## Link between MFG and initial value problems

Yann Brenier CNRS, DMA-ENS, 45 rue d'Ulm, FR-75005 Paris, France, in association with CNRS-INRIA "MOKAPLAN" team

Graduate Summer School: Mean Field Games and Applications IPAM-UCLA June 2018

Yann Brenier (CNRS, DMA-ENS)

MFG and IVP

IPAM-UCLA June 18-29 2018 1 / 22

イヨト イモト イモト

#### Last week, we discussed the variational MFG

$$\partial_t \mu + \nabla \cdot (\mu \nabla \phi) = \nu \Delta \mu, \ \partial_t \phi + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + \nu \Delta \phi = f'(\mu),$$

 $t \in [0, T], x \in D = \mathbb{T}^d, \mu(t, x) \ge 0, \phi(t, x)$ , respectively prescribed at t = 0 and t = T.

#### Last week, we discussed the variational MFG

$$\partial_t \mu + \nabla \cdot (\mu \nabla \phi) = \nu \Delta \mu, \ \partial_t \phi + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + \nu \Delta \phi = f'(\mu),$$

 $t \in [0, T], x \in D = \mathbb{T}^d, \mu(t, x) \ge 0, \phi(t, x)$ , respectively prescribed at t = 0 and t = T.

## CONVEXITY of f was CRUCIAL for both theory and numerics.

#### Last week, we discussed the variational MFG

$$\partial_t \mu + \nabla \cdot (\mu \nabla \phi) = \nu \Delta \mu, \ \ \partial_t \phi + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + \nu \Delta \phi = f'(\mu),$$

.

 $t \in [0, T], x \in D = \mathbb{T}^d, \mu(t, x) \ge 0, \phi(t, x)$ , respectively prescribed at t = 0 and t = T.

## CONVEXITY of f was CRUCIAL for both theory and numerics.

With  $\nu = 0$  and written in terms of  $\nu = \nabla \phi$ , these equations read

 $\partial_t \mu + \nabla \cdot (\mu \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}, \quad \partial_t \mathbf{v} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} = \nabla (f'(\mu)),$ 

and looks like the equations written by Euler in 1755-57 for compressible fluids.

Yann Brenier (CNRS, DMA-ENS)

MFG and IVP

$$\partial_t \mu + 
abla \cdot oldsymbol{q} = oldsymbol{0}, \quad oldsymbol{q} = \mu oldsymbol{v}, \ \partial_t oldsymbol{q} + 
abla \cdot (rac{oldsymbol{q} \otimes oldsymbol{q}}{\mu}) = -
abla (oldsymbol{
ho}(\mu)), \quad oldsymbol{p}'(oldsymbol{w}) = -oldsymbol{w} f''(oldsymbol{w})$$

$$\partial_t \mu + \nabla \cdot q = 0, \quad q = \mu v,$$
  
 $\partial_t q + \nabla \cdot (\frac{q \otimes q}{\mu}) = -\nabla(p(\mu)), \quad p'(w) = -wf''(w)$   
were introduced by Euler in 1755 for Fluid Mechanics.

$$\partial_t \mu + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{q} = \boldsymbol{0}, \quad \boldsymbol{q} = \mu \boldsymbol{v},$$
  
 $\partial_t \boldsymbol{q} + \nabla \cdot (\frac{\boldsymbol{q} \otimes \boldsymbol{q}}{\mu}) = -\nabla(\boldsymbol{p}(\mu)), \quad \boldsymbol{p}'(\boldsymbol{w}) = -\boldsymbol{w} \boldsymbol{f}''(\boldsymbol{w})$ 

were introduced by Euler in 1755 for Fluid Mechanics.

