-2\lambda)$, where $V_x(y) = V(y) \cdot \chi_{[x,\infty)}(y)$. At this point we recall one formulation of the theorem of Carleson on almost everywhere convergence of Fourier series and integrals: If $f \in L^2$ then $\int_{-\infty}^s e^{i\lambda\xi}\widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi$ converges as $s \to +\infty$, for almost every λ ; as a

function of (λ, s) , the indefinite integral belongs to the space $L^2_{\lambda}L^{\infty}_s$. If $V \in L^2$ then by Plancherel's theorem we may write $V = \hat{f}$, so (25) is bounded in s for almost every λ .

Even for fixed s, say $s = +\infty$, one merely has square integrability in λ , rather than locally uniform bounds. This lack of uniformity is related to the possible presence of dense point spectrum. The connection with Fourier integrals is an indication of the natural role played by L^2 in the analysis of the generalized eigenfunctions.

(26) behaves quite differently; if $V \notin L^1$ then it may have no reasonable interpretation. One could rearrange matters to replace the interval of integration by [0, x], so that it would be finite for fixed x. But we seek $L^{\infty}(dx)$ estimates (or at least the L^2 analogues $\int_0^R |u|^2 dx \leq CR$ needed to apply Corollary 5, and no such estimates would hold uniformly in x.

If this iteration process is carried out to infinite order, one obtains a power series expansion for $u(x, \lambda)$ in terms of V. A sample quadratic term is

(27)
$$e^{i\lambda x} \iint_{x \le y_1 \le y_2} e^{-i2\lambda y_1} e^{i2\lambda y_2} V(y_1) V(y_2) \, dy_1 \, dy_2,$$

and then higher-order terms. Each term defines a function of (x, λ) by applying a multilinear operator to m copies of $V, m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$. One can hope that this last sample term is not much worse behaved than the expression $e^{i\lambda x}\hat{V}(2\lambda)\hat{V}(-2\lambda)$ obtained by integrating over all $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. On the other hand, infinitely many terms arise which share the defect of (26). We thus face three difficulties: (i) justifying the hope just expressed, (ii) summing bounds over $m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, and (iii) dealing with summands that fail to satisfy the bounds sought for the sum itself.

This last difficulty is familiar; individual terms of the Maclaurin series for the bounded function $\exp(ix)$ are unbounded. We will see in §7 how the power series expansion for u_{λ} can be reorganized by grouping certain terms together, so that no obviously unbounded terms remain. In fact, this grouping process amounts to nothing more than summation of the Maclaurin series for the imaginary exponential function.

5. WKB APPROXIMATION

Suppose temporarily that V satisfies symbol-type hypotheses:

(28)
$$|\partial_x^k V(x)| \le C_k |x|^{-\delta - k_l}$$

for some $\delta, \rho > 0$, for all k, for large x. We seek now a formal asymptotic approximation to a generalized eigenfunction $u_{\lambda}(x)$ for $H = H_0 + V$, as $x \to +\infty$, for fixed $\lambda \neq 0$. Set

(29)
$$u_{\lambda}(x) \sim e^{i\psi(x)},$$

expand $\psi \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_n$, and set $\psi_0 = \lambda x$. We seek a solution of symbol type, with each ψ_{k+1} decaying more rapidly than ψ_k . ψ is to satisfy

$$(30) \qquad \qquad (\psi')^2 - i\psi'' = \lambda^2 - V.$$

Thus $(\lambda + \psi'_1)^2 - i\psi''_1 \approx \lambda^2 - V$. Dropping the terms ψ''_1 and $(\psi'_1)^2$ because we expect them to decay more rapidly than ψ'_1 itself, we find that

(31)
$$\psi'_1 = -(2\lambda)^{-1}V.$$

Thus $\psi'_1 = O(|x|^{-\delta})$, while $\psi''_1 = O(|x|^{-\delta-\rho})$ and $(\psi'_1)^2 = O(|x|^{-2\delta})$. This procedure may be repeated to obtain ψ'_n for every n, satisfying symbol-type estimates with gain of $|x|^{-\min(\rho,\delta)}$ at each iteration.

In the case where V does not satisfy symbol-type estimates, we will seek generalized eigenfunctions of the form

(32)
$$u_{\lambda}(x) = e^{i\phi(x,\lambda)} + o(1) \text{ as } x \to +\infty, \text{ with}$$

(33)
$$\phi(x,\lambda) = \lambda x - (2\lambda)^{-1} \int_0^x V(y) \, dy \; .$$

The lower limit of integration may equally well be chosen differently.

Observe two things. Firstly, the WKB phase shift $-(2\lambda)^{-1}\int^x V$ is in general unbounded, if $V \notin L^1$. Secondly, we may try to measure the quality of an approximate solution \tilde{u} by the remainder $-\tilde{u}'' + V\tilde{u} - \lambda^2 \tilde{u}$. For $\tilde{u} = \exp(i\lambda x)$, this remainder has modulus |V(x)|. For $\tilde{u} = \exp(i\phi(x,\lambda))$, it has modulus $|c_1V^2(x) + c_2V'(x)|$ for certain constants c_j depending on λ . The term V^2 is on the average smaller than V. However, V' is in general only defined in the sense of distributions, and in general decays no more rapidly than V itself, even with a liberal measure of its size, for instance in a Sobolev space H^s_{loc} with s = -1. Nonetheless our main results show that for $V \in L^1 + L^p$, 1 , without any differentiability $hypothesis, the approximation (32), (33) is accurate for Lebesgue-almost every <math>\lambda$.

See [3, 31, 59] for original papers on the WKB approximation.

6. TRANSMISSION AND REFLECTION COEFFICIENTS

Suppose temporarily that V has compact support. Fix $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. There exists a unique generalized eigenfunction u_{λ}^+ that is $\equiv \exp(i\lambda x)$ for x near $+\infty$. Near $-\infty$,

(34)
$$u_{\lambda}^{+} \equiv a(\lambda)e^{i\lambda x} + b(\lambda)e^{-i\lambda x}$$

for certain coefficients a, b. The quantitites

(35)
$$t(\lambda) = 1/a(\lambda), \qquad r(\lambda) = b(\lambda)/a(\lambda).$$

are called respectively the transmission and reflection coefficients. Their interpretation is that an incoming wave $e^{i\lambda x}$ from $+\infty$ interacts with the potential and splits into a reflected wave $r(\lambda)e^{-i\lambda x}$ plus a transmitted wave $t(\lambda)e^{i\lambda x}$. For our purposes, a, b are more fundamental than t, r.

The constancy of the Wronskian of $u^+, \overline{u^+}$ translates to the conservation of energy identity 10

(36)
$$|a(\lambda)|^2 = |b(\lambda)|^2 + 1 \text{ for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Temporarily allowing λ to be complex, we find that $E = \lambda^2$ is an eigenvalue if $\lambda \in i\mathbb{R}$ with negative imaginary part, and $a(\lambda) = 0$. A small computation shows that |a| + |b|, and hence |a| alone, control the magnitude of the vector $(u^+(x), du^+(x)/dx)$ for x to the left of the support of V.

For compactly supported $V \in L^2$, the following remarkable trace identity [4, 20] holds:

(37)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \log |a(\lambda)| \lambda^2 d\lambda + \frac{2\pi}{3} \sum_k |\lambda_k|^3 = \frac{\pi}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V^2 dx$$

where $\{\lambda_k\}$ is the collection of all eigenvalues of $-\partial_x^2 + V$.¹¹ This set is necessarily finite, and each λ_k is negative. An outline of the proof may be found in [19]; it involves a deformation

¹⁰In the time-dependent picture, this says that the energy of the incoming wave equals the combined energies of the transmitted and reflected waves.

¹¹The exponent 3 is not a typographical error.

of the contour of integration into the upper half space in the complex plane.¹² The second term on the right arises from any poles coming from zeros of a, while the first arises in the limit as the contour is pushed to infinity.

This has the following consequence. Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ be a compact interval. Denote by $u_{\lambda}(x)$ the unique generalized eigenfunctions with $(u_{\lambda}(0), u'_{\lambda}(0))$ equal either to (1, 0), or to (0, 1). Then if $V \in L^2[0, x]$,

(38)
$$\int_{\Lambda} \log(1+|u_{\lambda}(x)|) \, d\lambda \le C + C \int_{[0,x]} V^2,$$

where $C < \infty$ depends only on Λ . In particular, if $V \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then the left-hand side is bounded, uniformly in x. This bound may seem extraordinarily weak; u is only logarithmically integrable in λ . But no more can be expected. If one thinks of V as $\sum_j V_j$ with each V_j supported in [j, j + 1], then the map sending (u(j), u'(j)) to (u(j + 1), u'(j + 1))is multiplication by a certain matrix whose entries depend on V_j ; these matrices are multiplied together in sequence to yield the asymptotic behavior as $x \to +\infty$; taking a logarithm converts this back to an additive process.

(38) does not seem sufficient for a direct application of the approximate eigenfunction criterion of Proposition 4, but suffices for analysis of the Weyl *m*-function, in conjunction with a limiting argument. This is how Deift and Killip [19] proved the existence of ac spectrum for potentials in L^2 . Adding an L^1 perturbation is harmless, either by functional analysis (a relative trace class perturbation does not change the ac spectrum), or because the generalized eigenfunctions for H_0+V_1 can be used to construct the associated resolvents, whence the generalized eigenfunctions for $H_0+V_1+V_2$ can be analyzed by solving an integral equation $u = -(H_0 - V_1 + \lambda^2)^{-1}V_2u$ modulo an element of the nullspace of $H_0 - V_1 + \lambda^2$ as above; this always works if $V_2 \in L^1$ and $H_0 + V_1$ has (for the set of energies in question) bounded generalized eigenfunctions.

7. REDUCTION AND EXPANSION

In this section we write the generalized eigenfunction equation $-u'' + Vu = \lambda^2 u$ as a first-order system, in a way that incorporates the WKB approximation. We then iterate the resulting equation in a fashion parallel to that of §4, to obtain a modified power series expansion for the nonlinear operator mapping V to $u_+(x,\lambda)$ where u_+ is, formally¹³, the unique generalized eigenfunction asymptotic to $\exp(i\phi(x,\lambda))$ as $x \to +\infty$.

