

Quantum OT: quantum channels and qubits

Tutorial 2

Dario Trevisan

Università di Pisa
dario.trevisan@unipi.it

March 12, 2025

Plan

- 1 Transport plans versus couplings
 - Motivation
 - Purification of a state
 - Correspondence between couplings and plans
- 2 Quantum OT with quantum channels
 - Quantum transport cost
 - Distance between a state and itself
 - Modified triangle inequality
- 3 The Gaussian case
- 4 Bibliography

Plan

- 1 Transport plans versus couplings
 - Motivation
 - Purification of a state
 - Correspondence between couplings and plans
- 2 Quantum OT with quantum channels
- 3 The Gaussian case
- 4 Bibliography

Motivation

- In the classical OT theory, transport maps T pushing a probability $\rho(x)$ into $\sigma(y)$, are relaxed into Kantorovich **couplings** $\pi(x, y)$.
- The interpretation of $\pi(x, y)$ as a generalized transport map (**plan**) is probabilistic via **conditioning**

$$\pi(y|x) = \frac{\pi(x, y)}{\rho(x)},$$

which defines a Markov transition kernel.

- We propose a quantum OT where the transport plan is a **quantum channel**.
- These plans are in correspondence with suitable couplings, akin to Golse-Mouhot-Paul-Caglioti (GMPC) OT, but with some differences.

Quantum coupling and no-cloning

- Recall that a quantum channel Φ on a system H is a **linear, completely positive, trace preserving** map between operators on H , in particular it preserves states:

$$\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H) \quad \mapsto \quad \Phi(\rho) = \sigma \in \mathcal{S}(H).$$

- Definition:** given quantum states $\sigma, \rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$, a **quantum transport plan** $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}(\rho, \sigma)$ is a quantum channel such that $\Phi(\rho) = \sigma$.
- Problem:** How to compute a transport cost along the plan?
- We need a joint system where both $(\rho, \Phi(\rho))$ are defined **but also strongly correlated** (why $\rho \otimes \Phi(\rho)$ is bad?).
 \Rightarrow we need to make a copy ρ and then act with Φ on one copy.

Transpose map

Consider H and its dual H^* . Being Hilbert spaces, these are naturally identified

$$|\varphi\rangle \in H \mapsto \langle\varphi| \in H^*.$$

Given a linear operator $A : H \rightarrow H$, we define

- its **adjoint** $A^\dagger : H \rightarrow H$, $|\varphi\rangle \mapsto |A^\dagger\varphi\rangle$ such that

$$\langle A^\dagger\varphi|\varphi\rangle = \langle\varphi|A|\varphi\rangle.$$

- its **transpose** $A^T : H^* \rightarrow H^*$, $\langle\varphi| \mapsto A^T\langle\varphi|$ such that

$$A^T\langle\varphi| = \langle\varphi|A.$$

Exercise: pick an orthonormal basis $(|i\rangle)_i \subseteq H$ with dual basis $(\langle i|)_i$ and show that in coordinates $(A^\dagger)_{ij} = \overline{A_{ji}}$, while $(A^T)_{ij} = A_{ji}$.

Transpose map

Consider H and its dual H^* . Being Hilbert spaces, these are naturally identified

$$|\varphi\rangle \in H \mapsto \langle\varphi| \in H^*.$$

Given a linear operator $A : H \rightarrow H$, we define

- its **adjoint** $A^\dagger : H \rightarrow H$, $|\varphi\rangle \mapsto |A^\dagger\varphi\rangle$ such that

$$\langle A^\dagger\varphi|\varphi\rangle = \langle\varphi|A|\varphi\rangle.$$

- its **transpose** $A^T : H^* \rightarrow H^*$, $\langle\varphi| \mapsto A^T\langle\varphi|$ such that

$$A^T\langle\varphi| = \langle\varphi|A.$$

Exercise: pick an orthonormal basis $(|i\rangle)_i \subseteq H$ with dual basis $(\langle i|)_i$ and show that in coordinates $(A^\dagger)_{ij} = \overline{A_{ji}}$, while $(A^T)_{ij} = A_{ji}$.

Purification

- 1 Given $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$, a **purification** of ρ is a pure state on a larger system $|\psi_\rho\rangle \in H \otimes K$ such that

$$\text{Tr}_K[|\psi_\rho\rangle\langle\psi_\rho|] = \rho.$$

- 2 **Example:** on a qubit system $H = \mathbb{C}^2$, if

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2}|0\rangle\langle 0| + \frac{1}{2}|1\rangle\langle 1|,$$

then the Bell state

$$|\Psi^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|00\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|11\rangle \in (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes 2}$$

is a purification of ρ .

- 3 Notice that a purification **cannot exist within classical probability**, since pure states correspond to Dirac δ measures (hence also their marginals are δ 's).

Given a purification $|\psi_\rho\rangle \in H \otimes K$, also

- $I \otimes U_K |\psi_\rho\rangle$ is a purification (U_K is any unitary)
- $|\psi_\rho\rangle \otimes |\phi\rangle \in H \otimes K \otimes K'$ for any additional $|\phi\rangle \in K'$.

