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## Last week, we discussed the variational MFG

$\partial_{t} \mu+\nabla \cdot(\mu \nabla \phi)=\nu \Delta \mu, \quad \partial_{t} \phi+\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \phi|^{2}+\nu \Delta \phi=f^{\prime}(\mu)$,
$t \in[0, T], x \in D=\mathbb{T}^{d}, \mu(t, x) \geq 0, \phi(t, x)$, respectively prescribed at $t=0$ and $t=T$.
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## CONVEXITY of $f$ was CRUCIAL for both theory and numerics.

With $\nu=0$ and written in terms of $v=\nabla \phi$, these equations read

$$
\partial_{t} \mu+\nabla \cdot(\mu v)=0, \quad \partial_{t} v+(v \cdot \nabla) v=\nabla\left(f^{\prime}(\mu)\right),
$$

and looks like the equations written by Euler in 1755-57 for compressible fluids.

## The Euler equations written in conservation form

$$
\begin{gathered}
\partial_{t} \mu+\nabla \cdot q=0, \quad q=\mu v, \\
\partial_{t} q+\nabla \cdot\left(\frac{q \otimes q}{\mu}\right)=-\nabla(p(\mu)), \quad p^{\prime}(w)=-w f^{\prime \prime}(w)
\end{gathered}
$$
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\begin{gathered}
\partial_{t} \mu+\nabla \cdot q=0, \quad q=\mu v \\
\partial_{t} q+\nabla \cdot\left(\frac{q \otimes q}{\mu}\right)=-\nabla(p(\mu)), \quad p^{\prime}(w)=-w f^{\prime \prime}(w)
\end{gathered}
$$

were introduced by Euler in 1755 for Fluid Mechanics.
(This way, Euler introduced at once the first set of PDEs and the first field theory ever!)
If $f$ is CONCAVE, we get a WELL-POSED INITIAL VALUE PROBLEM, with boundary conditions only at $t=0$ and none at $t=T$, in sharp contrast with MFG.

## OUR GOAL

We want to solve the initial value problem for a large class of equations including Euler's ones by a variational approach based on convexity.

## OUR GOAL

We want to solve the initial value problem for a large class of equations including Euler's ones by a variational approach based on convexity.

This turns out to be doable through a GENERALIZED MFG, involving vector-potentials (and measures taking values in the cone of semi-definite positive matrices).

## The class of "entropic conservation laws"

## The class of "entropic conservation laws"

$\partial_{t} U+\nabla \cdot(F(U))=0, \quad U=U(t, x) \in \mathcal{W} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}, \quad x \in D$
(where F is given so that $\sum_{\beta=1}^{m} \partial_{\beta} \mathcal{E}(W) \partial_{\alpha} F^{i \beta}(W)=\partial_{\alpha} Q^{i}(W), \forall W \in \mathcal{W}$,
for some $(\mathcal{E}, Q): \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1+d}$, with $\mathcal{W}$ open convex and "entropy" $\mathcal{E}$ strictly convex, which implies: $\partial_{t}(\mathcal{E}(U))+\nabla \cdot(Q(U))=0$, for all smooth solutions $U$ )
contains the Euler equations, for which: $\mathcal{E}(\mu, q)=\frac{|q|^{2}}{2 \mu}-f(\mu), \mu>0$, with $f$ concave.


Inviscid Burgers equation : $\partial_{t} u+\partial_{x}\left(u^{2} / 2\right)=0, u=u(t, x), x \in \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}, t \geq 0$. Formation of two shock waves. (Vertical axis: $t \in[0,1 / 4]$, horizontal axis: $x \in \mathbb{T}$.)
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The problem is not trivial since there may be many weak solutions starting from $U_{0}$ which are not entropy-preserving (by "convex integration" à la De Lellis-Székelyhidi).

## The resulting saddle-point problem

## The resulting saddle-point problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\inf _{U} \sup _{A} \int_{0}^{T} & \int_{D} \mathcal{E}(U)-\partial_{t} A \cdot U-\nabla A \cdot F(U) \\
& -\int_{D} A(0, \cdot) \cdot U_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A=A(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is smooth with $A(T, \cdot)=0$. Here $U_{0}$ is the initial condition and $T$ the final time.
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where $A=A(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is smooth with $A(T, \cdot)=0$. Here $U_{0}$ is the initial condition and $T$ the final time.
N.B. The supremum in $A$ exactly encodes that $U$ is a weak solution with initial condition $U_{0}$, all test functions $A$ acting like Lagrange multipliers.
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Notice that $G$ is automatically convex.
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\sup _{\phi} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{D}-G\left(\partial_{t} \phi+\nu \Delta \phi, \nabla \phi\right)-<\mu_{0}, \phi_{0}>
$$

was our variational MFG with $\mu_{0}$ and $\phi_{T}$ prescribed. Now, we rather have

$$
\sup _{A(T, \cdot)=0} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{D}-G\left(\partial_{t} A, \nabla A\right)-\int_{D} A(0, \cdot) \cdot U_{0}
$$

where $\nu=0$ and the vector-potential $A$ substitutes for the scalar potential $\phi$.
Thus our dual maximization problem to solve the initial value problem can be interpreted as a generalized variational 1st order-MFG with vector-valued potential.
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## Main results

Theorem 1: If $U$ is a smooth solution to the Cauchy problem and $T$ is not too large (*), then $U$ can be recovered from the concave maximization problem which admits $A(t, x)=(t-T) \mathcal{E}^{\prime}(U(t, x))$ as solution.