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ u' \end{pmatrix} \text{ satisfies}$$
(39)
$$y' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ V - \lambda^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} y .$$

 $^{{}^{12}}a(\lambda)$ is an entire function. Since $a(-\lambda) \equiv \overline{a(\lambda)}$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\log |a|$ may be replaced by $\log(a)$ in the integral. The integral equation (23) can be used to obtain an asymptotic expansion for a as $|\lambda| \to \infty$ in the upper half plane. $a(\lambda) = 0$ if and only if λ^2 is an eigenvalue of $H_0 + V$; deforming the contour, taking zeros into account, and invoking an identity which amounts to Plancherel's theorem to control the integral equation (23) works here, since many individual terms of that series fail to satisfy the conclusion desired for the sum.)

¹³Since existence is not yet proved.

Writing $\phi = \phi(x, \lambda) = \lambda x - (2\lambda)^{-1} \int_0^x V$, we substitute

(40)
$$y = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\phi} & e^{-i\phi} \\ i\lambda e^{i\phi} & -i\lambda e^{-i\phi} \end{pmatrix} w$$

Thus boundedness of w (as a function of x for given λ) is equivalent to boundedness of both u and u'. And if $w \to \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ as $x \to +\infty$ then $u - \exp(i\phi) \to 0$. The new unknown w satisfies

(41)
$$w' = \frac{i}{2\lambda} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -V(x)e^{-2i\lambda x + \frac{i}{\lambda}\int_0^x V(t)\,dt} \\ V(x)e^{2i\lambda x - \frac{i}{\lambda}\int_0^x V(t)\,dt} & 0 \end{pmatrix} w.$$

w is directly linked to certain reflection/transmission coefficients. Define $a(x,\lambda), b(x,\lambda)$ by

(42)
$$\begin{pmatrix} u(x) \\ u'(x) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a(x,\lambda)e^{i\lambda x} + b(x,\lambda)e^{-i\lambda x} \\ i\lambda a(x,\lambda)e^{i\lambda x} - i\lambda b(x,\lambda)e^{-i\lambda x} \end{pmatrix}$$

Then

(43)
$$\begin{pmatrix} a(x,\lambda)\\b(x,\lambda) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i(2\lambda)^{-1}\int_0^x V} & 0\\ 0 & e^{i(2\lambda)^{-1}\int_0^x V} \end{pmatrix} w .$$

In particular, the two components of $w(x) = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{pmatrix}$ have the same magnitudes as a, b, respectively. The conservation identity $|a|^2 \equiv 1 + |b|^2$ thus is equivalent to

(44)
$$|w_1(x)|^2 \equiv 1 + |w_2(x)|^2$$
.

Introduce

(45)
$$(Tf)(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2i\lambda x - i\lambda^{-1} \int_0^x V(t) \, dt} f(x) \, dx,$$

defined initially on integrable functions of compact support. We also introduce multilinear operators

(46)
$$T_n(f_1,\ldots,f_n)(x,\lambda) = \left(\frac{i}{2\lambda}\right)^n \int_x^\infty \int_{t_1}^\infty \cdots \int_{t_{n-1}}^\infty \prod_{j=1}^n \left[e^{(-1)^{n-j}2\phi(t_j,\lambda)} f_j(t_j) dt_j\right]$$

Iterating system (41) starting from the vector (1,0) we obtain a formal "Taylor series" expansion for the putative generalized eigenfunction $u_+(x,\lambda)$ with the desired asymptotic $\exp(i\phi)$ as $x \to +\infty$:

(47)
$$u_{+}(x,\lambda) = e^{i\lambda x - \frac{i}{2\lambda}\int_{0}^{x}V(t)\,dt}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n}T_{2n}(V,\ldots,V)(x,\lambda) + e^{-i\lambda x + \frac{i}{2\lambda}\int_{0}^{x}V(t)\,dt}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{n}T_{2n-1}(V,\ldots,V)(x,\lambda)$$

We have set $T_0(V)(x, \lambda) \equiv 1$. This is not exactly a Taylor series, since V still appears in a nonlinear fashion in the exponents.

In a sense, our approach is a part of the program of Calderón [5] and of Coifman and Meyer [16, 17] of analyzing nonlinear operators (in the present case, mapping V to the collection of all generalized eigenfunctions) via power series expansions in terms of multilinear operators.

8. Maximal operators

We seek to analyze multilinear operators (46). If we simplify by discounting the WKB phase correction for the present, these are built up out of a well-understood operator, the Fourier transform, by two processes. Firstly, integration over \mathbb{R} is replaced by integration over the nested family of sets $(-\infty, x]$, and a supremum estimate in x is sought. Secondly, multilinear operators are generated by iterated integrals over such sets. In this section we develop a robust, though crude, method for analyzing the first of these two processes. The theory to be developed here depends heavily on the order structure of \mathbb{R} , as of course does the definition of the multilinear operators (54).

Denote by χ_E the characteristic function of a set E, and by ||T|| the operator norm of $T: L^p(\mathbb{R}) \mapsto L^q(\mathbb{R})$. To any operator T defined on $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ can be associated a maximal operator

(48)
$$T^*f(x) = \sup_{x \in [T(f \cdot \chi_{(-\infty,s]})(x)]} |T(f \cdot \chi_{(-\infty,s]})(x)|.$$

Theorem 6. [11, 10] Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, and suppose that $T: L^p(\mathbb{R}) \mapsto L^q(\mathbb{R})$ is a bounded linear operator. Then T^* is likewise bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ to $L^q(\mathbb{R})$, provided that p < q. Moreover, $||T^*||$ is bounded by an absolute constant, depending only on p, q, times ||T||.

More generally, there is an analogue for any linear operator from $L^p(Y)$ to $L^q(X)$, for arbitrary measure spaces, provided that the sets $(-\infty, s]$ are replaced by an arbitrary nested family of sets $Y_n \subset Y$ (that is, $Y_n \subset Y_{n+1}$).

Suppose that T is represented as an integral operator $Tf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(x, y) f(y) \, dy$.

Corollary 7. If p < q and if T is bounded from L^p to L^q then

(49)
$$\tilde{T}f(x) = \int_{y < x} K(x, y)f(y) \, dy$$

is likewise bounded from L^p to L^q .

The hypothesis p < q is in general necessary, except in the trivial cases p = 1 or $q = \infty$, even in the corollary. Consider for example the Hilbert transform, for which K(x, y) = $(x-y)^{-1}$; the associated operator with kernel $(x-y)^{-1}\chi_{y< x}$ is unbounded on all L^p .

These results apply as well to functions taking values in Banach spaces. This generalization of has already proved useful¹⁴ in connection with Strichartz-type estimates in work of Tao [56], Takaoka and Tzvetkov, Colliander and Kenig, and perhaps others on nonlinear evolution equations, and of Smith and Sogge [53] on the obstacle problem.

Outline of proof. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and let $0 \neq f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ be fixed. Construct a collection $\{E_i^m\}$ of intervals, indexed by $m \in \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ and $1 \le j \le 2^m$, satisfying

- For each m, {E_j^m : 1 ≤ j ≤ 2^m} is a partition of ℝ into disjoint intervals.
 E_j^m lies to the left of E_{j+1}^m for all m, j.
 Each E_j^m = E_{2j-1}^{m+1} ∪ E_{2j}^{m+1}.

¹⁴It is not clear to me whether, in these applications, it has led to any results that would not have been obtained without it, but it has at least simplified portions of proofs.

• $\int_{E_i^m} |f|^p = 2^{-m} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|^p$ for all m, j.

Let χ_j^m denote the characteristic function of E_j^m , and set $f_j^m = f \cdot \chi_j^m$. For any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the $j_j = j_{\lambda j}$. For any $s \in \mathbb{K}$, the $j_j = j_{\lambda j}$, so an equation of f vanishes almost everywhere, as $\bigcup_{\nu} E_{j_{\nu}}^{m_{\nu}}$ for some sequences such that $m_1 < m_2 < m_3 \cdots$, and each $E_{j_{\mu}}^{m_{\nu}}$ lies to the left of $E_{j_{\nu+1}}^{m_{\nu+1}}$. Thus

(50)
$$T^*f(x) \le \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sup_{1 \le j \le 2^m} |T(f_j^m)(x)| \le G_{T,r}f(x)$$

where the last quantity is defined by

(51)
$$G_{T,r}f(x) = \sum_{m} \left(\sum_{j} |T(f_{j}^{m})(x)|^{r}\right)^{1/r}$$

for any positive exponent r. Choosing r = q, we have

$$\begin{split} \|Gf\|_{q}^{q} &\leq \sum_{m} \int \sum_{j} |T(f_{j}^{m})|^{q} \leq \|T\|^{q} \sum_{m} \sum_{j} \|f_{j}^{m}\|_{p}^{q} \\ &\leq \|T\|^{q} \sum_{m} 2^{m} 2^{-mq/p} \|f\|_{p}^{q} = C \|T\|^{q} \|f\|_{p}^{q}, \end{split}$$
here $C < \infty$ by the hypothesis $q > p$.

where $C < \infty$ by the hypothesis q > p.

The following two theorems, dating roughly from the 1930's, are immediate corollaries. **Theorem 8.** Let $1 \le p \le 2$. For any $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^1)$,

$$\lim_{y \to \infty} \int_0^y e^{-i\lambda x} f(x) \, dx$$

exists for almost every λ . Moreover

$$\sup_{y} \left| \int_{0}^{y} e^{-i\lambda x} f(x) \, dx \right| \in L^{q}(\mathbb{R}, \, d\lambda), \quad \text{where } q = p/(p-1) \, .$$

Theorem 9. [34] Let $1 \le p < 2$. For any¹⁵ orthonormal family $\{\phi_n\}$ of functions in L^2 of any measure space, and for any sequence $c_n \in \ell^p$, the series $\sum_n c_n \phi_n(x)$ converges for almost every x.

The first result was obtained in various versions in separate papers by Menshov, Paley, and Zygmund. The former result continues to hold for p = 2 and then is essentially a restatement of Carleson's almost everywhere convergence theorem.¹⁶

Better known is a closely related theorem of Menshov. If $\sum_n |c_n|^2 \log(n) < \infty$, then $\sum_{n} c_{n} \phi_{n} \text{ converges almost everywhere}^{17}; \text{ in particular, if } \int |\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} \log(2+|\xi|) d\xi < \infty \text{ then}$ $(2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-N}^{N} e^{ix\xi} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi \text{ converges almost everywhere to } f(x), \text{ as } N \to \infty. \text{ See [60], chapter}$ XIII.