To construct a **canonical purification** we identify $H \otimes H^*$ with $L^2(H)$ (Hilbert-Schmidt operators) via the Hilbert isometry

$$|\phi\rangle \otimes \langle\psi| \mapsto |\phi\rangle\langle\psi|$$

(extend by linearity). We use the notation for the inverse:

$$X \mapsto ||X\rangle\rangle \in H \otimes H^*$$

Exercises:

- 1 prove that it is indeed an isometry. Recall that the scalar products are respectively

$$(|\phi\rangle \otimes \langle\psi|, |\phi'\rangle \otimes \langle\psi'|)_{H \otimes H^*} = \langle\phi|\phi'\rangle \langle\psi'|\psi\rangle, \quad (A, B)_{L^2(H)} = \text{Tr}[A^\dagger B].$$

- 2 Show that if $X \in L^2(H)$, $A, B \in L^2(H)$, $B \in L^2(H^*)$, then

$$A \otimes B^T ||X\rangle\rangle = ||AXB\rangle\rangle.$$

Given a purification $|\psi_\rho\rangle \in H \otimes K$, also

- $I \otimes U_K |\psi_\rho\rangle$ is a purification (U_K is any unitary)
- $|\psi_\rho\rangle \otimes |\phi\rangle \in H \otimes K \otimes K'$ for any additional $|\phi\rangle \in K'$.

To construct a **canonical purification** we identify $H \otimes H^*$ with $L^2(H)$ (Hilbert-Schmidt operators) via the Hilbert isometry

$$|\phi\rangle \otimes \langle\psi| \mapsto |\phi\rangle\langle\psi|$$

(extend by linearity). We use the notation for the inverse:

$$X \mapsto ||X\rangle\rangle \in H \otimes H^*$$

Exercises:

- 1 prove that it is indeed an isometry. Recall that the scalar products are respectively

$$(|\phi\rangle \otimes \langle\psi|, |\phi'\rangle \otimes \langle\psi'|)_{H \otimes H^*} = \langle\phi|\phi'\rangle \langle\psi'|\psi\rangle, \quad (A, B)_{L^2(H)} = \text{Tr}[A^\dagger B].$$

- 2 Show that if $X \in L^2(H)$, $A, B \in L^2(H)$, $B \in L^2(H^*)$, then

$$A \otimes B^T ||X\rangle\rangle = ||AXB\rangle\rangle.$$

Given $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$, its **canonical purification** is defined as

$$||\sqrt{\rho}\rangle\rangle \in H \otimes H^*,$$

i.e., the auxiliary system is $K = H^*$. To see it is a purification:

- 1 Use spectral theorem to diagonalize $\rho = \sum_i p_i |i\rangle\langle i|$ with orthonormal basis $(|i\rangle)_i$.
- 2 Then $\sqrt{\rho} = \sum_i \sqrt{p_i} |i\rangle\langle i|$, hence

$$||\sqrt{\rho}\rangle\rangle = \sum_i \sqrt{p_i} |i\rangle \otimes \langle i|.$$

- 3 Taking the partial trace:

$$\text{Tr}_{H^*}[||\sqrt{\rho}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\sqrt{\rho}||] = \sum_i p_i |i\rangle\langle i| = \rho.$$

- 4 We also notice that

$$\text{Tr}_H[||\sqrt{\rho}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\sqrt{\rho}||] = \sum_i p_i \langle i| \otimes |i\rangle = \rho^T.$$

- Notice that we can extend the construction to a larger systems, e.g. letting

$$|\psi_\rho\rangle \in H_1 \otimes H_2^* \otimes H_3 \otimes H_4^*$$

(with $H_1 = H_2 = H_3 = H_4$) given by

$$|\psi_\rho\rangle = \sum_i \sqrt{p_i} |i\rangle \otimes \langle i| \otimes |i\rangle \otimes \langle i|.$$

- This way,

$$\text{Tr}_{12}[|\psi_\rho\rangle\langle\psi_\rho|] = \text{Tr}_{34}[|\psi_\rho\rangle\langle\psi_\rho|] = \text{Tr}_{23}[|\psi_\rho\rangle\langle\psi_\rho|] = ||\sqrt{\rho}\rangle\rangle\langle\sqrt{\rho}|.$$

and also $\text{Tr}_{14}[|\psi_\rho\rangle\langle\psi_\rho|]$ up to a swap transpose.

Couplings

We **define** a quantum couplings $\mathcal{C}(\rho, \sigma)$ as a state $\Pi \in \mathcal{S}(H \otimes H^*)$ such that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_H[\Pi] = \rho^T, \quad \mathrm{Tr}_{H^*}[\Pi] = \sigma.$$

Examples:

- 1 (identity coupling) $\Pi = ||\sqrt{\rho}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\sqrt{\rho}| \in \mathcal{C}(\rho, \rho)$.
- 2 (product coupling) $\Pi = \sigma \otimes \rho^T$.

Remarks:

- if $\sigma = |\phi\rangle\langle\phi|$ is pure, then $\mathcal{C}(\sigma, \rho) = \{\sigma \otimes \rho^T\}$.
- $\mathcal{C}(\rho, \sigma)$ is in natural correspondence with $\mathcal{C}(\sigma, \rho)$, via the **swap transpose**:

$$|\phi\rangle \otimes \langle\psi| \mapsto |\psi\rangle \otimes \langle\phi|.$$

- Acting with a **partial transpose** on $\Pi \in \mathcal{C}(\rho, \sigma)$ we obtain $\Pi' \in L^1(H \otimes H)$ such that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_2[\Pi'] = \sigma, \quad \mathrm{Tr}_1[\Pi'] = \rho.$$

However it is **not a coupling in the sense of GMPC** (except special cases) since partial transpose is not completely positive.