Theorem 2: For the Burgers equation, all entropy solutions can be recovered, for arbitrarily large $T$.
$\left(^{*}\right)$ more precisely if, $\forall t, x, V \in \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{E}^{\prime \prime}(V)-(T-t) F^{\prime \prime}(V) \cdot \nabla\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(U(t, x))\right)>0$.
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Let us compute the generalized MFG in the particular case of the Euler equations of isothermal fluids

$$
\partial_{t} \mu+\nabla \cdot q=0, \quad \partial_{t} q+\nabla \cdot\left(\frac{q \otimes q}{\mu}\right)=-\nabla(p(\mu))
$$

where
$f(w)=w-\log w, \quad p^{\prime}(w)=-w f^{\prime \prime}(w) \longrightarrow p(w)=w$.
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Then, the generalized MFG amounts to minimizing
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Then, the generalized MFG amounts to minimizing
$\int_{[0, T] \times D} \exp (u) \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} Q \cdot M^{-1} \cdot Q\right)+\int_{D} \sigma_{0} \rho_{0}+w_{0} \cdot q_{0}$,
among all fields $u=u(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}, Q=Q(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, $M=M(t, x)=M^{t}(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}, \quad M \geq 0, \quad$ of form:
$u=\partial_{t} \sigma+\partial^{i} w_{i}, \quad Q_{i}=\partial_{t} w_{i}+\partial_{i} \sigma, M_{i j}=\delta_{i j}-\partial_{i} w_{j}-\partial_{j} w_{i}$,
where $\sigma$ and $w$ must vanish at $t=T$.
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## Generalized MFG for incompressible fluids

This generalized (variational) MFG reads

$$
\sup _{(M, Q)}-\int_{[0, T] \times D} q_{0} \cdot Q+\frac{1}{2} Q \cdot M^{-1} \cdot Q
$$

where now $Q$ is a vector field (not necessarily divergence-free) and $M=M^{t} \geq 0$ is a field of semi-definite symmetric matrices subject to
$M_{i j}(T, \cdot)=\delta_{i j}, \quad \partial_{t} M_{i j}=\partial_{j} Q_{i}+\partial_{i} Q_{j}+2 \partial_{i} \partial_{j}(-\triangle)^{-1} \partial_{k} Q^{k}$.

## The elementary example of the Burgers equation

## The elementary example of the Burgers equation

Then, the maximization problem in $A$ simply reads

$$
\sup _{A} \int_{[0, T] \times \mathbb{T}}-\frac{\left(\partial_{t} A\right)^{2}}{2\left(1-\partial_{x} A\right)}-\int_{\mathbb{T}} A(0, \cdot) u_{0} .
$$

with $A=A(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}$ subject to $A(T, \cdot)=0, \quad \partial_{x} A \leq 1$.

## The elementary example of the Burgers equation

Then, the maximization problem in $A$ simply reads

$$
\sup _{A} \int_{[0, T] \times \mathbb{T}}-\frac{\left(\partial_{t} A\right)^{2}}{2\left(1-\partial_{x} A\right)}-\int_{\mathbb{T}} A(0, \cdot) u_{0} .
$$

with $A=A(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}$ subject to $A(T, \cdot)=0, \quad \partial_{x} A \leq 1$.
Introducing $\mu=1-\partial_{x} A \geq 0, q=\partial_{t} A$, we get the MFG
$\sup _{(\mu, q)}\left\{\left.\int_{[0, T] \times \mathbb{T}}-\frac{q^{2}}{2 \mu}-q u_{0} \right\rvert\, \partial_{t} \mu+\partial_{x} q=0, \quad \mu(T, \cdot)=1\right\}$.
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Inviscid Burgers equation : $\partial_{t} u+\partial_{x}\left(u^{2} / 2\right)=0, u=u(t, x), x \in \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}, t \geq 0$.
Recovery of the solution at time $\mathrm{T}=0.16$ by convex optimisation.
Observe the formation of a second vacuum zone as the second shock has formed.


Inviscid Burgers equation : $\partial_{t} u+\partial_{x}\left(u^{2} / 2\right)=0, u=u(t, x), x \in \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}, t \geq 0$. Recovery of the solution at time $\mathrm{T}=0.225$ by convex optimisation.

Observe the extension of the two vacuum zones.


Numerics: 2 lines of code differ from a standard (Benamou-B.) OT solver!
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For more details, voir Y.B. ArXiv Oct. 2017.

