## IPAM MGA Tutorial: Multiscale Problems in Geophysics

#### Naoki Saito

saito@math.ucdavis.edu

http://www.math.ucdavis.edu/~saito/

**Department of Mathematics** 

University of California, Davis

#### Acknowledgment

- Nick Bennett (Schlumberger)
- Bob Burridge (MIT)
- Charlie Flaum (Schlumberger)
- T. S. Ramakrishnan (Schlumberger)
- Anthony Vassiliou (GeoEnergy)

#### Outline

- Multiscale Nature of Geophysical Measurements
- Importance of Geometry, Textures, and Clustering: High Relevance to MGA2004
- Large Scale: Seismic Signal/Noise Separation
- Small Scale: Fracture and Vug Detection from Electrical Conductivity Images
- High-Dimensional Clustering Problem in Exploration Geophysics
- Summary



#### **Measurements**

- Large Scale: Surface Seismic Survey:
  - used for structural mapping of the entire reservoir volume
  - wide spatial coverage:  $\approx$  10km x 10km x 3km
  - spatial sampling: 25m x 25m
  - can resolve features > 30-40ft (9-12m)
  - vertical axis: time

#### **Surface Seismic Survey**

種々の構造と地鍵断面

GEOPHYSICAL EXPLORATION FOR QUE THE SEISM'C REFLECTION METHOD





- receivers are in a borehole/well
- can record not only reflected waves but also direct waves
- can record reflected waves with less attenuation
- used for linking surface seismic information and well log information (e.g., sonic logs)
- depth sampling: 20-50m (wish: < 10m)</p>

#### **Borehole Seismics**



courtesy: Schlumberger

#### Borehole Seismics ...



courtesy: Schlumberger

Multiscale Nature of Geophysical

Measurements ...

Small Scale: Wireline measurements, cores:

- used for detailed geological/geophysical information in the vicinity of wells
- **–** spatial coverage:  $\approx$  1m x 1m x 3km
- **c**an resolve features  $\approx$  0.5-3ft (0.15-1m) or less
- vertical axis: depth
- cores provide absolute truth, but expensive
- can characterize not only elastic but also electrical and nuclear properties of subsurface formations

# Electrical Conductivity Image ( $\approx$ 2.5ft x 2.3ft)



courtesy: Schlumberger

#### **Electrical Conductivity Imaging Tool**



(a) Tool



courtesy: Schlumberger

**Multiscale Nature of Geophysical** 

Measurements ...

- Large Scale Geometry
- Medium/Small Scale Geometry
- **Textures** (Stratigraphy, Lithology, Facies)
- Reconciliation of multiscale info for better models
- Highly Relevant to MGA 2004
- This tutorial: introduction of problems + some attempts with older techniques
- My hope: provoke the audience so that some of you may be interested in applying new techniques discussed in MGA 2004 to these problems!

#### **Extraction of Large Scale Geometric Objects**

Harlan, Claerbout, & Rocca (1984)

- Motivation: Separate geologic events (layer boundaries) from other events and noise. Other events could be interference patterns, scattering from faults in the form of hyperbolas, etc.
- Measurement: Seismic signals (large scale)
- Beginning of "multilayered transforms," "coherent structure extraction," and ICA
- Method: iteration of "focusing" transforms + soft thresholding

#### Harlan, Claerbout, & Rocca (1984) ...

- Define "focusing" as an invertible linear transformation making the data more statistically independent (or less statistically dependent)
- A transform focusing signal (pattern) must also defocus noise
- Focused signal becomes more non-Gaussian; defocused noise becomes more Gaussian
- In the transformed domain, apply filtering or soft-thresholding operations to extract signal or separate signal from noise

#### Harlan, Claerbout, & Rocca (1984) ...

- A stacked seismic section =  $\sum$  of
  - **Geologic** component  $\approx$  linear events
  - **Diffraction** component  $\approx$  hyperbolic events
  - **Noise** component  $\approx$  white Gaussian noise  $+ \alpha$ .