Theorem 9 is due to Menshov [34], and is false for p = 2. To fit it into our framework, regard $c \mapsto \sum_{n} \phi_n$ as a map from $L^p(\mathbb{Z})$ to L^2 . This map is bounded for all $1 \leq p \leq 1$

14

¹⁵The version stated in [60], Theorem (10.1) of chapter XIII, is a refinement by Paley of Menshov's original theorem. It, like the original, requires uniform boundedness of $\{\phi_n\}$. It applies to the same class of coefficients c, but uses a different scale of function spaces and thus its conclusion involves boundedness of an associated maximal operator in a different norm than we obtain.

¹⁶Carleson's proof does not seem to yield the strong type L^2 estimate.

¹⁷Our main results have similar extensions to the case where $(\log |x|)^c f(x) \in L^2$ for a certain constant c.

2. Partial summation is integration over $(-\infty, N]$ for some N; these sets are nested; so Theorem 6 implies boundedness of the maximal partial sum operator, and hence almost everywhere convergence.

Our third application is to the variants arising in our generalized eigenfunction analysis. We need a preliminary lemma. We say that $V \to 0$ in L^1_{loc} if $\int_{|y-x|<1} |V(y)| \, dy \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$. As usual, $\phi(x, \lambda) = \lambda x - (2\lambda)^{-1} \int_0^x V$.

Lemma 10. Suppose that $V \to 0$ in $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$. Then for any compact subset Λ of $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, the mapping

(52)
$$f \mapsto \int_0^\infty f(y) e^{i\phi(y,\lambda)} \, dy$$

maps $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ boundedly to $L^q(\Lambda, d\lambda)$ for all $1 \le p \le 2$, where q = p' = p/(p-1).

For L^2 this is proved by dualizing, then integrating by parts. Since the $L^1 \mapsto L^\infty$ estimate is trivial, the general conclusion follows by interpolation. By combining Theorem 6 with the lemma, we deduce a variant of the Hausdorff-Young inequality.

Corollary 11. For all $1 \le p < 2$, the sublinear operator

(53)
$$f \mapsto \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \int_0^x e^{i\phi(y,\lambda)} f(y) \, dy \right|$$

maps $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ boundedly to $L^{p'}(\Lambda)$, for every compact subset Λ of $\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$.

9. Multilinear operators and maximal variants

A multilinear variant of Theorem 6 is as follows. Let $T_j : L^p(\mathbb{R}, dx) \mapsto L^q(\Lambda, d\lambda)$ be bounded linear operators with locally integrable distribution kernels $K_j(\lambda, x)$. Define

(54)
$$\mathcal{M}_{n}^{*}(f_{1}, f_{2}, \dots, f_{n})(\lambda) = \sup_{y \leq y' \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \int \cdots \int_{y \leq x_{1} \leq x_{2} \leq \dots \leq x_{n} \leq y'} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(K_{i}(\lambda, x_{i}) f_{i}(x_{i}) dx_{i} \right) \right|$$

If the factors in the integrand are all nonnegative, then this is dominated by the corresponding integral over $[y, y']^n$, thus by a simple product $\prod T_j(f_j \cdot \chi_{[y,y']})$. The whole difficulty for us is that our integrals are oscillatory, and taking absolute values renders them hopelessly divergent.

Theorem 12. [11] Suppose that p < q. Then for every $n \ge 1$, $(f_1, \ldots, f_n) \mapsto \mathcal{M}_n^*(f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ maps $\otimes^n L^p(\mathbb{R})$ boundedly to $L^{q/n}(\Lambda)$, with operator norm $\le B^n \prod_{j=1}^n \|T_j\|_{p,q}$.

Here B is a finite universal constant. The exponent q/n is natural; the product mapping $(f_1, \ldots, f_n) \mapsto \prod_j T_j(f_j)$ maps into $L^{q/n}$ by Hölder's inequality, and we don't expect the iterated integrals to do better. This result is stated in [11] only for $q \ge 2$, but that assumption can be eliminated by replacing r = 2 by r = q in the definition (51) of the auxiliary functional G.

Our next variant demonstrates a substantial improvement, in the special case when all the functions f_i are taken to be the same.

Theorem 13. [11] Suppose that p < q and that $2 \leq q$. Then for every $n \geq 1$ and every $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$,

(55)
$$\|\mathcal{M}_{n}^{*}(f, f, \dots, f)\|_{L^{q/n}(\Lambda)} \leq \frac{B^{n} \|T\|_{p,q}^{n} \|f\|_{L^{p}}^{n}}{\sqrt{n!}}$$

Our applications require a slight generalization, which follows from the same proof. Namely, a factor $1/\sqrt{n!}$ is still gained, if both the functions f_j and the operators T_j are drawn from sets of cardinality $\leq k$, independent of n. The constant B then depends on k, p, q, but not on any other quantities. The right-hand side of the conclusion should of course be modified by replacing powers of norms by products.

This bound improves that of the preceding theorem by the factor $1/\sqrt{n!}$. No such factor arises in Theorem 12; modulo the factor of B^n , the bound stated cannot be improved. It is easy to see why there might be some improvement in this "diagonal" case:

$$\int_{y \le t_1 \le \dots \le t_n \le y'} \prod K(\lambda, t_i) f(t_i) dt_i \equiv \left[\int_y^{y'} K(\lambda, t) f(t) dt \right]^n / n!$$

In our application, however, $K_i(\lambda, t)$ will essentially be $\exp(\pm 2i\lambda t)$, with the \pm signs alternating. Then there is no obvious majorization of the left-hand side of the preceding inequality by the right.

I believe that the second theorem remains valid without the assumption $q \geq 2$, with $(n!)^{-1/2}$ replaced by an appropriate modified power, but have not worked out all the details of the proof. The version stated here is easier than the general case, and is precisely what is most relevant for our applications.

The factor $1/\sqrt{n!}$ plays a twofold role in our analysis. Firstly, it is used to deduce convergence of the "Taylor series" (47), for almost every λ . Secondly, it leads to a bound for the generalized eigenfunctions:

Proposition 14. Let $1 , and let <math>V \in L^1 + L^p(\mathbb{R})$. Denote by $u(x, \lambda)$ a generalized eigenfunction for H_0+V , normalized so that (u(0), u'(0)) equals either (1, 0) or (0, 1). Then for any compact interval $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$,

(56)
$$\int_{\Lambda} \log \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} (1 + |u(x, \lambda)|) \, d\lambda < \infty$$

This bound has the same general form as the inequality deduced from the trace identity (37), except that the supremum over x is inside the integral. The factor $1/\sqrt{n!}$ turns out to be exactly what we need in order to obtain local integrability of the first power of the logarithm.

Nonetheless, it is perhaps worth understanding that our main conclusions, almost sure boundedness and WKB asymptotics for generalized eigenfunctions and presence of absolutely continuous spectrum everywhere in \mathbb{R}^+ , could be deduced instead without the improved numerical factor, roughly as follows: Fix a compact interval Λ . If V has sufficiently small norm in $L^p + L^1$, then the factor of $||V||^n$ on the right-hand side more than compensates for B^n . Combining this with the pointwise bound of Lemma 15 below (in the weaker form without the factor $1/\sqrt{n!}$), one can deduce convergence and uniform boundedness for a subset of Λ having positive Lebesgue measure; moreover, the measure of the set where convergence and boundedness are not obtained approaches zero as ||V|| does. Since the norm of the restriction to $[x, \infty)$ of V tends to zero as $x \to +\infty$, the exceptional set of energies has measure zero.

There is one flaw in this scheme: the bounds for \mathcal{M}_n^* are in $L^{q/n}$, and $q/n \to 0$, so no triangle inequality is available to sum the infinite series. This can be dealt with in two ways. The first way is to apply Chebyshev's inequality to obtain bounds for the distribution functions of \mathcal{M}_n^* , then to show almost everywhere finiteness of the sum by a bare hands computation; the factor of $1/\sqrt{n!}$ is essential here. The second, and preferable, route, which could be used without these favorable numerical factors, is to exploit stronger pointwise versions of the above two theorems, which we now discuss. We state only the analogue of Theorem 13.

Suppose we are given a collection of intervals $E_j^m \subset \mathbb{R}$ satisfying all the properties listed in §8. We call such a collection of sets a martingale structure. Define the functionals

(57)
$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(f) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{2^m} |\int f \cdot \chi_j^m|^2 \Big)^{1/2},$$

(58)
$$\mathfrak{g}(f) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^m} |\int f \cdot \chi_j^m|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

These operators depend on $\{E_j^m\}$. They are essentially linear operations, being norms in Banach spaces like $\ell^1(\ell^2)$ of a linear operator applied to f. But in our final application, the martingale structure will itself depend on f, so they will become rather nonlinear.

Consider integrals

(59)
$$M_n(f)(y,y') = \int \cdots \int_{y \le t_1 \le t_2 \le \cdots \le t_n \le n'} \prod_{k=1}^n f(t_k) dt_k$$

(60)
$$M_n^*(f) = \sup_{y,y' \in \mathbb{R}} |M_n(f)(y,y')|$$

Lemma 15. There exists a finite constant B such that for any martingale structure, any n, and any $f \in L^1$,

(61)
$$|M_n(y,y')| \le B^n \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(f)^n / \sqrt{n!}$$

$$(62) \qquad \qquad |M_n^*(y,y')| \le B^n \mathfrak{g}(f)^n / \sqrt{n!} \; .$$

¿From this lemma there follows a stronger form of Theorem 13. Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ be given. Let $\{E_j^m\}$ be a martingale structure, constructed so as to be compatible with f in the sense that all $f_j^m = f \cdot \chi_j^m$ satisfy $\|f_j^m\|_p^p = 2^{-m}\|f\|_p^p$. Let $K(\lambda, x)$ be the kernel function associated to a linear operator T. Define

(63)
$$G(f)(\lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^m} |T(f_j^m)(\lambda)|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

Corollary 16. [11] There exists a constant $B < \infty$ such that for any f, T, n, λ ,

(64)
$$\mathcal{M}_n^*(f,\ldots,f)(\lambda) \le \frac{B^n G(f)(\lambda)^n}{\sqrt{n!}}$$

For our application to generalized eigenfunctions, this corollary expresses a sort of conspiracy; heuristically it says that the terms of the "Taylor" series tend to be simultaneously all good or simultaneously all bad, in the weak sense that a single functional controls them all.