(This way, Euler introduced at once the first set of PDEs and the first field theory ever!)

$$\partial_t \mu + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{q} = \boldsymbol{0}, \quad \boldsymbol{q} = \mu \boldsymbol{v},$$
  
 $\partial_t \boldsymbol{q} + \nabla \cdot (\frac{\boldsymbol{q} \otimes \boldsymbol{q}}{\mu}) = -\nabla(\boldsymbol{p}(\mu)), \quad \boldsymbol{p}'(\boldsymbol{w}) = -\boldsymbol{w} \boldsymbol{f}''(\boldsymbol{w})$ 

were introduced by Euler in 1755 for Fluid Mechanics.

(This way, Euler introduced at once the first set of PDEs and the first field theory ever!)

If *f* is CONCAVE, we get a WELL-POSED INITIAL VALUE PROBLEM, with boundary conditions only at t = 0 and none at t = T, in sharp contrast with MFG.

Yann Brenier (CNRS, DMA-ENS)

MFG and IVP

IPAM-UCLA June 18-29 2018 3 / 22

## **OUR GOAL**

We want to solve the initial value problem for a large class of equations including Euler's ones by a variational approach based on convexity.

## **OUR GOAL**

We want to solve the initial value problem for a large class of equations including Euler's ones by a variational approach based on convexity.

This turns out to be doable through a GENERALIZED MFG, involving vector-potentials (and measures taking values in the cone of semi-definite positive matrices).

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

## The class of "entropic conservation laws"

\* ロ \* \* @ \* \* 目 \* モ \* 目 \* のへの

#### The class of "entropic conservation laws"

 $\partial_t U + \nabla \cdot (F(U)) = 0, \ \ U = U(t, x) \in \mathcal{W} \subset \mathbb{R}^m, \ \ x \in D$ 

(where F is given so that 
$$\sum_{\beta=1}^{m} \partial_{\beta} \mathcal{E}(W) \partial_{\alpha} F^{i\beta}(W) = \partial_{\alpha} Q^{i}(W), \forall W \in \mathcal{W},$$

for some  $(\mathcal{E}, Q) : \mathcal{W} \to \mathbb{R}^{1+d}$ , with  $\mathcal{W}$  open convex and "entropy"  $\mathcal{E}$  strictly convex, which implies:  $\partial_t(\mathcal{E}(U)) + \nabla \cdot (Q(U)) = 0$ , for all smooth solutions U)

## contains the Euler equations, for which: $\mathcal{E}(\mu, q) = \frac{|q|^2}{2\mu} - f(\mu), \ \mu > 0$ , with *f* concave.

Yann Brenier (CNRS, DMA-ENS)

MFG and IVP

IPAM-UCLA June 18-29 2018 5 / 22



Formation of two shock waves. (Vertical axis:  $t \in [0, 1/4]$ , horizontal axis:  $x \in \mathbb{T}$ .)

Yann Brenier (CNRS, DMA-ENS)

MFG and IVP

IPAM-UCLA June 18-29 2018 6 / 22

Given  $U_0$  on  $D = \mathbb{R}^d / \mathbb{Z}^d$  and T > 0, we minimize the entropy among all weak solutions U of the Cauchy pb:

Given  $U_0$  on  $D = \mathbb{R}^d / \mathbb{Z}^d$  and T > 0, we minimize the entropy among all weak solutions U of the Cauchy pb:

$$\inf_{U} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{D} \mathcal{E}(U), \quad U = U(t, x) \in \mathcal{W} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m} \text{ subject to}$$

Given  $U_0$  on  $D = \mathbb{R}^d / \mathbb{Z}^d$  and T > 0, we minimize the entropy among all weak solutions U of the Cauchy pb:

$$\inf_{U} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{D} \mathcal{E}(U), \quad U = U(t, x) \in \mathcal{W} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m} \text{ subject to}$$

$$\int_0^T \int_D \partial_t A \cdot U + \nabla A \cdot F(U) + \int_D A(0, \cdot) \cdot U_0 = 0$$

for all smooth  $A = A(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^m$  with  $A(T, \cdot) = 0$ .