#### **Radon Transform**

The 2D-line version for f(x, y) can be stated as follows:

$$R_f(\tau, p) = \iint f(x, t) \,\delta(t - \tau - px) \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}t.$$

- Has a huge list of applications (X-ray tomography, geophysics, wave propagation, ...)
- Can be generalized: integration of an n-dimensional function over m-dimensional geometric objects
- Inversion formulas exist as Beylkin showed yesterday
- Lines in an image are transformed to sharp peaks in  $(\tau, p)$ -domain

#### **Example 1**



#### Example 1 ...



#### **Example 2: Original**



#### **Example 2: Reconstruction**



#### **Example 2: Residual**



#### Challenges

- Fast Radon and Generalized Radon Transforms Beylkin's Fast Radon Transforms and USFFT
- Apply curvelets/ridgelets  $\implies$  F. Herrmann
- 3D is a big issue

# Fracture Plane Detection from Borehole Images

- Objective: detect and characterize fracture planes striking a borehole
- Measurement: borehole images (either electric or acoustic), medium~small scale
- Method: Hough transform
- Fracture plane can be parameterized by

$$ax + by + z = d.$$

The name of the game is to estimate the parameters (a, b, d) from available borehole images.

#### **Fracture Plane Cutting a Borehole**



# A Synthetic Borehole Image (Unfolded)



## **Detected Edges**



# An Edge Element vs Fracture Geometry

An edge location  $(x_0, y_0, z_0)$  and an edge orientation  $\eta_0$  constrain the fracture plane:

$$ax_0 + by_0 + z_0 = d$$
$$ay_0 - bx_0 = R \tan \eta_0$$

These two equations specify a straight line in the (a, b, d)-space:

$$a = a(d) = \frac{d - z_0}{R^2} x_0 + \frac{y_0 \tan \eta_0}{R}$$
$$b = b(d) = \frac{d - z_0}{R^2} y_0 - \frac{x_0 \tan \eta_0}{R}.$$

#### Hough Transform

is a popular technique to detect certain geometric patterns from the edges in images. Informally, it is related to Radon transform. For line detection in 2D:

$$H(a,b) = \iint \Theta(|\nabla_{\epsilon} f(x,y)|) \,\delta(b + ax - y) \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}y,$$

where  $\Theta$  is a thresholding operation to make a binary image,  $\nabla_{\epsilon}$  is a regularized derivative with a characteristic scale  $\epsilon$ . But the HT more heavily utilizes the duality between the feature space and the parameter space.

#### Hough Transform ....

- Hough (1962) patented the original method for binary images
- Ballard (1981) generalized to arbitrary shape with gradient info
- Illingworth & Kittler (1988) surveyed and listed 136 papers
- Leavers (1993) surveyed and listed 173 papers

#### Hough Transform ....

- Compute edge positions and orientations (gradient)
- Prepare the parameter space (called accumulator array) whose axes are the parameters specifying shapes (e.g.,lines: gradient and y-intercept, circles: center and radius)
- For each edge, vote for all possible (specific) shapes in the accumulator array
- Accumulate the votes for all (significant) edges
- Local maxima in the accumulator array identify concrete shapes

#### Hough Transform ....

- + Very robust, insensitive to broken patterns and noises
- + Can detect multiple or intersecting objects
- + Can be more effective given edge orientation information
- Computationally slow (voting process)
- High storage (memory) requirement
- Discretization of parameter space ↔ template matching in feature space

# Edge Orientation Constrains Possible Planes



#### **Detected Fracture Planes**



#### **Real Data Result**



courtesy: Schlumberger

#### **Detection of Vugs/Elliptic Shapes**

- Objective: detect and characterize vugs (elliptical cavity or void in a rock) => porosity, depositional information
- Measurement: borehole images (electric or acoustic), small scale
- Method: Hough transform for ellipses

- 5 parameters (center coordinate (x, y), lengths of major/minor axes (a, b), and orientation of major axis β) are required to specify each ellipse
- Voting in the 5 dimensional accumulation array is costly
- Found lower dimensional strategy if one needs only certain combinations of parameters such as center positions and areas of ellipses (N. Bennett, R. Burridge, & NS)

Each pair of edges in an image determines a one-parameter family of ellipses (an exercise of projective geometry).

Let  $P_i = (x_i, y_i)$  and  $n_i = (p_i, q_i)$ , i = 1, 2 be the positions and the normal vectors of a pair of edges.



Then, the following represents a conic section C(x, y):  $C(x, y; \lambda) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} L^2(x, y) - \lambda \ell_1(x, y) \ell_2(x, y) = 0,$ where

$$L(x,y) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \left| \begin{array}{ccc} x & y & 1 \\ x_1 & y_1 & 1 \\ x_2 & y_2 & 1 \end{array} \right| = 0$$

is the line  $\overline{P_1P_2}$ ,

$$\ell_i(x,y) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} p_i(x-x_i) + q_i(y-y_i) = 0$$

is the tangent line at  $P_i$ .