Couplings

We **define** a quantum couplings $\mathcal{C}(\rho, \sigma)$ as a state $\Pi \in \mathcal{S}(H \otimes H^*)$ such that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_H[\Pi] = \rho^T, \quad \mathrm{Tr}_{H^*}[\Pi] = \sigma.$$

Examples:

- 1 (identity coupling) $\Pi = ||\sqrt{\rho}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\sqrt{\rho}| \in \mathcal{C}(\rho, \rho)$.
- 2 (product coupling) $\Pi = \sigma \otimes \rho^T$.

Remarks:

- if $\sigma = |\phi\rangle\langle\phi|$ is pure, then $\mathcal{C}(\sigma, \rho) = \{\sigma \otimes \rho^T\}$.
- $\mathcal{C}(\rho, \sigma)$ is in natural correspondence with $\mathcal{C}(\sigma, \rho)$, via the **swap transpose**:

$$|\phi\rangle \otimes \langle\psi| \mapsto |\psi\rangle \otimes \langle\phi|.$$

- Acting with a **partial transpose** on $\Pi \in \mathcal{C}(\rho, \sigma)$ we obtain $\Pi' \in L^1(H \otimes H)$ such that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_2[\Pi'] = \sigma, \quad \mathrm{Tr}_1[\Pi'] = \rho.$$

However it is **not a coupling in the sense of GMPC** (except special cases) since partial transpose is not completely positive.

Couplings

We **define** a quantum couplings $\mathcal{C}(\rho, \sigma)$ as a state $\Pi \in \mathcal{S}(H \otimes H^*)$ such that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_H[\Pi] = \rho^T, \quad \mathrm{Tr}_{H^*}[\Pi] = \sigma.$$

Examples:

- ① (identity coupling) $\Pi = ||\sqrt{\rho}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\sqrt{\rho}| \in \mathcal{C}(\rho, \rho)$.
- ② (product coupling) $\Pi = \sigma \otimes \rho^T$.

Remarks:

- if $\sigma = |\phi\rangle\langle\phi|$ is pure, then $\mathcal{C}(\sigma, \rho) = \{\sigma \otimes \rho^T\}$.
- $\mathcal{C}(\rho, \sigma)$ is in natural correspondence with $\mathcal{C}(\sigma, \rho)$, via the **swap transpose**:

$$|\phi\rangle \otimes \langle\psi| \mapsto |\psi\rangle \otimes \langle\phi|.$$

- Acting with a **partial transpose** on $\Pi \in \mathcal{C}(\rho, \sigma)$ we obtain $\Pi' \in L^1(H \otimes H)$ such that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_2[\Pi'] = \sigma, \quad \mathrm{Tr}_1[\Pi'] = \rho.$$

However it is **not a coupling in the sense of GMPC** (except special cases) since partial transpose is not completely positive.

Correspondence between couplings and plans

Given a quantum transport plan $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}(\rho, \sigma)$, $(\Phi(\rho) = \sigma)$, we induce the coupling

$$\Pi_\Phi = (\Phi \otimes \mathbb{I}_{L(H^*)}) [|\sqrt{\rho}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\sqrt{\rho}|] \in \mathcal{C}(\rho, \sigma).$$

Indeed,

- Π_Φ is positive by complete positivity of Φ ,
- we have

$$\text{Tr}_{H^*}[\Pi_\Phi] = \Phi \text{Tr}_{H^*}[|\sqrt{\rho}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\sqrt{\rho}|] = \Phi\rho = \sigma,$$

- and

$$\text{Tr}_H[\Pi_\Phi] = \text{Tr}_H[|\sqrt{\rho}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\sqrt{\rho}|] = \rho^T.$$

The correspondence is a **bijection**.

Correspondence between couplings and plans

Given a quantum transport plan $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}(\rho, \sigma)$, $(\Phi(\rho) = \sigma)$, we induce the coupling

$$\Pi_\Phi = (\Phi \otimes \mathbb{I}_{L(H^*)}) [|\sqrt{\rho}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\sqrt{\rho}|] \in \mathcal{C}(\rho, \sigma).$$

Indeed,

- Π_Φ is positive by complete positivity of Φ ,
- we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{H^*}[\Pi_\Phi] = \Phi \mathrm{Tr}_{H^*}[|\sqrt{\rho}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\sqrt{\rho}|] = \Phi\rho = \sigma,$$

- and

$$\mathrm{Tr}_H[\Pi_\Phi] = \mathrm{Tr}_H[|\sqrt{\rho}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\sqrt{\rho}|] = \rho^T.$$

The correspondence is a **bijection**.