Given  $U_0$  on  $D = \mathbb{R}^d / \mathbb{Z}^d$  and T > 0, we minimize the entropy among all weak solutions U of the Cauchy pb:

$$\inf_{U} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{D} \mathcal{E}(U), \quad U = U(t, x) \in \mathcal{W} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m} \text{ subject to}$$

$$\int_0^T \int_D \partial_t \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{U} + \nabla \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{U}) + \int_D \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{0}, \cdot) \cdot \mathbf{U}_0 = \mathbf{0}$$

for all smooth  $A = A(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^m$  with  $A(T, \cdot) = 0$ .

The problem is not trivial since there may be many weak solutions starting from  $U_0$  which are not entropy-preserving (by "convex integration" à la De Lellis-Székelyhidi).

Yann Brenier (CNRS, DMA-ENS)

MFG and IVP

## The resulting saddle-point problem

<ロト < 回 ト < 臣 ト < 臣 ト 三 の < @</p>

#### The resulting saddle-point problem

$$\inf_{U} \sup_{A} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{D} \mathcal{E}(U) - \partial_{t} A \cdot U - \nabla A \cdot F(U)$$
$$- \int_{D} A(0, \cdot) \cdot U_{0}$$

3

where  $A = A(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is smooth with  $A(T, \cdot) = 0$ . Here  $U_0$  is the initial condition and T the final time.

#### The resulting saddle-point problem

$$\inf_{U} \sup_{A} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{D} \mathcal{E}(U) - \partial_{t} A \cdot U - \nabla A \cdot F(U)$$
$$- \int_{D} A(0, \cdot) \cdot U_{0}$$

where  $A = A(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is smooth with  $A(T, \cdot) = 0$ . Here  $U_0$  is the initial condition and T the final time.

N.B. The supremum in A exactly encodes that U is a weak solution with initial condition  $U_0$ , all test functions A acting like Lagrange multipliers.

Yann Brenier (CNRS, DMA-ENS)

MFG and IVP

IPAM-UCLA June 18-29 2018 8 / 22

・ロト・日本・モト・モー・ モー シック

leads to a *concave* maximization problem in A, namely

$$\sup_{A(T,\cdot)=0} \inf_{U} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{D} \mathcal{E}(U) - \partial_{t} A \cdot U - \nabla A \cdot F(U) - \int_{D} A(0,\cdot) \cdot U_{0}$$

leads to a *concave* maximization problem in A, namely

$$\sup_{A(T,\cdot)=0} \inf_{U} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{D} \mathcal{E}(U) - \partial_{t} A \cdot U - \nabla A \cdot F(U) - \int_{D} A(0,\cdot) \cdot U_{0}$$

$$= \sup_{A(T,\cdot)=0} \int_0^T \int_D -G(\partial_t A, \nabla A) - \int_D A(0, \cdot) \cdot U_0$$
  
$$G(E,B) = \sup_{V \in \mathcal{W} \subset \mathbb{R}^m} E \cdot V + B \cdot F(V) - \mathcal{E}(V), \ (E,B) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}.$$

leads to a *concave* maximization problem in A, namely

$$\sup_{A(T,\cdot)=0} \inf_{U} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{D} \mathcal{E}(U) - \partial_{t} A \cdot U - \nabla A \cdot F(U) - \int_{D} A(0,\cdot) \cdot U_{0}$$

$$= \sup_{A(T,\cdot)=0} \int_0^T \int_D -G(\partial_t A, \nabla A) - \int_D A(0, \cdot) \cdot U_0$$

 $G(E,B) = \sup_{V \in \mathcal{W} \subset \mathbb{R}^m} E \cdot V + B \cdot F(V) - \mathcal{E}(V), \ (E,B) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}.$ 

## Notice that *G* is automatically convex.

Yann Brenier (CNRS, DMA-ENS)

MFG and IVP

IPAM-UCLA June 18-29 2018 9 / 22

#### Comparison with the MFG considered last week

$$\sup_{\phi} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{D} -G(\partial_t \phi + 
u \Delta \phi, 
abla \phi) - < \mu_0, \phi_0 >$$

was our variational MFG with  $\mu_0$  and  $\phi_T$  prescribed.