This implies Theorem 13 by

Lemma 17. Suppose that p < q and $2 \leq q$. Then there exists $C < \infty$ such that for any linear operator T bounded from L^p to L^q , for any $f \in L^p$,

(65)
$$||G(f)||_q \le C ||T||_{p,q} \cdot ||f||_p$$

Here $||T||_{p,q}$ denotes the operator norm. It is essential that G(f) be defined via a martingale structure compatible with f, in the sense described above. This lemma is a simple consequence of the triangle inequality, as in §8.

An immediate application of the corollary and the "Taylor series" representation of generalized eigenfunctions is the formal estimate

(66)
$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |u(x,\lambda)| \le C \exp(CG(V)(\lambda)^2),$$

obtained by majorizing the sum of the series by $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B^n G(V)(\lambda)^n / \sqrt{n!}$. Here the relevant operator T has kernel $K(\lambda, x) = \exp(i\lambda x - i(2\lambda)^{-1} \int_0^x V)$. From (66) we conclude that $\log \sup_x |u(x,\lambda)|$ is locally integrable.

To conclude this section, we outline the proof of Lemma 15. To majorize $M_n(f)$ (The analysis of M_n^* requires a small additional step, which we omit here.) we first replace y, y' by $-\infty, +\infty$ respectively, and note the recursion

$$(67) |M_{n}(f)| \leq |M_{n}(f_{1}^{1})| + \left| \int_{E_{2}^{1}} f \right| \cdot M_{n-1}(f_{1}^{1}) + \sum_{j=2}^{n-2} |M_{n-j}(f_{1}^{1})| \cdot |M_{j}(f_{2}^{1})| + \left| \int_{E_{1}^{1}} f \right| \cdot M_{n-1}(f_{2}^{1}) + |M_{n}(f_{2}^{1})| .$$

This is obtained by decomposing the region $t_1 \leq \cdots \leq t_n$ of integration into subregions, depending on which subset of the variables t_j belong to E_1^1 , and which belong to E_2^1 . Each case gives rise to one term in (67).

The next step rests on a variant of the binomial identity.

Lemma 18. [11] There exists $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that the numbers c_k defined by

(68)
$$\beta_k = k^{-k/2} k^{-\gamma} \quad \text{for all } k \ge 2$$

satisfy for every $k \ge 6$ the inequalities

(69)
$$y^{k} + \sum_{j=2}^{k-2} \frac{\beta_{j} \beta_{k-j}}{\beta_{k}} x^{j} y^{k-j} + x^{k} \le (x^{2} + y^{2})^{k/2} \quad \text{for all } x, y \ge 0.$$

The ratios $\beta_j \beta_{k-j} / \beta_k$ behave roughly like square roots of binomial coefficients $\binom{j}{k}$. The only role of the factor $k^{-\gamma}$ and assumption $k \ge 6$ is to make the proof work. Because the lemma is to be used inside a recursive argument, it is essential that the right-hand side of the inequality be exactly $(x^2 + y^2)^{1/2}$, rather than a constant multiple.

The proof of Lemma 18 uses Cauchy-Schwarz and term-by-term comparison with the binomial series for $(x^2 + y^2)^{k/2}$ (taking into account that our series has twice as many terms) in the case where k is even, with appropriate modifications in the odd case.

To deduce the desired majorization for $M_n(f)$, we combine Lemma 18 with (67), and argue by induction on the generation number $m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ Thus $M_n(f_j^m)$ can be expressed in terms of $\{M_k(f_i^{m+1}) : k < n, i \leq 2^k\}$. The terms $|\int_{E_2^1} f| \cdot M_{n-1}(f_1^1)$ and $|\int_{E_1^1} f| \cdot M_{n-1}(f_2^1)$ cannot be handled in this way, essentially because 1 + x cannot be dominated by $(1 + Cx^2)^{1/2}$ for small x, so an extra step is required to incorporate them. See [11].

A final step is needed to handle the supremum over y, y'; it is similar to the argument for the linear case, Theorem 6. Lemma 18 is the source of the factor $1/\sqrt{n!}$. It is a lemma about nonnegative numbers; the square root does not come about through any orthogonality.

In the above discussion we have omitted one aspect of the problem. The validity of WKBtype asymptotics is a type of almost-every convergence problem; one wants $\exp(-i\phi(x,\lambda))u(x,\lambda) \rightarrow 1$ as $x \rightarrow +\infty$, for almost every λ . The usual strategy for proving such a result is to first prove a maximal function inequality in some L^q norm, then to observe the (usually obvious) fact that the convergence holds (usually in a rather strong sense) for some appropriate dense class of functions. The almost everywhere convergence follows by combining these.

Because we have multilinear operators rather than linear ones, this last step is a bit more complicated. One must compare $T_m(V, V, \ldots, V)$ with $T_m(W, W, \ldots, W)$ where Whas compact support, and V - W has small L^p norm. This is of course done in part by analyzing expressions $T_m(V, V, \ldots, V, W, \ldots, W)$. For details see [10].

Remark. The method applies to more general multiple integrals with variables which are partially, rather than linearly, ordered, such as

$$\int_{\Omega} K(\lambda, t) K(\lambda, s_1) K(\lambda, s_2) f_0(t) f_1(s_1) f_2(s_2) dt ds_1 ds_2$$

where $\Omega = \{(t, s_1, s_2) : t \leq s_1 \text{ and } t < s_2\}$. Such expressions, with a branching factor of 2 at each level, arose in our analysis [9] of the power-decaying case $V = O(|x|^{-r})$, because we used a different expansion for the generalized eigenfunctions.

10. Perturbations of Stark operators

A single electron in a uniform external electrical field (independent of space and time) is modeled quantum mechanically by the Stark Hamiltonian H(u) = -u'' - xu, the factor xrepresenting the electrical potential. We consider perturbations

(70)
$$H_q(u) = -u'' - xu + qu,$$

where q represents some perturbing electrical potential. Physical intuition suggests, and earlier results in the literature confirm, that weaker hypotheses on q suffice to guarantee the presence of absolutely continuous spectrum than are needed without the background field; the force exerted by the field tends to push everything off to infinity, making it more difficult for bound states to exist. The following theorems refine various earlier results, which required faster decay or more smoothness of the perturbation.

For convenience we assume always that q is uniformly in L^{1}_{loc} as $x \to -\infty$; much weaker hypotheses would suffice there because the external potential -x is so large.

Theorem 19. [13] Consider a Stark operator H_q on \mathbb{R}^1 . Assume that the potential q(x) admits a decomposition $q = q_1 + q_2$, where both $q_1(x^2)$ and $x^{-1}q'_2(x^2)$ belong to $(L^1 + L^p)(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ for some $1 . Assume further that there exists <math>\zeta < 1$ such that $|q_2(x)| \leq \zeta |x|$ for sufficiently large |x|. Then for almost every energy $E \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists a generalized eigenfunction $u_+(x, E)$ satisfying $H_q u_+ = Eu_+$, with asymptotic behavior

(71)
$$u_{+}(x,E) = (x - q_{2}(x) + E)^{-1/4} e^{i\phi(x,E)} (1 + o(1))$$

as $x \to +\infty$, where

$$\phi(x,E) = \int_{0}^{x} \left[\sqrt{t - q_2(t) + E} - \frac{q_1(t)}{2\sqrt{x - q_2(t) + E}} \right] dt$$

An essential support for the absolutely continuous spectrum of H_q is the entire line \mathbb{R} .

Corollary 20. If q is Hölder continuous of order $\alpha > 1/2$, or if $q(x) = O(|x|^{-\delta})$ for some $\delta > 1/4$, then \mathbb{R} is an essential support for the absolutely continuous spectrum of H_q . For almost every $E \in \mathbb{R}$, all generalized eigenfunctions satisfy $u(x) = O(|x|^{-1/4})$ and $u'(x) = O(|x|^{+1/4})$ as $x \to +\infty$.

The corollary is deduced from the theorem by verifying that any function Hölder continuous of order > 1/2 can be decomposed as a sum of two functions satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 19. The endpoint case p = 2 of the theorem remains open, but otherwise the result is rather sharp:

Theorem 21. [13] There exists a potential q which is $O(|x|^{-1/4})$ and is also Hölder continuous of order 1/2, for which the spectrum of H_q is purely singular.

What is actually shown is that the spectrum is almost surely purely singular, for a certain family of random potentials satisfying both these restrictions. The analysis is based closely on a similar result of Kiselev, Last, and Simon [29].

The method of proof of Theorem 19 is in outline the same as that for perturbations of the vacuum. We convert to a first-order system, and diagonalize it modulo small errors. Then we reformulate as an integral equation and iterate to obtain an expansion of the generalized eigenfunctions in WKB phase-modified power series in q. After making the change of variables $x \mapsto \sqrt{x}$ for $x \gg 1$, we invoke the multilinear maximal operator machinery.

We will not give the relevant formulae in detail. A caricature for the "linear" term in the "Taylor" expansion for the generalized eigenfunctions is

(72)
$$\int_{x^{1/2}}^{\infty} e^{i\lambda s + is^3} q(s^2) \, ds;$$

the higher-order multilinear operators may be similarly caricatured. Numerous simplifications have been made to arrive here. From (72) one sees the relevance of the hypothesis $q(x^2) \in L^p(dx)$. This also indicates why hypotheses such as Hölder continuity, or $x^{-1}q'(x^2) \in L^p$, are relevant: integration by parts allows one to exploit the term s^3 in the exponent, for large s, to substantial advantage.

Theorem 21 is a straightforward adaptation of the analysis by Kiselev, Last, and Simon of $-\partial_x^2 + V_\omega(x)$, where V_ω is defined by (9). For the Stark case, we modify the perturbing potentials, as follows. Fix $f \in C_0^\infty((0,1))$, not identically zero, and let $a_n(\omega)$ be independent, identically distributed random variables with uniform distribution in $[0, 2\pi]$. Define

(73)
$$q_{\omega}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1/2} f(\sqrt{x} - n) \sin(\frac{4}{3}x^{\frac{3}{2}} + a_n(\omega)) \; .$$

Then [13] for almost every ω , the spectrum of the corresponding perturbed Stark operator $-\partial_x^2 - x + q_\omega$ is purely singular on the whole real line.