Correspondence between couplings and plans

Given a quantum transport plan $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}(\rho, \sigma)$, $(\Phi(\rho) = \sigma)$, we induce the coupling

$$\Pi_\Phi = (\Phi \otimes \mathbb{I}_{L(H^*)}) [|\sqrt{\rho}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\sqrt{\rho}|] \in \mathcal{C}(\rho, \sigma).$$

Indeed,

- Π_Φ is positive by complete positivity of Φ ,
- we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{H^*}[\Pi_\Phi] = \Phi \mathrm{Tr}_{H^*}[|\sqrt{\rho}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\sqrt{\rho}|] = \Phi\rho = \sigma,$$

- and

$$\mathrm{Tr}_H[\Pi_\Phi] = \mathrm{Tr}_H[|\sqrt{\rho}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\sqrt{\rho}|] = \rho^T.$$

The correspondence is a **bijection**.

- Let $\Pi \in \mathcal{C}(\rho, \sigma) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(H \otimes H^*)$, and use spectral theorem:

$$\Pi = \sum_i p_i ||A_i\rangle\rangle\langle\langle A_i||$$

with $A_i \in L(H)$ orthonormal basis, $\sum_i p_i = 1$.

- For simplicity assume that $\rho > 0$ is invertible. Define

$$\Phi(X) = \sum_i \sqrt{p_i} A_i \rho^{-\frac{1}{2}} X \rho^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_i^\dagger \sqrt{p_i} = \sum_i B_i X B_i^\dagger$$

which a Kraus representation with $B_i = \sqrt{p_i} A_i \rho^{-1/2}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i B_i^\dagger B_i &= \sum_i p_i \rho^{-1/2} A_i^\dagger A_i \rho^{-1/2} = \rho^{-1/2} \left(\sum_i p_i A_i^\dagger A_i \right) \rho^{-1/2} \\ &= \rho^{-1/2} \rho \rho^{-1/2} = \mathbb{I}_H. \end{aligned}$$

- Then, $\Phi(\rho) = \sum_i p_i A_i A_i^\dagger = (\sigma^T)^T = \sigma$ using the exercise below.

Exercise: Show that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_H[||X\rangle\rangle\langle\langle X||] = (XX^\dagger)^T, \quad \mathrm{Tr}_{H^*}[||X\rangle\rangle\langle\langle X||] = X^\dagger X.$$

- Let $\Pi \in \mathcal{C}(\rho, \sigma) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(H \otimes H^*)$, and use spectral theorem:

$$\Pi = \sum_i p_i ||A_i\rangle\rangle\langle\langle A_i||$$

with $A_i \in L(H)$ orthonormal basis, $\sum_i p_i = 1$.

- For simplicity assume that $\rho > 0$ is invertible. Define

$$\Phi(X) = \sum_i \sqrt{p_i} A_i \rho^{-\frac{1}{2}} X \rho^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_i^\dagger \sqrt{p_i} = \sum_i B_i X B_i^\dagger$$

which a Kraus representation with $B_i = \sqrt{p_i} A_i \rho^{-1/2}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i B_i^\dagger B_i &= \sum_i p_i \rho^{-1/2} A_i^\dagger A_i \rho^{-1/2} = \rho^{-1/2} \left(\sum_i p_i A_i^\dagger A_i \right) \rho^{-1/2} \\ &= \rho^{-1/2} \rho \rho^{-1/2} = \mathbb{I}_H. \end{aligned}$$

- Then, $\Phi(\rho) = \sum_i p_i A_i A_i^\dagger = (\sigma^T)^T = \sigma$ using the exercise below.

Exercise: Show that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_H[||X\rangle\rangle\langle\langle X||] = (XX^\dagger)^T, \quad \mathrm{Tr}_{H^*}[||X\rangle\rangle\langle\langle X||] = X^\dagger X.$$

- Let $\Pi \in \mathcal{C}(\rho, \sigma) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(H \otimes H^*)$, and use spectral theorem:

$$\Pi = \sum_i p_i ||A_i\rangle\rangle\langle\langle A_i||$$

with $A_i \in L(H)$ orthonormal basis, $\sum_i p_i = 1$.

- For simplicity assume that $\rho > 0$ is invertible. Define

$$\Phi(X) = \sum_i \sqrt{p_i} A_i \rho^{-\frac{1}{2}} X \rho^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_i^\dagger \sqrt{p_i} = \sum_i B_i X B_i^\dagger$$

which a Kraus representation with $B_i = \sqrt{p_i} A_i \rho^{-1/2}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i B_i^\dagger B_i &= \sum_i p_i \rho^{-1/2} A_i^\dagger A_i \rho^{-1/2} = \rho^{-1/2} \left(\sum_i p_i A_i^\dagger A_i \right) \rho^{-1/2} \\ &= \rho^{-1/2} \rho \rho^{-1/2} = \mathbb{I}_H. \end{aligned}$$

- Then, $\Phi(\rho) = \sum_i p_i A_i A_i^\dagger = (\sigma^T)^T = \sigma$ using the exercise below.