#### Comparison with the MFG considered last week

$$\sup_{\phi} \int_{0}^{\mathcal{T}} \int_{D} - m{G}(\partial_t \phi + 
u \Delta \phi, 
abla \phi) - < \mu_0, \phi_0 >$$

was our variational MFG with  $\mu_0$  and  $\phi_T$  prescribed. Now, we rather have

$$\sup_{A(T,\cdot)=0} \int_0^T \int_D -G(\partial_t A, \nabla A) - \int_D A(0, \cdot) \cdot U_0$$

where  $\nu = 0$  and the vector-potential A substitutes for the scalar potential  $\phi$ .

## Comparison with the MFG considered last week

$$\sup_{\phi} \int_{0}^{\mathcal{T}} \int_{D} - m{G}(\partial_t \phi + 
u \Delta \phi, 
abla \phi) - < \mu_0, \phi_0 >$$

was our variational MFG with  $\mu_0$  and  $\phi_T$  prescribed. Now, we rather have

$$\sup_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T},\cdot)=0} \int_0^{\mathcal{T}} \int_D -G(\partial_t \mathcal{A}, \nabla \mathcal{A}) - \int_D \mathcal{A}(0, \cdot) \cdot \mathcal{U}_0$$

where  $\nu = 0$  and the vector-potential A substitutes for the scalar potential  $\phi$ . Thus our dual maximization problem to solve the initial value problem can be interpreted as a generalized variational 1st order-MFG with vector-valued potential.

Yann Brenier (CNRS, DMA-ENS)

MFG and IVP

IPAM-UCLA June 18-29 2018 10 / 22

A (10) A (10)



・ロト・日本・モト・モト・モージへの



# Theorem 1: If U is a smooth solution to the Cauchy problem and T is not too large



#### Main results

Theorem 1: If *U* is a smooth solution to the Cauchy problem and *T* is not too large (\*), then *U* can be recovered from the concave maximization problem which admits  $A(t, x) = (t - T)\mathcal{E}'(U(t, x))$  as solution.

#### Main results

Theorem 1: If *U* is a smooth solution to the Cauchy problem and *T* is not too large (\*), then *U* can be recovered from the concave maximization problem which admits  $A(t, x) = (t - T)\mathcal{E}'(U(t, x))$  as solution.

**Theorem 2:** For the Burgers equation, all entropy solutions can be recovered, for arbitrarily large *T*.

(\*) more precisely if,  $\forall t, x, V \in \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{E}^{"}(V) - (T - t)F^{"}(V) \cdot \nabla(\mathcal{E}'(U(t, x))) > 0.$ 

Yann Brenier (CNRS, DMA-ENS)

MFG and IVP

・ロト・日本・モト・モー・ ヨー シへの

Let us compute the generalized MFG in the particular case of the Euler equations of isothermal fluids

$$\partial_t \mu + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{q} = \boldsymbol{0}, \ \ \partial_t \boldsymbol{q} + \nabla \cdot (\frac{\boldsymbol{q} \otimes \boldsymbol{q}}{\mu}) = -\nabla(\boldsymbol{p}(\mu))$$

ヘロア 人間 アメヨア トロア

Let us compute the generalized MFG in the particular case of the Euler equations of isothermal fluids

$$\partial_t \mu + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{q} = \boldsymbol{0}, \ \ \partial_t \boldsymbol{q} + \nabla \cdot (\frac{\boldsymbol{q} \otimes \boldsymbol{q}}{\mu}) = -\nabla(\boldsymbol{p}(\mu))$$

where

 $f(w) = w - \log w$ ,  $p'(w) = -wf''(w) \longrightarrow p(w) = w$ .