11. SLOWLY VARYING AND POWER-DECAYING POTENTIALS

The Fourier transform has the following properties. (i) If $\partial_x^k f \in L^p$ for some $1 \le p \le 2$, then \hat{f} is almost everywhere finite. (ii) If \hat{f} , \hat{g} are both almost everywhere finite, then so is $\widehat{f+g}$.

We regard the mapping $V \mapsto u(x, \lambda)$, from the potential to the unique generalized eigenfunction with appropriate asymptotics at $+\infty$, as a nonlinear variant of the Fourier transform. Thus it is natural to ask whether basic properties of the ordinary Fourier transform are shared. The above two properties are of interest in idealized quantum mechanics; for instance, a potential could easily arise as the sum of contributions from different types of effects, so we would like to handle sums of potentials. This is potentially troublesome in a nonlinear situation, if different arguments are required for different classes of potentials.

Throughout this section, we assume the following conditions. Let $n \geq 0$ be a nonnegative integer, and let $p \in [1,2)$ be an exponent. Let V be a measurable, real-valued function defined on the real line \mathbb{R} . We assume¹⁸ that $V \to 0$ in L^1_{loc} at $\pm \infty$, that is, that $\int_{|y-x|\leq 1} |V| \to 0$ as $x \to \pm \infty$. Suppose that V admits a decomposition $V = V_0 + V_n$ where¹⁹ $V_0 \in L^p + L^1$, V_n is continuous and tends to zero, and $d^n V_n / dx^n \in L^p + L^1$, in the sense of distributions. Note that under these hypotheses, V can tend to zero arbitrarily slowly in L^1_{loc} . We continue to write $H = H_0 + V = -\partial_x^2 + V$.

A classical theorem of Weidmann [58] asserts that if $V = V_0 + V_1$ with V_0 and $dV_1/dx \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, and if $V_1(x) \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$, then \mathbb{R}^+ is an essential support of the absolutely continuous spectrum (moreover, at positive energies, $H_0 + V$ is unitarily equivalent to H_0). For higher derivatives, L^1 results were obtained by Behncke [2] and Stolz [54]. We extend this to L^p , p < 2, with a (necessarily) weaker form of the conclusion.

Theorem 22. [12] Under the above hypotheses, for almost every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, each solution of the generalized eigenfunction equation $Hu = \lambda^2 u$ is a bounded function of $x \in \mathbb{R}$. An essential support for the absolutely continuous spectrum of H is \mathbb{R}^+ .

Moreover, suitably generalized WKB asymptotics are valid for almost every λ ; there exists a solution satisfying $u(x, \lambda) = \exp(i\Psi(x, \lambda)) + o(1)$ as $x \to +\infty$, where Ψ (which depends in a much more complicated way on n, V) has bounded imaginary part and may in principle be computed in terms of V by a recipe described below.

A result of Molchanov, Novitskii and Vainberg [35], in the spirit of the work of Deift and Killip based on trace identities, asserts existence of absolutely continuous spectrum for potentials satisfying $d^n V/dx^n \in L^2$, under the supplementary hypothesis that $V \in L^{n+1}$.

For potentials with more rapidly decaying derivatives, our conclusions can be strengthened. Define p' = p/(p-1).

Theorem 23. [12] Suppose that $n \ge 0$, $1 \le p \le 2$, $0 < \gamma$, and $\gamma p' \le 1$. Let V be a measurable, real-valued function defined on \mathbb{R} . Suppose that $V = V_0 + V_n$ where V_n is bounded and continuous, and both $(1 + |x|)^{\gamma}V_0$ and $(1 + |x|)^{\gamma}d^nV_n/dx^n$ belong to $L^p + L^1$. Then every solution of Hu = Eu is a bounded function of $x \in \mathbb{R}$, for all E > 0, except for a set of values of E having Hausdorff dimension $\le 1 - \gamma p'$.

This result is due to Remling [43] for n = 0.

Again, generalized WKB asymptotics hold on the complement of the lower-dimensional exceptional set. In the case n = 0, Remling and Kriecherbauer [32, 44] have constructed examples demonstrating that WKB asymptotics can indeed fail to hold on sets of the stated dimension. The question of behavior for the exceptional energies is of considerable interest, firstly because it determines to what extent these energies contribute to the spectrum, and in particular whether singular continuous spectrum can arise, and secondly because it is connected with asymptotic completeness for the associated time-dependent Schrödinger evolution; see §12 below.

¹⁸For the generalization to the case where V need not tend in any sense to zero, but is merely uniformly in L_{loc}^1 , see [12].

¹⁹This includes any potential decomposable as $\sum_{k=0}^{n} V_k$ where $d^k V_k / dx^k \in (L^p + L^1)(\mathbb{R})$ for each $k \ge 0$, and where $\sum_{k=1}^{n} V_k \to 0$ in L^1_{loc} .

To see how to control the Hausdorff dimension of the exceptional set, let us first see how to do so for the Fourier transform itself.

Observation 24. If $1 \le p \le 2$, $\gamma > 0$, and $(1 + |x|)^{\gamma} f(x) \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ then

(74)
$$\lim_{x \to +\infty} \int_0^x e^{-i\lambda y} f(y) \, dy$$

exists for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus S$, where S has Hausdorff dimension $\leq 1 - \gamma p'$.

For the proof, let B be the Banach space consisting of all doubly indexed sequences $\{a_{m,j}\}$ for which $\sum_{m\geq 0} m \left(\sum_j |a_{m,j}|^2\right)^{1/2}$ is finite. Consider the linear operator mapping f to $\{\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\lambda y} f_j^m(y) \, dy\}$, a function $g(f)(\lambda)$ taking values in B. The hypothesis $|x|^{\gamma} f \in L^p$ implies that \hat{f} belongs to the Sobolev space of functions possessing γ derivatives in $L^{p'}$, and as is well known, a simple potential-theoretic argument shows that such a Sobolev function is well defined outside a set of the desired dimension. The same reasoning, coupled with the analysis outlined in earlier sections of these notes, shows that g(f) is (on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$) a B-valued function in this same Sobolev space. The potential-theoretic argument then applies as before.

This analysis can be adapted to the "Taylor series" representation of generalized eigenfunctions, by following the arguments outlined for the case $\gamma = 0$ in preceding sections of these notes.

The principal change needed to adapt our machinery to the slowly varying case is a substantially modified WKB approximation. To analyze the Fourier transform of a function possessing some smoothness, one typically integrates by parts; in our formalism, this integration by parts is implicitly incorporated when the modified WKB approximation is $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

inserted into the analysis of the first-order system $y' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ V - \lambda^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} y$.

To begin, we decompose²⁰ $V = W + \tilde{V}$ via a partition of unity on the Fourier transform side; W is the low-frequency part of V in the sense that $\hat{V}(\xi) \equiv \hat{W}(\xi)$ in a neighborhood of $\xi = 0$, and \hat{W} has compact support.

In step 2, we seek an approximation $\exp(i\Psi(x,\lambda))$ to a generalized eigenfunction $u(x,\lambda)$. Replacing V by W and Ψ' by an unknown Φ , the equation $(-\partial_x^2 + W - \lambda^2) \exp(i\int \Phi) \approx 0$ becomes the eikonal equation

(75)
$$\Phi^2 - i\Phi' + W - \lambda^2 \approx 0 \; .$$

We solve the recursion

(76)
$$\Phi_{k+1} = \sqrt{\lambda^2 - W + i\Phi'_k}$$

by induction on k, with $\Phi_0 \equiv \lambda$. Derivatives of W up to order k-1 appear in Φ_k ; this is why we are led to decompose $V = W + \tilde{V}$ with $W \in C^{\infty}$, and to omit the nonsmooth part, \tilde{V} , in this WKB part of the analysis. Since $W \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$, together with all its derivatives, there is no difficulty in carrying out this recursion for all sufficiently large x.

The error

(77)
$$E_k = \Phi_k^2 - i\Phi_k' + W - \lambda^2$$

²⁰Observe that for the WKB approximation $\phi(x,\lambda) = \lambda x - (2\lambda)^{-1} \int_0^x V$, replacing V by W makes no essential difference since $\int_0^x \tilde{V} \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$.

satisfies the useful recursion

(78)
$$E_{k+1} = i \frac{d}{dx} \frac{E_k}{\Phi_k + \sqrt{\Phi_k^2 - E_k}} ,$$

so that needed properties of Φ_k, E_k can be deduced by induction. Set $\Phi = \Phi_n$ where n is the index in the hypothesis of the theorem, and set

(79)
$$\Psi(x,\lambda) = \int_0^x \left(\Phi_n - \frac{\tilde{V} - E_n}{2\operatorname{Re}\,\Phi_n}\right)(y,\lambda)\,dy$$

Finally, set

(80)
$$\mathcal{E}(x,\lambda) = -E_n - \tilde{V}.$$

The recursions for Φ_k, E_k , along with standard Sobolev embedding estimates, can be used to show that $\mathcal{E}(x,\lambda) \in L^1 + L^p(\mathbb{R}, dx)$, and the same holds for all its derivatives with respect to λ .

In step 3, to solve the first-order system $y' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ V - \lambda^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} y$, we set²¹

(81)
$$y = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\Psi} & e^{-i\bar{\Psi}} \\ i\Phi e^{i\Psi} & -i\bar{\Phi}e^{-i\bar{\Psi}} \end{pmatrix} z ;$$

 Ψ is not in general real-valued, under our hypotheses it can be shown to have bounded real part, which need not tend to a limit as $x \to +\infty$ and hence is not negligible in the asymptotics. The upshot of all these algebraic manipulations is a simplified first-order evolution:

(82)
$$z' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{i\bar{\mathcal{E}}}{2\operatorname{Re}\Phi'}e^{-i\psi} \\ \frac{-i\bar{\mathcal{E}}}{2\operatorname{Re}\Phi'}e^{i\psi} & 0 \end{pmatrix} z .$$

where

(83)
$$\psi = 2 \operatorname{Re} \Psi$$

This is like the system in (41), with the potential replaced by $-i\mathcal{E}/2 \operatorname{Re} \Phi'$. The denominator Re Φ' turns out to be relatively harmless; the main new complication is that the "effective potential" $\mathcal{E}/\operatorname{Re} \Phi$ depends strongly, though smoothly, on λ . The method applies, after relatively minor modifications.