Exercise: Show that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_H[||X\rangle\rangle\langle\langle X||] = (XX^\dagger)^T, \quad \mathrm{Tr}_{H^*}[||X\rangle\rangle\langle\langle X||] = X^\dagger X.$$

Plan

- 1 Transport plans versus couplings
- 2 Quantum OT with quantum channels
 - Quantum transport cost
 - Distance between a state and itself
 - Modified triangle inequality
- 3 The Gaussian case
- 4 Bibliography

Quantum transport cost

We now follow closely the GMPC, but with our notion of couplings: given a cost observable C , we minimize $\text{Tr}[C\Pi]$

- Fix R_1, \dots, R_N , self-adjoint operators on H . Define the quadratic cost operator:

$$C = \sum_{i=1}^N (R_i \otimes \mathbb{I}_{H^*} - \mathbb{I}_H \otimes R_i^T)^2$$

- Given states $\rho, \sigma \in \mathcal{S}(H)$ and $\Pi \in \mathcal{C}(\rho, \sigma)$, the quantum transport cost is

$$C(\Pi) = \text{Tr}[C\Pi] \geq 0.$$

- Minimizing yields the square quantum Wasserstein (pseudo-)distance:

$$D(\rho, \sigma)^2 = \inf_{\Pi \in \mathcal{C}(\rho, \sigma)} C(\Pi).$$

Quantum transport cost

We now follow closely the GMPC, but with our notion of couplings: given a cost observable C , we minimize $\text{Tr}[C\Pi]$

- Fix R_1, \dots, R_N , self-adjoint operators on H . Define the quadratic cost operator:

$$C = \sum_{i=1}^N (R_i \otimes \mathbb{I}_{H^*} - \mathbb{I}_H \otimes R_i^T)^2$$

- Given states $\rho, \sigma \in \mathcal{S}(H)$ and $\Pi \in \mathcal{C}(\rho, \sigma)$, the **quantum transport cost** is

$$C(\Pi) = \text{Tr}[C\Pi] \geq 0.$$

- Minimizing yields the square **quantum Wasserstein (pseudo-)distance**:

$$D(\rho, \sigma)^2 = \inf_{\Pi \in \mathcal{C}(\rho, \sigma)} C(\Pi).$$

Quantum transport cost

We now follow closely the GMPC, but with our notion of couplings: given a cost observable C , we minimize $\text{Tr}[C\Pi]$

- Fix R_1, \dots, R_N , self-adjoint operators on H . Define the quadratic cost operator:

$$C = \sum_{i=1}^N (R_i \otimes \mathbb{I}_{H^*} - \mathbb{I}_H \otimes R_i^T)^2$$

- Given states $\rho, \sigma \in \mathcal{S}(H)$ and $\Pi \in \mathcal{C}(\rho, \sigma)$, the **quantum transport cost** is

$$C(\Pi) = \text{Tr}[C\Pi] \geq 0.$$

- Minimizing yields the square **quantum Wasserstein (pseudo-)distance**:

$$D(\rho, \sigma)^2 = \inf_{\Pi \in \mathcal{C}(\rho, \sigma)} C(\Pi).$$

Example/ Exercise

- By compactness, the inf is in fact a min, i.e., there exists an optimal coupling, which also yields an optimal plan $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}(\rho, \sigma)$.
- If $\Pi = \sigma \otimes \rho^T$, then

$$\begin{aligned} C(\Pi) &= \text{Tr}[\sigma \otimes \rho^T C] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \text{Tr}[\sigma R_i^2] + \text{Tr}[\rho R_i^2] - 2 \text{Tr}[\sigma R_i] \text{Tr}[\rho R_i] \end{aligned}$$

- If either σ (or ρ) is a pure state, then

$$D(\sigma, \rho) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^N \text{Tr}[\sigma R_i^2] + \text{Tr}[\rho R_i^2] - 2 \text{Tr}[\sigma R_i] \text{Tr}[\rho R_i]}.$$

Can we write explicitly $C(\Pi)$ in terms of quantum transport plans Φ ?

- Recall that

$$\Pi = (\Phi \otimes \mathbb{I}_{L^1(H^*)}) ||\sqrt{\rho}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\sqrt{\rho}||.$$

- we have (letting Φ^\dagger the adjoint of the channel)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}[\Pi C] &= \mathrm{Tr}[||\sqrt{\rho}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\sqrt{\rho}|| (\Phi^\dagger \otimes \mathbb{I}_{L(H^*)}) C] \\ &= \langle\langle\sqrt{\rho}|| (\Phi^\dagger \otimes \mathbb{I}_{L^1(H^*)}) C ||\sqrt{\rho}\rangle\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

- With slight abuse, write $R_i = R_i \otimes \mathbb{I}_{H^*}$ and $R_i^T = \mathbb{I}_H \otimes R_i^T$, and notice that they commute so that

$$(R_i - R_i^T)^2 = R_i^2 + (R_i^2)^T - 2R_i \otimes R_i^T.$$

- We get

$$(\Phi^\dagger \otimes \mathbb{I}_{L(H^*)}) (R_i - R_i^T)^2 = \Phi^\dagger(R_i^2) + (R_i^2)^T - 2\Phi^\dagger(R_i) \otimes R_i^T.$$

where Φ^\dagger denotes the adjoint of Φ , $\mathrm{Tr}[A\Phi(B)] = \mathrm{Tr}[\Phi^\dagger(A)B]$.

Can we write explicitly $C(\Pi)$ in terms of quantum transport plans Φ ?