Yann Brenier (CNRS, DMA-ENS)

MFG and IVP

IPAM-UCLA June 18-29 2018 12 / 22

Then, the generalized MFG amounts to minimizing

$$\int_{[0,T]\times D} \exp(u) \exp(\frac{1}{2} Q \cdot M^{-1} \cdot Q) + \int_D \sigma_0 \rho_0 + w_0 \cdot q_0,$$

Then, the generalized MFG amounts to minimizing

$$\int_{[0,T]\times D} \exp(u) \exp(\frac{1}{2}Q \cdot M^{-1} \cdot Q) + \int_D \sigma_0 \rho_0 + w_0 \cdot q_0,$$

among all fields  $u = u(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}, \ Q = Q(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^d,$  $M = M(t, x) = M^t(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}, \quad M \ge 0,$ 

Then, the generalized MFG amounts to minimizing

$$\int_{[0,T]\times D} \exp(u) \exp(\frac{1}{2}Q \cdot M^{-1} \cdot Q) + \int_D \sigma_0 \rho_0 + w_0 \cdot q_0,$$

among all fields  $u = u(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $Q = Q(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $M = M(t, x) = M^t(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ ,  $M \ge 0$ , of form:

 $\boldsymbol{u} = \partial_t \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \partial^i \boldsymbol{w}_i, \ \boldsymbol{Q}_i = \partial_t \boldsymbol{w}_i + \partial_i \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \ \boldsymbol{M}_{ij} = \delta_{ij} - \partial_i \boldsymbol{w}_j - \partial_j \boldsymbol{w}_i,$ 

where  $\sigma$  and w must vanish at t = T.

Yann Brenier (CNRS, DMA-ENS)

MFG and IVP

## The Euler equations of incompressible fluids

#### The Euler equations of incompressible fluids

The same method also applies to the Euler equations of incompressible fluids ("saturated congestion")

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{q} + \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{q} \otimes \boldsymbol{q}) = -\nabla \boldsymbol{p}, \ \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{q} = \boldsymbol{0},$$

where *q* is prescribed at t = 0 and *p* is now a Lagrange multiplier ("price") for constraint  $\nabla \cdot q = 0$ .

## The Euler equations of incompressible fluids

The same method also applies to the Euler equations of incompressible fluids ("saturated congestion")

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{q} + \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{q} \otimes \boldsymbol{q}) = -\nabla \boldsymbol{p}, \ \, \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{q} = \boldsymbol{0},$$

where *q* is prescribed at t = 0 and *p* is now a Lagrange multiplier ("price") for constraint  $\nabla \cdot q = 0$ .

We get again a generalized MFG for measures valued in the cone of semi-definite symmetric matrices:

・ロト・日本・モト・モー・ モー シック

This generalized (variational) MFG reads

$$\sup_{(M,Q)} - \int_{[0,T]\times D} q_0 \cdot Q + \frac{1}{2} Q \cdot M^{-1} \cdot Q,$$

This generalized (variational) MFG reads

$$\sup_{(M,Q)} - \int_{[0,T]\times D} q_0 \cdot Q + \frac{1}{2} Q \cdot M^{-1} \cdot Q,$$

where now Q is a vector field (not necessarily divergence-free) and  $M = M^t \ge 0$  is a field of semi-definite symmetric matrices subject to

This generalized (variational) MFG reads

$$\sup_{(M,Q)} - \int_{[0,T]\times D} q_0 \cdot Q + \frac{1}{2} Q \cdot M^{-1} \cdot Q,$$

where now Q is a vector field (not necessarily divergence-free) and  $M = M^t \ge 0$  is a field of semi-definite symmetric matrices subject to

$$M_{ij}(T, \cdot) = \delta_{ij}, \quad \partial_t M_{ij} = \partial_j Q_i + \partial_i Q_j + 2\partial_i \partial_j (-\triangle)^{-1} \partial_k Q^k.$$