Here is a typical result concerning energy-dependent potentials.²² Its proof, rather than the result itself, is what is required to complete the proof of Theorem 22.

Theorem 25. [10] Let J be a compact subinterval of $\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$. Suppose that p < 2, that $W(x, \lambda)$ is real-valued, and that

$$\partial^j W(x,\lambda)/\partial \lambda^j \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$$

uniformly in $\lambda \in J$ for j = 0, 1. Suppose further that the derivatives $\partial^j W(x, \lambda) / \partial \lambda^j \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$, uniformly in $\lambda \in J$, for j = 2, 3. Then for almost every $\lambda \in J$, there exist

²¹The presence of Φ in the second row of the coefficient matrix, where one might expect to see instead Ψ' , is not a typo.

²²One could try to eliminate the WKB phase correction factor, $\exp(-i(2\lambda)^{-1}\int_0^x V)$ in the case n = 0, by incorporating it into the potential as well, but that would not work because its derivative with respect to λ is in general unbounded.

linearly independent, bounded solutions $u_{\pm}(x,\lambda)$ of

$$-u'' + W(x,\lambda)u = \lambda^2 u$$

with WKB asymptotic behavior as $x \to +\infty$.

The number of derivatives hypothesized here may not be optimal.

The main idea in the proof is quite standard. To estimate for example $\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\lambda x} V(x,\lambda) dx$ for λ in some compact interval, consider more generally $g(\lambda,\rho) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\lambda x} V(x,\rho) dx$. If $\partial^k V/\partial \lambda^k \in L^p$ for k = 0, 1, for some $1 \le p \le 2$, then $\partial^k g/\partial \rho^k \in L^{p'}(d\lambda)$, uniformly in ρ in an interval. The Sobolev embedding theorem then controls the restriction of g to $\rho = \lambda$.

12. WAVE OPERATORS AND SCATTERING

If we aspire to at least a caricature of quantum mechanics, we ought to study the Schrödinger group $\exp(itH)$, and in particular, its long term dynamics, including scattering. To the IPAM workshop audience for whom these notes are intended, the question of Strichartz estimates may leap to mind, but caution is required. For the class of potentials under discussion, the point spectrum can be nonempty, and indeed dense in \mathbb{R}^+ . Bound states evolve without dispersion, so no Strichartz estimates can hold for arbitrary initial data.

A second difficulty is the distinction between short and long range forces. A scattered particle cannot be expected to behave asymptotically like a free particle, if the forces acting on it are of sufficiently long range, as is the case for a slowly decaying potential V, even one of "symbol type" whose derivatives decay faster than V itself. This effect is already seen in the phase correction in our WKB asymptotics: $u_{\lambda}(x) \sim \exp(i\lambda x - i(2\lambda)^{-1} \int_{0}^{x} V)$. The correction term indicates heuristically that particles with energy λ^{2} propagate with velocities slightly different from $\pm \lambda$.

In principle, good control over all generalized eigenfunctions should lead to control of $\exp(itH)$, by the spectral calculus. In this section, I explain some preliminary and very recent results in this direction, in which work is still underway.

Definition. The wave operators Ω^{\pm} associated to a perturbed Hamiltonian²³ $H = H_0 + V$ are

(84)
$$\Omega^{\pm}f = \lim_{t \to \mp \infty} e^{itH_0} e^{-itH} f,$$

where the limit is taken in the strong operator topology, provided it exists.

Theorem 26. [14] Let $H = H_0 + V$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ with Dirichlet boundary condition at the origin. Suppose that $V \in L^p + L^1$ for some 1 . Suppose further that

(85)
$$\lim_{x \to +\infty} \int_0^x V(y) \, dy \qquad exists.$$

Then for each $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$, the two limits (84) exist in L^2 norm as $t \to \pm \infty$. Moreover, Ω^{\pm} are bijective isometries between $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and \mathcal{H}_{ac} .

Here \mathcal{H}_{ac} denotes the maximal subspace of \mathcal{H} on which H has purely absolutely continuous spectrum.

²³Either on $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R})$, or on $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ with a suitable boundary condition at the origin.

V is not assumed to be nonnegative, so the supplementary hypothesis on existence of $\int_0^\infty V$ is not a restriction on the size of V. Heuristically, a hypothesis with this flavor is needed for particles to have any chance of being asymptotically free.²⁴

Another way to state the conclusion is this: for each $f \in \mathcal{H}_{ac}$ there exist $g \pm \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

(86)
$$\|e^{itH}f - e^{itH_0}g_{\pm}\|_{L^2} \to 0 \quad \text{as } t \to \pm\infty.$$

The mappings $f \mapsto g_{\pm}$ thus defined are isometric bijections from \mathcal{H}_{ac} to $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$; $f = \Omega^{\pm}g_{\pm}$. The scattering operator $(\Omega^+)^{-1} \circ \Omega^-$ mapping g_- to g_+ is a unitary isomorphism of L^2 . Its physical interpretation that any incoming particle that is asymptotically free at $t = -\infty$ will be asymptotically free at $t = +\infty$, and $(\Omega^+)^{-1} \circ \Omega^-$ describes the transition from pre-interaction to post-interaction asymptotics.

To go further, note that as a consequence of the theory developed earlier in these notes, we know that under the hypothesis (85), for almost every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists a unique pair $(u_{\lambda}, \omega(\lambda))$, where u_{λ} is a generalized eigenfunction with spectral parameter λ^2 satisfying the boundary condition $u_{\lambda}(0) = 0$, and $\omega(\lambda) \in \mathbb{R}$, with asymptotic behavior

(87)
$$u_{\lambda}(x) = \sin(\phi(x,\lambda)) + o(1)$$
 as $x \to +\infty$

where

(88)
$$\phi(x,\lambda) = \lambda x + \omega(\lambda) + (2\lambda)^{-1} \int_x^\infty V \, dx$$

Theorem 27. [14] Under the hypotheses of Theorem 26, $(\Omega^+)^{-1} \circ \Omega^-$ is the unitary "Fourier multiplier" operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ mapping $\sin(\lambda x)$ to $e^{2i\omega(\lambda)}\sin(\lambda x)$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

There are five steps in the analysis.

• Identification of the projection operator from \mathcal{H} to \mathcal{H}_{ac} . The operator from $L^2(\mathbb{R}, d\lambda)$ to $L^2([0, \infty))$ defined formally by

(89)
$$S(F)(x) = c_0 \int_0^\infty F(\lambda) u_\lambda(x) \, d\lambda$$

is an isometry onto \mathcal{H}_{ac} . $S \circ S^*$ is the orthogonal projection from \mathcal{H} onto \mathcal{H}_{ac} . Thus $\exp(itH)$ maps $\int F(\lambda)u_{\lambda} d\lambda$ to $\int F(\lambda)e^{it\lambda^2}u_{\lambda} d\lambda$. • A very easy lemma showing for $f \in \mathcal{H}_{ac}$, $e^{itH}f \to 0$ in L^2 norm on any compact subset

- A very easy lemma showing for $f \in \mathcal{H}_{ac}$, $e^{itH}f \to 0$ in L^2 norm on any compact subset of \mathbb{R}^+ , as $|t| \to \infty$.
- Decompose $e^{itH}f$ as the sum of two terms. In the main term,

(90)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{it\lambda^2} F(\lambda) u_{\lambda}(x) \, d\lambda \text{ is replaced by } \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{it\lambda^2} F(\lambda) \sin(\phi(x,\lambda)) \, d\lambda$$

The difference is shown to tend to zero in $L^2([R,\infty))$ as $R \to \infty$, uniformly in $t \in \mathbb{R}$, for a dense subspace of \mathcal{H}_{ac} .

Namely, we take any compact subset $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$ on which all our estimates for the generalized eigenfunctions hold *uniformly* for $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and consider all $F \in L^{\infty}$ supported

²⁴For potentials satisfying appropriate symbol-type hypotheses (that is, the first one or few derivatives decay faster than the potentials themselves), Hörmander [24] has constructed modified wave operators which take into account long-range effects. We believe that we have obtained a similar generalization of Theorem 26, but this work is still in a preliminary phase.

in Λ . Essentially²⁵, this works because all our multilinear expressions of degree ≥ 1 involve the restriction of V to $[R, \infty)$; the L^p norm of the restriction tends to zero as $R \to \infty$. This step requires a bit more than the full strength of the multilinear operator machinery outlined in preceding sections.²⁶

- Another easy step replaces the phase $\lambda x + \omega(\lambda) + (2\lambda)^{-1} \int_x^\infty V$ by $\lambda x + \omega(\lambda)$ in the main term.
- The final step, evaluation of Ω^{\pm} in terms of the function ω , is routine:

(91)
$$\Omega^{\pm} \Big(\int_0^\infty F(\lambda) \sin(\lambda x) \, d\lambda \Big) = \int_0^\infty F(\lambda) e^{\pm i\omega(\lambda)} u_\lambda(x) \, d\lambda.$$

The next issue to consider is that of asymptotic completeness.

Definition. *H* is said to be *asymptotically complete* if the ranges of the wave operators Ω^{\pm} are equal to $\mathcal{H}_{\text{continuous}} = \mathcal{H} \ominus \mathcal{H}_{\text{pp}}$.

Of course, a necessary condition for asymptotic completeness is that the singular continuous spectrum should be empty.

The physical interpretation is that for an asymptotically complete system, all states are superpositions of bound states and scattering states, the latter being those states which are asymptotically free as $t \to \pm \infty$. For the class of operators H under discussion here, since the range of Ω^{\pm} is equal to \mathcal{H}_{ac} for either choice of sign, asymptotic completeness is equivalent to $\mathcal{H}_{sc} = \emptyset$. It remains an open question whether this is true.

