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Motivations...

Generic situation: a turbulent convective fluid layer stands above or below a stably stratified one, with a sharp but deformable interface.

  e.g. atmospheres, stars, planetary cores...
Atmospheres...

Turbulent plume  Storm
Atmospheres...

Temperature fluctuations from a 2D model of a storm (Alexander & Barnet 2007)

- Stratosphere
- Stably stratified
- Troposphere
- Turbulent plume

(Credits: NOAA)
Atmospheres...

\[ T^\circ \text{ fluctuations from a 2D model of a storm} \]

(Alexander & Barnet 2007)

- How are waves excited?
- How and what do they propagate?
- What are their consequences?
Atmospheres...
Gravity wave generation by convection
(e.g. Ansong & Sutherland 2010)

- mechanical oscillator effect
- deep forcing
- convective updraft
- Turbulent fluctuations U, T, P
Atmospheres...

- Gravity waves affect the global energy budget
  - have to be included in general circulation models for accurate predictions of global weather patterns
  - coarse grids with long time steps => parameterization

- Gravity waves carry momentum:
  - Breaking and mixing
  - Non-linear interactions generate zonal flows
    - e.g. quasi-biennial oscillation (e.g. Plumb 1977)

![Image of atmospheric data](image)

monthly-mean zonal-mean equatorial zonal wind in m/s between about 20 and 35 km
Atmospheres...

- Gravity waves affect the global energy budget
  - have to be included in general circulation models for accurate predictions of global weather patterns
  - coarse grids with long time steps => parameterization

- Gravity waves carry momentum:
  - Breaking and mixing
  - Non-linear interactions generate zonal flows
    e.g. quasi-biennial oscillation (e.g. Plumb 1977)

anti-diffusive effect, accentuating angular velocity gradients...
Stars...

Same questions in stellar interiors...
Stars...

Same questions in stellar interiors...

Stably stratified

Turbulent plumes

(Credits: Kelvinsong)
Stars...

Same questions in stellar interiors...

3D numerical simulations of the Sun by Alvan et al. (2012): time snapshot of the radial velocity.
Stars...

Gravity waves excited
- at the interface by overshooting plumes
- within the convective layer by Reynolds stress and entropy fluctuations

convective updraft

Turbulent fluctuations $U, T, P$
Stars...

Gravity waves = important diagnostic tool of stellar structure in asteroseismology

Oscillations at the surface
⇔
Changes in the propagation
⇔
Internal structure
Stars...

Gravity waves = important diagnostic tool of stellar structure in asteroseismology

Gravity waves transport energy and momentum:
- mixing, enhancing diffusion (e.g. observed lower Li abundance in F-stars, Charbonnel & Talon 2005)
- increased light flux and mass loss at the surface of massive stars (Quataert & Shiode 2012)
- selective damping because of symmetry breaking by rotation
  => angular momentum deposit and modification of rotation profile.
Stars...

Axisymmetric numerical simulations by Rogers et al. (2012)

Time snapshots of vorticity at different times
White = positive vorticity, black = negative vorticity

IGWs generally have an anti-diffusive effect, accentuating angular velocity gradients...
Stars...

Axisymmetric numerical simulations by Rogers et al. (2012)

Time snapshots of vorticity at different times
White = positive vorticity, black = negative vorticity

“Internal” mechanism for explaining the observed misalignment between extrasolar planets and their hot host stars
Earth’s core...

Standard model:
- convective motions in the outer core
  => mixing => adiabatic $T^0$ and well-mixed composition.
- growth of (nearly) pure iron inner core by crystallization
- energy budget = age of the inner core.
Earth’s core...

But

- ill-constrained composition of the core
  - \( \Rightarrow \) Density jump and temperature at ICB?
  - \( \Rightarrow \) Radiogenic heating?
- CMB heat flux over time? Present \( T^0 \) (3800-4200K)?
- Extremely tight energy budget to drive convection:
  - density difference \( \delta \rho/\rho \approx 10^{-9} \)
  - \( \delta T_{\text{lateral}} \approx 10^{-4} \) at CMB (Hirose et al 2013)
- Physical constants? (e.g. thermal conductivity)

possibility of stably stratified regions

- at the bottom and/or top of the outer core
- at intermediate depth...
Earth's core...

Figure 8
(a) Profiles of thermal conductivity ($k$) and heat flux along the isentrope ($q_s$) in the core according to Gomi et al. (2011). (b) Convective heat flux ($Q_{\text{conv}}$, gray) is computed using an energy balance between the inner core boundary and each value of radius, for a core-mantle boundary (CMB) heat flow of $Q_{\text{CMB}} = 10$ TW. The balance writes as $Q_{\text{conv}} + Q_{\text{isentrope}} = E_{\text{comp}} + Q_{\text{latent}} + Q_{\text{cooling}}$, where $E_{\text{comp}}$ is the compositional energy due to light element transport in the chemical potential gradient, $Q_{\text{latent}}$ is the latent heat of inner core freezing, and $Q_{\text{cooling}}$ is the secular cooling of the shell. The region where the convective heat flow is negative (i.e., downward) tends to become stratified. The extent of this region is represented as a function of $Q_{\text{CMB}}$ in panel c.

Hirose et al. 2013

Consequences on the geomagnetism?
Open questions...

- Turbulent convective patterns
- Gravity waves

- Character of the wave field?
- Amount of energy/momentum carried away?
- Possible retro-action on the turbulence?

- Global integrated model & non-linear couplings
- Turbulence, stratification and waves
- Length and time scales spanning many orders of magnitude

- Numerics = very challenging
- Experimental study and analytical model
Basics of gravity waves...