- Recall that

$$\Pi = (\Phi \otimes \mathbb{I}_{L^1(H^*)}) ||\sqrt{\rho}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\sqrt{\rho}||.$$

- we have (letting Φ^\dagger the adjoint of the channel)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}[\Pi C] &= \mathrm{Tr}[||\sqrt{\rho}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\sqrt{\rho}|| (\Phi^\dagger \otimes \mathbb{I}_{L(H^*)}) C] \\ &= \langle\langle\sqrt{\rho}|| (\Phi^\dagger \otimes \mathbb{I}_{L^1(H^*)}) C ||\sqrt{\rho}\rangle\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

- With slight abuse, write $R_i = R_i \otimes \mathbb{I}_{H^*}$ and $R_i^T = \mathbb{I}_H \otimes R_i^T$, and notice that they commute so that

$$(R_i - R_i^T)^2 = R_i^2 + (R_i^T)^2 - 2R_i \otimes R_i^T.$$

- We get

$$(\Phi^\dagger \otimes \mathbb{I}_{L(H^*)}) (R_i - R_i^T)^2 = \Phi^\dagger(R_i^2) + (R_i^T)^2 - 2\Phi^\dagger(R_i) \otimes R_i^T.$$

where Φ^\dagger denotes the adjoint of Φ , $\mathrm{Tr}[A\Phi(B)] = \mathrm{Tr}[\Phi^\dagger(A)B]$.

We want to to rewrite

$$\langle\langle\sqrt{\rho}||\Phi^\dagger(R_i^2) + (R_i^2)^T - 2\Phi^\dagger(R_i) \otimes R_i^T||\sqrt{\rho}\rangle\rangle.$$

Recall that $A \otimes B^T||X\rangle\rangle = ||AXB\rangle\rangle$, so

1 For the first term:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\langle\sqrt{\rho}||\Phi^\dagger(R_i^2)||\sqrt{\rho}\rangle\rangle &= \langle\langle\sqrt{\rho}||\Phi^\dagger(R_i^2)\sqrt{\rho}\rangle\rangle \\ &= \text{Tr}[\sqrt{\rho}\Phi^\dagger(R_i^2)\sqrt{\rho}] = \text{Tr}[\rho\Phi^\dagger(R_i^2)] \\ &= \text{Tr}[\Phi(\rho)R_i^2] = \text{Tr}[\sigma R_i^2].\end{aligned}$$

2 Similarly, for the second term:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\langle\sqrt{\rho}||(R_i^2)^T||\sqrt{\rho}\rangle\rangle &= \langle\langle\sqrt{\rho}||\sqrt{\rho}R_i^2\rangle\rangle \\ &= \text{Tr}[\sqrt{\rho}\sqrt{\rho}R_i^2] = \text{Tr}[\rho R_i^2].\end{aligned}$$

We want to to rewrite

$$\langle\langle\sqrt{\rho}||\Phi^\dagger(R_i^2) + (R_i^2)^T - 2\Phi^\dagger(R_i) \otimes R_i^T||\sqrt{\rho}\rangle\rangle.$$

Recall that $A \otimes B^T||X\rangle\rangle = ||AXB\rangle\rangle$, so

❶ For the first term:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\langle\sqrt{\rho}||\Phi^\dagger(R_i^2)||\sqrt{\rho}\rangle\rangle &= \langle\langle\sqrt{\rho}||\Phi^\dagger(R_i^2)\sqrt{\rho}\rangle\rangle \\ &= \text{Tr}[\sqrt{\rho}\Phi^\dagger(R_i^2)\sqrt{\rho}] = \text{Tr}[\rho\Phi^\dagger(R_i^2)] \\ &= \text{Tr}[\Phi(\rho)R_i^2] = \text{Tr}[\sigma R_i^2].\end{aligned}$$

❷ Similarly, for the second term:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\langle\sqrt{\rho}||(R_i^2)^T||\sqrt{\rho}\rangle\rangle &= \langle\langle\sqrt{\rho}||\sqrt{\rho}R_i^2\rangle\rangle \\ &= \text{Tr}[\sqrt{\rho}\sqrt{\rho}R_i^2] = \text{Tr}[\rho R_i^2].\end{aligned}$$

For the third term:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \langle \sqrt{\rho} | \Phi^\dagger(R_i) \otimes R_i^T | \sqrt{\rho} \rangle \rangle &= \langle \langle \sqrt{\rho} | \Phi^\dagger(R_i) \sqrt{\rho} R_i \rangle \rangle \\
 &= \text{Tr}[\sqrt{\rho} \Phi^\dagger(R_i) \sqrt{\rho} R_i] = \text{Tr}[R_i \sqrt{\rho} \Phi^\dagger(R_i) \sqrt{\rho}] \\
 &= \langle \langle \sqrt{\rho} R_i | \Phi^\dagger(R_i) \sqrt{\rho} \rangle \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

Summing upon $i = 1, \dots, n$, we obtain the equivalent expressions

$$\begin{aligned}
 C(\Pi) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \text{Tr}[\sigma R_i^2] + \text{Tr}[\rho R_i^2] - 2 \text{Tr}[R_i \sqrt{\rho} \Phi^\dagger(R_i) \sqrt{\rho}] \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^N \langle \langle \sqrt{\sigma} R_i | \sqrt{\sigma} R_i \rangle \rangle + \langle \langle \sqrt{\rho} R_i | \sqrt{\rho} R_i \rangle \rangle - 2 \langle \langle \sqrt{\rho} R_i | \Phi^\dagger(R_i) \sqrt{\rho} \rangle \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