If  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ , then  $C(x, y; \lambda)$  represents an ellipse where

$$\lambda_0 = 4(\boldsymbol{n}_1 \cdot \overrightarrow{P_1 P_2})(\boldsymbol{n}_2 \cdot \overrightarrow{P_2 P_1})/(p_1 q_2 - p_2 q_1)^2.$$

- Other cases:  $\lambda < 0$  or  $\lambda > \lambda_0$ : hyperbola;  $\lambda = 0$ : line  $\overline{P_1P_2}$ ;  $\lambda = \lambda_0$ : parabola.
- All the geometric quantities of interest (e.g., all those 5 parameters as well as its area: πab) can be written as a function of λ.



- Position and area of ellipses are of particular interest
- Need to consider only 3D curve (x<sub>0</sub>(λ), y<sub>0</sub>(λ), πa(λ)b(λ)) instead of resolving 5 parameters
- For each pair of all the strong edges (or its random subset), vote for all ellipses lying along this curve
- Pick the local maxima in this 3D voting space (accumulator array)

#### **Five Ellipses**



(a) Original



(b) Edge Mag.



(c) Edge Ori.



(d) Detected Cnt.

## Five Ellipses: Orientation vs Area



#### Challenges

- Fast Hough Transform via multiscale?
- Will beamlets or its generalized version help?
- Other geometric shapes?
- Remember that there are strong needs in many applications to specific geometric shapes from images!

# A Library of Focusing Transformations

- Each focusing transformation focuses a specific geometric object
- Can repeat extraction of different objects sequentially
- A Library of Focusing Transformations
- $\square Data = Objects_1 + Objects_2 + \cdots,$ 
  - e.g., A borehole image = fractures + vugs + residuals.

#### **Reconciliation of Different Scales**

- Small >> Large: Geometric information from smaller scale measurements serves as constraints for models of seismic imaging and inversion
- Large Small: Geometry from surface seismic helps well-to-well correlation (for avoiding "local minima")
- Easier said than done

#### **Reconciliation of Different Scales ...**



courtesy: Schlumberger

# Clustering of Multiscale Geophysical Information

Objective: identify clusters of various attributes of measurements; associate them with the facies (rock types); compare them with cores

Measurements include:

- The standard well logs (porosity, density, ...)
- Statistics (mean, variance, skewness, kurtosis) of borehole images (electric) with sliding windows;
- Wavelet features of borehole images (electric) with sliding windows
- Method: Self-Organizing Map (SOM) algorithm

#### **Wavelet-Based Texture Features**

- Decompose available images into local frequency components via wavelet packets
- Select the best basis that captures majority of energy of images
- Represent images based on the selected basis functions
- Supply the square of the top k (say, 100) coefficients to SOM









# Features ...



- A biologically motivated clustering method proposed by T. Kohonen (~ 1981)
- Viewed as a nonlinear projection of the probability density function p(x) of high dimensional input vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  onto the 2D plane
- Neighboring points in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  are mapped to neighboring points in  $\mathbb{R}^2$
- Also viewed as a vector quantization: approximate any input vector by the closest vector in a set of reference vectors
- The Euclidean distance is often used.

- Consider a 2D array of nodes organized as a hexagonal lattice.
- At a node located at  $r_i$ , an initial reference (random) vector  $m_i(0) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is associated, i = 1, ..., N.
- A sequence of input vectors  $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , t = 0, 1, ... are compared with the reference vectors  $\{m_i(t)\}$ .
- For each *t*, the "best-matching" node  $(r_c, m_c(t))$  with x(t) is found:

$$c = \arg \min_{1 \le i \le N} \| \boldsymbol{x}(t) - \boldsymbol{m}_i(t) \|,$$
  
 $\| \boldsymbol{x}(t) - \boldsymbol{m}_c \| = \min_{1 \le i \le N} \| \boldsymbol{x}(t) - \boldsymbol{m}_i(t) \|.$  Sep. 2004 - p.53

Then, the neighboring nodes are updated by the following rule (learning process):

$$\boldsymbol{m}_i(t+1) = \boldsymbol{m}_i(t) + h_{ci}(t)[\boldsymbol{x}(t) - \boldsymbol{m}_i(t)],$$

where  $h_{ci}(t)$  is called the neighborhood function, a non-negative smoothing kernel over the lattice points.

