# Registration-based approaches in cardiac MR imaging

D. Rueckert, Ph.D.

d.rueckert@imperial.ac.uk http://www.doc.ic.ac.uk/~dr

Visual Information Processing, Dept. of Computing, Imperial College, London, UK

### Acknowledgements

- VIP Group, Department of Computing, IC
  - Dr Lorenzo-Valdes, Dr Chandrashekara, Dr Perperidis, G Sanchez-Ortiz, Dr Rao
- Royal Brompton Hospital, IC
  - Dr Mohiaddin and Prof Firmin
  - Cardiovascular MR Imaging
- Guy's Hospital, King's College & UCL
- Prof Razavi, Prof Hill, Dr Sermesant and K Rhode, Cardiac image-guided interventions







### Image to image registration

- Intra-subject registration
  - Aim: the registration of images of the same subject
    - images from different modalities (multi-modal registration)
    - images from same modality (mono-modal registration)
  - Purpose:
    - to combine anatomical and functional information of different imaging modalities (MR + SPECT/PET)
    - to compensate for patient motion but also cardiac or respiratory motion



### Image to physical space registration

- Relating imaging device coordinates to the physical space of the patient
- Applications:
  - planning of procedures (i.e. RF ablations)
  - navigation during interventions
- · Registration of:
  - extrinsic markers fixed to the patient at scanning and operation time
  - *intrinsic* markers (anatomical landmarks, image features)
  - intra-operative images (ultrasound, X-ray or X-ray fluoroscopy) to pre-operative image

# Image to physical space registration: Example

- XMR = X-Ray + MR in same room
- Common sliding patient table
- Provides path to MRguided intervention



XMR system at Guy's Hospital, London









### Registration based on voxel similarity

• Sums of Squared Differences (SSD)

$$C = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} (I_A(\mathbf{p}_i) - I_B(\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{p}_i)))^2$$

- assumes an identity relationship between image intensities in both images
- optimal measure if the difference between both images is Gaussian noise
- sensitive to outliers































# Computational modelling of anatomy Substantial variability of cardiac anatomy across subjects across cardiac cycle How can we model variability? probabilistic models (probabilistic atlases are widely used for other anatomical structures, in particular in the brain) statistical models (active shape models, active appearance models) Requires registration of images and models into a common coordinate system

## Need for 4D cardiac registration

- The heart is undergoing spatially and temporally a varying degree of motion during the cardiac cycle
- 3D spatial registration of corresponding frames of the image sequences is not sufficient because of
  - differences in the acquisition parameters
  - differences in the length of cardiac cycles
  - differences in the dynamic properties of the hearts



### 4D cardiac registration

• Use a decoupled transformation model:

 $\mathbf{T}_{spatial}(x, y, z) = (x'(x, y, z), y'(x, y, z), z'(x, y, z))$ 

 $\mathbf{T}_{temporal}(t) = t'(t)$ 

- Both components can be modelled with rigid and non-rigid transformations (i.e. splines)
- Similarity measure (mutual information) can be computed over the region of overlap of two 4D image sequences





































# Cardiac motion tracking: Using shortaxis and long-axis MR images

- Challenges: To estimate a 4D motion model we need to register both short-axis (SA) and long-axis (LA) images simultaneously
   Similarity measure:
- $I(A, B) = w_{SA}I_{SA}(A, B) + w_{LA}I_{LA}(A, B)$
- SA and LA images are registered using information in DICOM header, but differences in breath-hold position can lead to inconsistencies
- Interpolation is difficult because imaging planes (SA, LA) are not parallel











































- After lattice is constructed it is "frozen" to the image volume taken at end-diastole, i.e., local cell coordinates of image points within the subdivision volume are computed and cached
- Motion tracking is done by registering the sequence of images taken during systole to the image taken at end-diastole
- Gradient descent optimization procedure using mutual information as image similarity measure
- 80 control points in base lattice (240 degrees of freedom), 3 levels of subdivision