For the third term:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \langle \sqrt{\rho} | \Phi^\dagger(R_i) \otimes R_i^T | \sqrt{\rho} \rangle \rangle &= \langle \langle \sqrt{\rho} | \Phi^\dagger(R_i) \sqrt{\rho} R_i \rangle \rangle \\
 &= \text{Tr}[\sqrt{\rho} \Phi^\dagger(R_i) \sqrt{\rho} R_i] = \text{Tr}[R_i \sqrt{\rho} \Phi^\dagger(R_i) \sqrt{\rho}] \\
 &= \langle \langle \sqrt{\rho} R_i | \Phi^\dagger(R_i) \sqrt{\rho} \rangle \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

Summing upon $i = 1, \dots, n$, we obtain the equivalent expressions

$$\begin{aligned}
 C(\Pi) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \text{Tr}[\sigma R_i^2] + \text{Tr}[\rho R_i^2] - 2 \text{Tr}[R_i \sqrt{\rho} \Phi^\dagger(R_i) \sqrt{\rho}] \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^N \langle \langle \sqrt{\sigma} R_i | \sqrt{\sigma} R_i \rangle \rangle + \langle \langle \sqrt{\rho} R_i | \sqrt{\rho} R_i \rangle \rangle - 2 \langle \langle \sqrt{\rho} R_i | \Phi^\dagger(R_i) \sqrt{\rho} \rangle \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

Distance between a state and itself

- If $\sigma = \rho$ and $\Phi = \mathbb{I}_{L^1(H)}$, then $\Pi = ||\sqrt{\rho}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\sqrt{\rho}||$ and

$$C(\Pi) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^N \text{Tr}[\rho R_i^2] - \text{Tr}[\sqrt{\rho} R_i \sqrt{\rho} R_i].$$

- One has the general inequality

$$D^2(\rho, \sigma) \geq \frac{1}{2} C(||\sqrt{\rho}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\sqrt{\rho}||) + \frac{1}{2} C(||\sqrt{\sigma}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\sqrt{\sigma}||).$$

- For $\sigma = \rho$ it yields that the identity channel is an optimal plan

$$D^2(\rho, \rho) = C(||\sqrt{\rho}\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\sqrt{\rho}||).$$

- We can also connect D^2 with the **Wigner-Yanase** square norm

$$D^2(\rho, \rho) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^N g_{\rho}(\mathbf{i}[\mathbf{R}_i, \rho], \mathbf{i}[\mathbf{R}_i, \rho]).$$

We define the **Quantum W_2 divergence** as

$$W_2(\sigma, \rho) = \sqrt{D^2(\sigma, \rho) - \frac{1}{2}(D^2(\sigma, \sigma) + D^2(\rho, \rho))}. \quad (1)$$

We **conjecture** that W_2 is an actual distance under minimal assumptions.

Proposition (De Palma, Viosztek, Titkos, T.)

If $\dim(H) < \infty$ and

- the observables $\{R_i\}_{i=1}^d$ generate algebraically all operators,
- ρ and σ are invertible,

then

$$W_2(\rho, \sigma) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \rho = \sigma$$

and the identity channel is the only optimizer.

quantum Wasserstein isometries

- In Gehér et al., state transformations (called *QW*-isometries)

$$J : \mathcal{S}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(H) \quad D^2(J(\sigma), J(\rho)) = D^2(\sigma, \rho)$$

for a given quantum system H and a set $\{R_i\}_{i=1}^d$ are introduced.

- For $H = \mathbb{C}^2$ and $\{X, Z\}$, the situation is already **non-trivial**:
 - Isometries of the Bloch ball that fix the X, Z plane (rotations or symmetries) induce (trivial) *QW*-isometries
 - There are *QW*-isometries that are neither injective nor surjective (on pure states)
 - Inside the ball (i.e., for non pure states) there is **rigidity**: up to conjugation with trivial isometries, J is either the identity or

$$\rho = \rho(b_x, b_y, b_z) \quad \mapsto \quad J(\rho) = \rho(b_x, -b_y, b_z).$$

A modified triangle inequality

- Given $\rho, \sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{S}(H)$, we have the following **modified** triangle inequality:

$$D(\rho, \sigma) \leq D(\rho, \tau) + D(\tau, \tau) + D(\tau, \sigma).$$

- Interpretation:** we pay some price to stay in τ and “prepare” to move from τ to σ .
- We do not know whether the term $D(\tau, \tau)$ can in general be removed.
- Bunth et al. showed that triangle inequality hold W_2 if (at least) one state is pure.
- A similar inequality is not known for the GMPC case.

Plan

- 1 Transport plans versus couplings
- 2 Quantum OT with quantum channels
- 3 The Gaussian case**
- 4 Bibliography

Bosonic (Gaussian) systems

- Bosonic quantum particles (e.g. photons) with position Q and momentum P obey the **canonical commutation relations**

$$(CCR) \quad [Q, P] = i\mathbb{I}_H.$$

- They provide a **non-commutative** analogue of \mathbb{R}^2 :

$$|\psi(x)\rangle \in H = L^2(\mathbb{R}) : \quad Q|\psi\rangle = |x\psi(x)\rangle, \quad P|\psi\rangle = -i\left|\frac{d}{dx}\psi(x)\right\rangle$$

(with natural domains).