We have obtained analogous results for Schrödinger operators on the whole real line instead of the half-line, but the statements are slightly more complicated and are omitted here. Another case that can be treated by our methods is that of certain Dirac-type operators, which arise in the inverse scattering method for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation. The unperturbed Hamiltonian is now

(92)
$$H_0 y = \begin{pmatrix} -i\partial_x & 0\\ 0 & i\partial_x \end{pmatrix} y$$

where y takes values in the space \mathbb{C}^2 of column vectors, and $\partial_x = d/dx$. The perturbed Hamiltonian is

(93)
$$H = H_0 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & V \\ \bar{V} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where V is complex-valued. We assume that $V \in L^1 + L^p(\mathbb{R})$ for some p < 2.

The theory for this equation is closely parallel to that for $-\partial_x^2 + V$, the main difference being a simplification: no WKB phase shift term $(2\lambda)^{-1} \int_0^x V$ appears in the exponentials. Consequently we are able to prove [14] the existence of wave operators for arbitrary $V \in L^1 + L^p$, without any supplementary hypothesis. Precise statements will not be given here.

For certain classes of random $V \in L^{1} + L^{p}$, it is known, by the techniques of [29], that the spectrum is almost surely absolutely continuous. As a corollary we obtain asymptotic completeness for almost every operator in these classes.

²⁵We have two proofs for this step. One requires a refinement of our multilinear operator machinery. Namely, it works when any one of the functions on which the multilinear operator acts (more generally, sufficiently few of them) belong to L^2 , provided all the others belong to L^p for some p < 2. The alternative proof uses the theorem of Lacey and Thiele on the boundedness of the bilinear Hilbert transform $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x - t)g(x + t)t^{-1} dt$ from $L^4 \otimes L^4$ to L^2 . If $|x|^{\varepsilon} V \in L^1 + L^2$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, then the bilinear Hilbert transform is not needed.

²⁶It works in general, without the supplementary hypothesis on the existence of the improper integral $\int_0^\infty V$.

13. THREE VARIATIONS ON A THEME OF STRICHARTZ

In this section we briefly discuss three different ways in which estimates of Strichartz type are relevant to our subject matter. The first was alluded to earlier: the linear maximal function theory allows one to deduce one Strichartz estimate from another, an application first observed by Tao [56]. For the free Laplacian H_0 , the following three inequalities are all valid for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), g \in L^{6/5}(\mathbb{R}^{1+1})$:

(94)
$$\|e^{itH_0}f(x)\|_{L^6_{x,t}} \le C\|f\|_{L^2_x}$$

(95)
$$\|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(t-t')H_0}g(t')\,dt'\|_{L^6_{x,t}} \le C\|g\|_{L^{6/5}_{x,t}}$$

(96)
$$\|\int_0^t e^{i(t-t')H_0}g(t')\,dt'\|_{L^6_{x,t}} \le C\|g\|_{L^{6/5}_{x,t}}.$$

In the latter two lines, g(t') denotes a function of $x' \in \mathbb{R}$, and $e^{i(t-t')H_0}g(t')$ is what one gets by applying the indicated operator to that function, and evaluating at x. The first inequality implies the second, by dualizing and then composing an operator with its adjoint. The third is of interest, because the quantity whose norm appears on the left-hand side appears in Duhamel's formula.

It is in deducing (96) from (95) that Corollary 7 is useful. Regard functions of (x, t)as being functions of $t \in \mathbb{R}$, taking values in auxiliary Banach spaces $L^p(\mathbb{R}, dx)$. The left-hand side of (96), evaluated at t, is obtained by applying the operator $Tg(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(i(t-t')H_0)g(t') dt'$ to g times the characteristic function of [0, t]. (95) asserts that T is bounded from the space $L_t^p(X)$ of X-valued functions in L^p to $L_t^q(Y)$, where X, Yequal $L^{6/5}(\mathbb{R}), L^6(\mathbb{R})$, respectively, and p = 6/5 < q = 6. Thus Corollary 7, extended to Banach space-valued functions, says that (95) directly implies (96). This extension to Banach spaces follows from the same proof as in the scalar case.

A second way to bring Strichartz and Fourier restriction inequalities into the subject is to consider the following physically artificial situation. Consider a one-parameter family of potentials

(97)
$$V_s(x) = W(x)\cos(sx^2)$$

where W is real-valued and fixed. Let $H_s = -\partial_x^2 + V_s$.

Theorem 28. Suppose that $W \in L^p + L^1(\mathbb{R})$ for some p < 4. Then for almost every $s \in \mathbb{R}$, an essential support for the absolutely continuous spectrum of H_s is \mathbb{R}^+ . For almost every pair (s, λ) , all generalized eigenfunctions of H_s with spectral parameter λ^2 are bounded and have WKB asymptotic behavior.

The basic point here is that the operator $f \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(-i\lambda x + isx^2)f(x) dx$ maps L^p to L^q for all p < 4, with q = q(p) > 4. This can be generalized to incorporate the WKB phase correction. Otherwise the analysis is essentially the same as in the proof of Theorem 2. We have not established the presence of a negative power of n! in the analogue of Theorem 13, but as explained in §9, these conclusions can be obtained without it.

One cannot expect to have the Strichartz estimate (94) with the free Laplacian replaced by $H = H_0 + V$ for general $V \in L^1 + L^p$, 1 , for two reasons. Firstly²⁷, as already

 $^{^{27}}$ "The preference for *first* over *firstly* in formal enumerations is one of the harmless pedantries in which those who like oddities because they are odd are free to indulge, provided that they abstain from censuring those who do not share the liking." H. W. Fowler [21].

pointed out, bound states can occur, indeed the point spectrum can be dense in \mathbb{R}^+ , and they destroy any such dispersion inequality. Secondly, although one could ask for such an estimate only for all $f \in \mathcal{H}_{ac}$, that is unlikely to hold. The problem is that our estimates are far from uniform in the spectral parameter λ , and are very weak; we know only that $\log \sup_x |u(x,\lambda)|$ is locally integrable in λ . The following seems nearly the best that is likely to be true.

Problem 1. Suppose that $V \in L^1 + L^p$. Show that there exists a nonnegative function w, strictly positive almost everywhere, such that for any function f satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(\lambda)|^2 w(\lambda) d\lambda < \infty$, the function $g(y) = \int f(\lambda) u(y, \lambda) d\lambda$ satisfies $\exp(itH)g(x) \in L^6_{x,t}$.

Here $u(y, \lambda)$ denotes a generalized eigenfunction with WKB asymptotics at $y = +\infty$.

I believe that such a result follows by combining ingredients from our analysis of wave operators with the usual derivation of the $L^{4-\delta}$ restriction theorem in \mathbb{R}^2 ; work on this is in progress. However, at the time of writing of these notes, the proof has not been completed.

14. Open problems

The following are some of the principal open problems, for the one-dimensional case, related to the results discussed in these notes.

Problem 2. Square integrable potentials. Extend all results from L^p , p < 2, to L^2 (and hence to $L^2 + L^1$, by rather easy supplementary arguments). As is clear from the discussion, this amounts to a nonlinear extension of Carleson's theorem on almost everywhere convergence of Fourier transforms and series.

Carleson showed²⁸ that the map

(98)
$$f \mapsto \sup_{y} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{y} e^{ix\xi} \hat{f}(\xi) \, d\xi \right|$$

maps $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ to weak²⁹ L^2 . Since the Fourier transform is an invertible isometry on L^2 , by setting $f = \hat{V}$ we deduce that $V \mapsto \sup_y \left| \int_{-\infty}^y e^{ix\xi} V(\xi) \, d\xi \right|$ is bounded. The first-order term in our expansion³⁰ is this, with the added complication that the phase $x\xi$ is replaced by $x\xi - (2x)^{-1} \int_0^{\xi} V(t) \, dt$. A subproblem³¹ is to obtain estimates in $L_y^{\infty} L_x^{q,\infty}$, where $L^{q,\infty}$ denotes the space weak

A subproblem³¹ is to obtain estimates in $L_y^{\infty} L_x^{q,\infty}$, where $L^{q,\infty}$ denotes the space weak L^q and where for the multilinear term of degree m, q = 2/m; a subsubproblem is to do so with the phase correction $(2x)^{-1} \int V$ omitted. For m = 1, this is a consequence of Plancherel's theorem; for m = 2 it boils down to Plancherel's theorem plus the weak type (1,1) boundedness of the Hilbert transform. The first nontrivial case is m = 3; this has recently been successfully analyzed by Muscalu, Tao, and Thiele [36].

The next problem is taken from a list of problems proposed by Simon [49].

²⁸Subsequently extended to $1 by Hunt, with further refinements near <math>L^1$ by Sjölin, an influential second proof by C. Fefferman, and recently a superb short analysis by Lacey and Thiele.

²⁹It actually is bounded from L^2 to L^2 , as was shown by Rubio de Francia via a simple application of weighted norm inequalities and extrapolation.

³⁰One should beware the perils of reductionism; we have seen that certain fundamental properties of the generalized eigenfunctions and scattering coefficients are obscured when individual terms of this multilinear expansion are examined in isolation.

³¹This is of interest, because suitable estimates of this weaker type suffice to imply existence of ac spectrum, as in the work of Deift and Killip.

Problem 3. Existence of singular continuous spectrum. Can there exist singular continuous spectrum, for potentials which are $O(|x|^{-r})$ for some r > 1/2, or more generally, for potentials in L^2 ? Can the spectral measure have singular components of dimension $0 < \alpha < 1$?

If $(1 + |x|)^{\gamma}V \in L^p$ and $1 \leq p \leq 2$, then we have shown that WKB asymptotics hold for all energies except an exceptional set of Hausdorff dimension $\leq 1 - \gamma p'$ (provided this quantity is ≥ 0). On the other hand, Remling and Kriecherbauer [32]. have shown that WKB asymptotics can indeed fail for a set of energies of precisely this dimension. However, in order to obtain spectrum of this dimension, according to an analogue of the criterion (20), one needs to construct sufficiently many generalized eigenfunctions with appropriate decay. Essentially, one needs

(99)
$$\limsup_{R \to \infty} R^{1-\alpha} \int_{|x| \le R} |u_E(x)|^2 \, dx < \infty.$$

Problem 4. Asymptotic completeness. Are Schrödinger operators with L^p potentials necessarily asymptotically complete?

According to the discussion in §12, this is actually essentially the same as the preceding problem.