Normally,  $h_{ci}(t) = h(||\boldsymbol{r}_c - \boldsymbol{r}_i||, t)$  with  $h(\cdot, t) \downarrow 0$  as  $t \uparrow \infty$ ,  $h(r, \cdot) \downarrow 0$  as  $r \uparrow \infty$ .

Typical examples of  $h_{ci}(t)$ :

$$h_{ci}(t) = \alpha(t) \exp\left(-\|\boldsymbol{r}_c - \boldsymbol{r}_i\|^2 / 2\sigma^2(t)\right),$$

or

$$h_{ci}(t) = \alpha(t)\chi_{N_c(t)}(i),$$

where  $\alpha(t)$  is a learning-rate factor  $0 < \alpha(t) < 1$ ,  $\sigma(t)$  is an effective width of the kernel, and  $N_c(t)$  is a neighborhood set of the best node c, all of which are non-increasing functions of t.

Plasticity ...



# Self-Organizing Maps (SOM): An Example



# Facies Classification from Wavelet Features

- Feature vectors where the ground truth of facies were obtained from thin sections were fed to the trained SOM, which resulted in the labels (G, P, M, W).
- Clusters corresponding Grainstone-Packstone (grain supported) and Mudstone-Wackstone (mud supported) were identified.



#### **Facies Class**



courtesy: Bureau of Economic Geology: UT Austin

#### **Remarks on SOM**

- Many parameters to be specified, and the performance critically depends on some of them.
- Precise mathematical analysis of convergence is tough (proof done only for 1D array of nodes).
- + Each iteration is computationally fast.

#### Challenges

- Apply "Diffusion Maps" to the data!
- Issue of normalization of different measurements
- Separation of environmental effects and true geophysical properties of rocks and formations from measurements (the uncertainty principle!)

#### Summary

- Reviewed three geophysical problems
- Detection and description of geometry followed by characterization of texture
- Scale of Measurements: vast range
- Dimensions of Measurements: high
- Classical techniques have been used
- Can significantly improve via new techniques discussed in this IPAM MGA program
- May be able to provide data if interested

#### References

- W. S. Harlan, J. F. Claerbout, and F. Rocca: "Signal/noise separation and velocity estimation," *Geophysics*, vol.49, pp.1869–1880, 1984.
- N. N. Bennett, R. Burridge, and N. Saito, "A method to detect and characterize ellipses using the Hough transform," IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Machine Intell., vol. 21, no. 7, pp.652–657, 1999.
- N. Saito, N. N. Bennett, and R. Burridge, "Methods of Determining Dips and Azimuths of Fractures from Borehole Images," US Patent Number 5,960,371, Grant Date: 9/28/99.
- N. Saito, A. Rabaute, and T. S. Ramakrishnan, "Method for Interpreting Petrophysical Data," UK Patent Number GB2345776, Grant Date: 1/16/01.

#### **References on Radon Transforms**

- G.Beylkin: "Discrete Radon Transform," IEEE Trans. Acoust., Speech, Signal Processing, vol.ASSP-35, no.2, pp.162–172, 1987.
- S.R.Deans: The Radon Transform and Some of Its Applications, Wiley Interscience, 1983.
- I.M.Gel'fand, M.I.Graev, and N.Ya.Vilenkin: Generalized Functions, vol.5, Integral Geometry and Representation Theory, Academic Press, 1966.
- L.A.Santaló: Integral Geometry and Geometric Probability, Addison-Wesley, 1976.
- L.A.Shepp and J.B.Kruskal: "Computerized tomography: The new medical x-ray technology," Amer. Math. Monthly, vol.85, pp.402–439, 1978.

#### **References on Hough Transform**

- J.Illingworth and J.Kittler: "A survey of the Hough transform," Comput. Vision, Graphics, Image Processing, vol.44, pp.87–116, 1988,
- V.F.Leavers: "Which Hough transform?" CVGIP: Image Understanding, vol.58, pp.250–264, 1993, This article cites 173 articles!
- J.Princen, J.Illingworth, J.Kittler: "A formal definition of the Hough transform: Properties and relationships," J. Math. Imaging and Vision, vol.1, pp.153–168, 1992.

#### **References on SOM**

T. Kohonen: *Self-Organizing Maps*, Springer-Verlag, 1995.