- Ladder (annihilation/creation) operators

$$a = \frac{Q + iP}{\sqrt{2}} \quad a^\dagger = \frac{Q - iP}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

- Number operator: $N = a^\dagger a$.

Exercise Write a , a^\dagger and N as differential operators (on smooth functions)

- **Vacuum** state: $|0\rangle$ such that $N|0\rangle = 0$. **Fock** states:

$$|n\rangle = \frac{(a^\dagger)^n}{\sqrt{n!}}|0\rangle.$$

- **Coherent** states, for $\alpha = q + ip \in \mathbb{C}$ are defined as

$$|\alpha\rangle = e^{-|\alpha|^2/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle.$$

they are **not** an orthogonal systems – but are an **overcomplete basis**:

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha| d\alpha = \mathbb{I}_H.$$

- **Gaussian states** are $\rho = \exp(\text{pol}(a, a^\dagger)) \in \mathcal{S}(H)$ with $\text{pol}(\cdot, \cdot)$ second degree polynomial (not generic however!).

Exercise: Compute explicitly the first 3 Fock states $|0\rangle, |1\rangle, |2\rangle \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Show that they are orthonormal.

- **Vacuum** state: $|0\rangle$ such that $N|0\rangle = 0$. **Fock** states:

$$|n\rangle = \frac{(a^\dagger)^n}{\sqrt{n!}}|0\rangle.$$

- **Coherent** states, for $\alpha = q + ip \in \mathbb{C}$ are defined as

$$|\alpha\rangle = e^{-|\alpha|^2/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle.$$

they are **not** an orthogonal systems – but are an **overcomplete basis**:

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha| d\alpha = \mathbb{I}_H.$$

- **Gaussian states** are $\rho = \exp(\text{pol}(a, a^\dagger)) \in \mathcal{S}(H)$ with $\text{pol}(\cdot, \cdot)$ second degree polynomial (not generic however!).

Exercise: Compute explicitly the first 3 Fock states $|0\rangle, |1\rangle, |2\rangle \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Show that they are orthonormal.

- The setting extends to m modes, with self-adjoint (unbounded) operators $Q_1, \dots, Q_m, P_1, \dots, P_m$ on $H = L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$ satisfying

$$[Q_i, P_j] = \mathbf{i} \delta_{ij} \mathbb{I}_H, \quad [Q_i, Q_j] = [P_i, P_j] = 0, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, m.$$

- Define the quadratures $\{R_1, \dots, R_{2m}\} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_m, P_1, \dots, P_m\}$.
- A Gaussian state is an exponential of a quadratic polynomial in the R_i 's:

$$\rho = \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} (R_i - r_i \mathbb{I}_H) h_{ij} (R_j - r_j \mathbb{I}_H) + c \right),$$

- We consider the quadratic cost operator, and the associated quantum Wasserstein distance

$$C = \sum_{i=1}^{2m} (R_i \otimes \mathbb{I}_{H^*} - \mathbb{I}_H \otimes R_i^T)^2, \quad D(\rho, \sigma)^2 = \inf_{\Pi \in \mathcal{C}(\rho, \sigma)} C(\Pi).$$

(careful with domains! compare with classical **non compact** case).

OT between Gaussian states

Theorem: let $\rho, \sigma \in \mathcal{S}(H)$ be Gaussian states. Then

$$D(\rho, \sigma)^2 = \text{Tr}[C\Pi_{opt}]$$

for some

- **Gaussian** coupling $\Pi_{opt} \in \mathcal{S}(H \otimes H^*)$
- corresponding to a plan Φ_{opt} that is a **Gaussian quantum channel** (i.e., maps Gaussian states into Gaussian states).

Remarks:

- 1 Gaussian states are a finite dimensional sub-manifold \rightarrow possible numerical schemes.
- 2 In the case of “isotropic” Gaussians (**thermal states**) $\omega(\nu)$ with covariance matrix νI_{2m} we have an explicit formula.
- 3 Ask Fanch Coudreuse for more on this!

OT between Gaussian states

Theorem: let $\rho, \sigma \in \mathcal{S}(H)$ be Gaussian states. Then

$$D(\rho, \sigma)^2 = \text{Tr}[C\Pi_{opt}]$$

for some

- **Gaussian** coupling $\Pi_{opt} \in \mathcal{S}(H \otimes H^*)$
- corresponding to a plan Φ_{opt} that is a **Gaussian quantum channel** (i.e., maps Gaussian states into Gaussian states).

Remarks:

- 1 Gaussian states are a finite dimensional sub-manifold \rightarrow possible numerical schemes.
- 2 In the case of “isotropic” Gaussians (**thermal states**) $\omega(\nu)$ with covariance matrix νI_{2m} we have an explicit formula.
- 3 Ask Fanch Coudreuse for more on this!

Plan

- 1 Transport plans versus couplings
- 2 Quantum OT with quantum channels
- 3 The Gaussian case
- 4 Bibliography**



De Palma, G., and Trevisan, D.

Quantum optimal transport with quantum channels

Annales Henri Poincaré, 2021.



Bunth, Gergely, et al.

Metric property of quantum Wasserstein divergences

Physical Review A 110.2 (2024): 022211.



Maas, Jan, et al., eds.

Optimal transport on quantum structures

Springer Nature Switzerland, 2024.