Fluid linearly stratified with density profile $\rho = \rho_0 (1 - N^2 / g z)$, $N$ being the buoyancy frequency.

- **Background stratification**
- **Oscillations at frequency $N$**
- **Diffusive damping**
Basics of gravity waves...

Generalisation: looking for plane wave solutions of the linearised Navier-Stokes equations

solutions = gravity waves
\[(u, \rho') = (u_0, \rho_0') e^{i(k \cdot r - \omega t)}\]

dispersion relation
\[\omega^2 = N^2 \sin^2 (\gamma)\]

Group velocity perpendicular to wavevector
\[k \cdot \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial k} = 0\]
Emission from a localized source

\[ \omega^2 = N^2 \sin^2 (\gamma) \]
Basic results from ray theory

\[ \omega^2 = N^2 \sin^2(\gamma) \]

- \(0 < \omega < N\): propagation in the determined direction with the corresponding amplitude
- Other frequencies = evanescent
Basic results from ray theory

\[ \omega^2 = N^2 \sin^2(\gamma) \]

- \(0 < \omega < N\): propagation in the determined direction with the corresponding amplitude
- Other frequencies = evanescent
Basic results from ray theory

Including diffusive effects...

Diffusive dispersion relation:

\[ (i\omega + \nu k^2)^2 + N^2 \left( \frac{i\omega + \nu k^2}{i\omega + \kappa k^2} \right) k_x^2 = 0 \]

Knowing the source signal (i.e. \( \omega \) and \( k_x \) at \( z=0 \)), we solve for \( k_z \)

\[ u = u_0 e^{i(k_x - \omega t)} e^{-\text{Att}(\nu,\kappa,\gamma)z} \]
Basic results from ray theory

Wave amplitude at a given depth $z$ as a function of $\omega$ starting from a uniform excitation

Max = less attenuated

$\omega = \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} N \Leftrightarrow \gamma \approx 63^\circ$
Basic results from ray theory

Extended source = superimposition of all contributions from local sources...

No frequency selection as a function of the location...
Basic results from ray theory

Extended source = superimposition of all contributions from local sources...

No frequency selection as a function of the location...

But still attenuation with depth and selective damping

Validation in a simple, “self-organized”, experimental system?
Convection in water around 4°C...

Water = maximum density at 4°C  
(Townsend 1964)
Convection in water around 4°C...

Dimensions: 20 x 4 x 35 cm³
Convection in water around 4°C...

- Local T° measurements = high precision thermistors
- PIV measurements in the convective and stratified zones

$Ra \sim 2 \times 10^7 - 2 \times 10^8$
Convection in water around 4°C...

Stratified zone
= heat transfer by conduction

Interface (location function of imposed $T^o$ and heat losses)

Convective zone
= heat transfer by convection
$\text{Mean } T^o \sim 3.4^\circ C$
Convection in water around 4°C...

Stratified zone

Convective zone
Convection in water around 4°C...

- Local excitation by random cold plumes
  - Gravity waves propagation
- Most energy in low frequency waves \( \Rightarrow \) convective excitation
- No wave for \( f>N \)
- Less damping for high frequency waves
Convection in water around 4°C...

- Local excitation by random cold plumes
  - Gravity waves propagation
- Most energy in low frequency waves $\iff$ convective excitation
- No wave for $f > N$
- Less damping for high frequency waves
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Linear diffusive theory with $k_x \sim f^{0.6}$

Renormalized power spectral density
Excitation mechanism?

Convective radiative

Convective updraft

Turbulent fluctuations $U, T, P$
Excitation mechanism?
Numerical simulation...

Dedalus

D. Lecoanet (Berkeley), K. Burns (MIT), J. Oishi (AMNH/SUNY Farmingdale), B. Brown (Colorado), G. Vasil (Sydney)

Pseudo-spectral
Open-source
Python
Very flexible equations
Excitation mechanism?
Numerical simulation...
Excitation mechanism?
Simulation of the simulation: use full simulation data as inputs for simplified model simulations

Deep Forcing (Lighthill)

Split full solution into linear convective and linear wave modes:

\[ u = u_c + \partial_t \xi \]

Project onto linear wave mode:

\[ \nabla^2 \partial_t^2 \xi_z - N^2(z) \nabla^2_{\perp} \xi_z = S \]

from water simulation

Turbulent fluctuations: U, T, P
Excitation mechanism?
Simulation of the simulation: use full simulation data as inputs for simplified model simulations

Interface fluctuations

No source term, but force boundaries

\[ \nabla^2 \partial_t^2 \xi_z - N^2(z) \nabla^2_{\perp} \xi_z = 0 \]

Boundary condition:

\[ \xi_z(x, z_{\text{int}}) = z_{\text{int}}(x) - \bar{z}_{\text{int}} \]
Interface forcing = over excite high frequency waves because assume the excitation to be « impulsive » penetration of plumes, but real excitation = « sweeping » motion of plumes parallel to the interface
Conclusions

- A stratified region above/below a turbulent one is not motionless, but carries part of the energy

- Required = statistics of the convective source
- then wave amplitude and frequency selection depend on diffusive processes

- Main excitation mechanism = Reynolds stress... Can use this to analytically estimate result for more turbulent cases (e.g., stars, see Lecoanet & Quataert 2013)

- Coming studies:
  - generation of a mean flow? Generalization of the QBO...
  - effect of global rotation...
Conclusions

- Generalization to other turbulence sources...
  e.g. boundary turbulence in librating planets

⇒ frequency selection in inertial waves
(Sauret et al. 2013)