Yann Brenier (CNRS, DMA-ENS)

MFG and IVP

IPAM-UCLA June 18-29 2018 15 / 22

## The elementary example of the Burgers equation

▲□▶▲□▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のへで

The elementary example of the Burgers equation

Then, the maximization problem in A simply reads

$$\sup_{A}\int_{[0,T]\times\mathbb{T}}-\frac{(\partial_{t}A)^{2}}{2(1-\partial_{x}A)}-\int_{\mathbb{T}}A(0,\cdot)u_{0}.$$

with  $A = A(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}$  subject to  $A(T, \cdot) = 0$ ,  $\partial_x A \leq 1$ .

The elementary example of the Burgers equation

Then, the maximization problem in *A* simply reads

$$\sup_{A}\int_{[0,T]\times\mathbb{T}}-\frac{(\partial_{t}A)^{2}}{2(1-\partial_{x}A)}-\int_{\mathbb{T}}A(0,\cdot)u_{0}.$$

with  $A = A(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}$  subject to  $A(T, \cdot) = 0$ ,  $\partial_x A \leq 1$ .

Introducing  $\mu = 1 - \partial_x A \ge 0$ ,  $q = \partial_t A$ , we get the MFG

$$\sup_{(\mu,q)} \{ \int_{[0,T]\times\mathbb{T}} -\frac{q^2}{2\mu} - qu_0 \mid \partial_t \mu + \partial_x q = 0, \ \mu(T, \cdot) = 1 \}.$$

Yann Brenier (CNRS, DMA-ENS)

MFG and IVP



Formation of two shock waves. (Vertical axis:  $t \in [0, 1/4]$ , horizontal axis:  $x \in \mathbb{T}$ .)

Yann Brenier (CNRS, DMA-ENS)

MFG and IVP

IPAM-UCLA June 18-29 2018 17 / 22

A (10) A (10) A (10)



Yann Brenier (CNRS, DMA-ENS)

MFG and IVP

IPAM-UCLA June 18-29 2018 18 / 22



Inviscid Burgers equation :  $\partial_t u + \partial_x (u^2/2) = 0$ , u = u(t, x),  $x \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $t \ge 0$ . Recovery of the solution at time T=0.16 by convex optimisation.

Observe the formation of a second vacuum zone as the second shock has formed.

Yann Brenier (CNRS, DMA-ENS)



Observe the extension of the two vacuum zones.

Yann Brenier (CNRS, DMA-ENS)

MFG and IVP

□ ▶ < @ ▶ < 볼 ▶ < 볼 ▶ 월 · ○ < ○ IPAM-UCLA June 18-29 2018 20 / 22



Numerics: 2 lines of code differ from a standard (Benamou-B.) OT solver!

Yann Brenier (CNRS, DMA-ENS)

MFG and IVP

IPAM-UCLA June 18-29 2018 21 / 22

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))



Analogy with mountain climbing: going from Everest to Lhotse without following the crest! (Partial credit to Thomas Gallouët for this analogy.)

Yann Brenier (CNRS, DMA-ENS)

MFG and IVP

IPAM-UCLA June 18-29 2018 22 / 22



Analogy with mountain climbing: going from Everest to Lhotse without following the crest! (Partial credit to Thomas Gallouët for this analogy.)

Yann Brenier (CNRS, DMA-ENS)

MFG and IVP

IPAM-UCLA June 18-29 2018 22 / 22



Analogy with mountain climbing: going from Everest to Lhotse without following the crest! (Partial credit to Thomas Gallouët for this analogy.)

For more details, voir Y.B. ArXiv Oct. 2017.

Yann Brenier (CNRS, DMA-ENS)

MFG and IVP

IPAM-UCLA June 18-29 2018 22 / 22