Problem 5. Stability of dynamical systems under time-dependent perturbations. To what extent do our results extend to more general dynamical systems?

Our asymptotic analysis may be viewed as an almost sure stability result for perturbations of a (completely integrable) dynamical system. The unperturbed system has state space $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \Lambda$, where $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$ is any fixed compact interval. The time evolution is given by

(100)
$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} u(t) \\ v(t) \\ \lambda(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v \\ -\lambda^2 u \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is simply a reformulation of the Schrödinger equation $-u'' = \lambda^2 u$. All orbits are periodic, with periods $2\pi/\lambda$. Now consider the perturbed evolution

(101)
$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v \\ (V(t) - \lambda^2)u \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $V \in L^1$, each trajectory of the perturbed system is asymptotic to some trajectory of the unperturbed system. For $V \in L^1 + L^p$, 1 , our results imply that for almostevery initial condition at <math>t = 0, the resulting trajectory is asymptotic to some unperturbed trajectory (though in a weaker sense, with a change of clock, which takes into account the WKB phase shift).

Preliminary work in this direction is underway.

Problem 6. Inverse scattering. For potentials in $L^1 + L^p$ for $1 , the WKB asymptotics make it possible to define, for almost every <math>\lambda$, modified reflection and transmission coefficients $t(\lambda), r(\lambda)$ taking into account the phase correction. Assuming that $\lim_{x\to\infty} \int_0^x V$ exists, to what extent can V be recovered from this data? From the time-dependent scattering data?

If the spectrum is purely absolutely continuous³², do these determine V? More generally, if there are also finitely many bound states, do their energies and associated norming constants, in addition to the modified reflection/transmission coefficients, determine V?

References

- [1] S. Agmon, Lower bounds for solutions of Schrödinger equations, J. Analyse Math. 23 (1970), 1-25.
- H. Behncke, Absolutely continuous spectrum of Hamiltonians with Von Neumann-Wigner potentials, II, Manuscripta Math. 71 (1991), 163-181.
- [3] L. Brilloin, Notes on undulatory mechanics, J. Phys. 7 (1926), 353.
- [4] V. S. Buslaev and L. D. Faddeev, Formulas for traces for a singular Sturm-Liouville differential operator, Soviet Math. Dokl. 1 (1960) 451–454.
- [5] A. P. Calderón, Cauchy integrals on Lipschitz curves and related operators, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, 75 (1977), 1324-1327.
- [6] L. Carleson, On convergence and growth of partial sums of Fourier series, Acta Math. 116 (1966), 135-157
- [7] L. Carleson, Lectures on Exceptional Sets, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1967.
- [8] R. Carmona and J. Lacroix, Spectral theory of random Schrödinger operators, Birkhauser, Boston, 1990
- M. Christ and A. Kiselev, Absolutely continuous spectrum for one-dimensional Schrödinger operators with slowly decaying potentials: Some optimal results, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 11 (1998), 771–797
- [10] _____, WKB asymptotics of generalized eigenfunctions of one-dimensional Schrödinger oeprators, J. Funct. Anal. 179 (2001), 426-447.
- [11] _____, Maximal functions associated to filtrations, J. Funct. Anal. 179 (2001), 409-425.
- [12] _____, WKB and spectral analysis of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators with slowly varying potentials, to appear in Commun. Math. Phys.
- [13] _____, Absolutely continuous spectrum of Stark operators, preprint, submitted for publication.
- [14] _____, work in progress.
- [15] M. Christ, A. Kiselev, and Y. Last, Approximate eigenvectors and spectral theory, in Differential Equations and Mathematical Physics, Proceedings of an International Conference held at the University of Alabama at Birmingham, p. 61–72
- [16] R. R. Coifman and Y. Meyer, Nonlinear harmonic analysis, operator theory and P.D.E., Beijing lectures in harmonic analysis (Beijing, 1984), 3–45, Ann. of Math. Stud., 112, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1986.
- [17] _____, Lavrentiev's curves and conformal mappings, Report 5, 1983, Mittag-Leffler Institute.
- [18] H. Cycon, R. Froese, W. Kirsch and B. Simon, Schrödinger operators, Springer-Verlag, 1987
- [19] P. Deift and R. Killip, On the absolutely continuous spectrum of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators with square summable potentials, Commun. Math. Phys. 203 (1999), 341–347
- [20] V. E. Zaharov and L. D. Faddeev, The Korteweg-de Vries equation is a fully integrable Hamiltonian system (Russian), Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. 5 (1971), no. 4, 18–27.
- [21] H. W. Fowler, Modern English Usage.
- [22] D.J. Gilbert, On subordinacy and analysis of the spectrum of Schrödinger operators with two singular endpoints, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 112(1989), 213–229
- [23] D. J. Gilbert and D. B. Pearson, On subordinacy and analysis of the spectrum of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 128 (1987), no. 1, 30–56.
- [24] L. Hörmander, The existence of wave operators in scattering theory, Math. Z. 146 (1976), 69-91.
- [25] S. Jitomirskaya and Y. Last, Power-law subordinacy and singular spectra. I. Half-line operators, Acta Math. 183 (1999), 171–189
- [26] T. Kato, Growth properties of solutions of the reduced wave equation with a variable coefficient, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 12 (1959), 403-425.
- [27] R. Killip, Perturbations of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators preserving the absolutely continuous spectrum, preprint.
- [28] A. Kiselev, Stability of the absolutely continuous spectrum of Schrödinger equation under perturbations by slowly decreasing potentials and a.e. convergence of integral operators, Duke Math. J. 94 (1998), 619-649

³²This assumption is not completely loony, since it is satisfied almost surely for certain random operators.

- [29] A. Kiselev, Y. Last, and B. Simon, Modified Prüfer and EFGP transforms and the spectral analysis of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators, Commun. Math. Phys. 194 (1998), 1–45
- [30] S. Kotani and N. Ushiroya, One-dimensional Schrödinger operators with random decaying potentials, Commun. Math. Phys. 115 (1988), 247–266
- [31] H. Kramers, Wellenmechanik und habzahige Quantisierung, Zeit. Phys. 39 (1926), 828.
- [32] T. Kriecherbauer and C. Remling, Finite gap potentials and WKB asymptotics for one-dimensional Schrödinger operators, preprint.
- [33] Y. Last and B. Simon, Eigenfunctions, transfer matrices, and absolutely continuous spectrum of onedimensional Schrödinger operators, Invent. Math. 135 (1999), 329–367
- [34] D. Menshov, Sur les series de fonctions orthogonales, Fund. Math. 10, 375-420 (1927)
- [35] S. Molchanov, M. Novitskii, and B. Vainberg, First KdV integrals and absolutely continuous spectrum for 1-D Schrödinger operator, preprint 2000.
- [36] C. Muscalu, T. Tao and C. Thiele, Lp estimates for the biest II. The Fourier case, preprint.
- [37] S.N. Naboko and A.B. Pushnitskii, Point spectrum on a continuous spectrum for weakly perturbed Stark type operators, Funct. Anal. Appl.29(4)(1995), 248–257
- [38] F.W.J. Olver, Introduction to Asymptotics and Special Functions, Academic Press, New York-London (1974)
- [39] R.E.A.C. Paley, Some theorems on orthonormal functions, Studia Math. 3 (1931) 226-245
- [40] D. Pearson, Singular continuous measures in scattering theory, Comm. Math. Phys. 60 (1978), 13–36.
- [41] M. Reed and B. Simon, Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, 4 volumes, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [42] C. Remling, The absolutely continuous spectrum of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators with decaying potentials, Commun. Math. Phys. 193 (1998), 151–170
- [43] _____, Bounds on embedded singular spectrum for one-dimensional Schrödinger operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (2000), 161–171.
- [44] _____, Schrödinger operators with decaying potentials: some counterexamples, to appear in Duke Math. J.; preprint 99-87, Mathematical Physics Preprint Archive, http://www.ma.utexas.edu/mp_arc/bin/mpa?yn=99-87.
- [45] I. Sch'nol, On the behavior of the Schrödinger equation, Mat. Sb. 42(1957), 273–286 (Russian).
- [46] B. Simon, Bounded eigenfunctions and absolutely continuous spectra for one-dimensional Schrödinger operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124 (1996), 3361–3369
- [47] _____, Spectral Analysis and rank one perturbations and applications, CRM Lecture Notes Vol. 8,
 J. Feldman, R. Froese, L. Rosen, eds., Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc., 1995, pp. 109-149
- [48] _____, Schrödinger operators in the twentieth century, preprint.
- [49] _____, Schrödinger operators in the twenty-first century, preprint.
- [50] _____, Bounded eigenfunctions and absolutely continuous spectra for one-dimensional Schrödinger operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124 (1996), 3361-3369.
- [51] _____, On positive eigenvalues of one-body Schrödinger operators, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 22 (1969), 531-538.
- [52] _____, Schrödinger semigroups, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 7(1982), 447–526.
- [53] H. Smith and C. Sogge, *Global Strichartz estimates for nontrapping perturbations of the Laplacian*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, to appear.
- [54] G. Stolz, Spectral theory for slowly oscillating potentials. II. Schrödinger operators, Math. Nachr. 183 (1997), 275-294.
- [55] _____, Bounded solutions and absolute continuity of Sturm-Liouville operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 169 (1992), 210–228
- [56] T. Tao, Spherically averaged endpoint Strichartz estimates for the two-dimensional Schrödinger equation, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, to appear.
- [57] J. von Neumann and E.P. Wigner, Über merkwürdige diskrete Eigenwerte Z. Phys. 30(1929), 465–467
- [58] J. Weidmann, Zur Spektral Theorie von Sturm-Liouville Operatoren, Math. Z. 98 (1967), 268–302
- [59] G. Wentzel, Eine Verallgemeinerung der Quantenbedingungen f
 ür die Zwecke der Wellenmechanik, Zeit. Phys. 38 (1926), 38.
- [60] A. Zygmund, A remark on Fourier transforms, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 32 (1936), 321-327
- [61] _____, Trigonometric Series, Vol. 2, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1977.

Michael Christ, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, USA

E-mail address: mchrist@math.berkeley.edu

Alexander Kiselev, Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago, Chicago, Ill. 60637

E-mail address: kiselev@math.uchicago.edu