Fragment Grammars: Productivity and Reuse in Language

Timothy J. O'Donnell MIT

 circuitousness, grandness, orderliness, pretentiousness, cheapness, coolness, warmness, ...

- circuitousness, grandness, orderliness, pretentiousness, cheapness, coolness, warmness, ...
- Adj>N

- circuitousness, grandness, orderliness, pretentiousness, cheapness, coolness, warmness, ...
- Adj>N
- grand + -ness

- circuitousness, grandness, orderliness, pretentiousness, cheapness, coolness, warmness, ...
- Adj>N
- grand + -ness
- pine-scentedness

verticality, tractability, severity, seniority, inanity, electricity, ...

- verticality, tractability, severity, seniority, inanity, electricity, ...
- Adj>N

- verticality, tractability, severity, seniority, inanity, electricity, ...
- Adj>N
- Stress change (e.g., normalness v. normality), vowel laxing (e.g., inane v. inanity)

- verticality, tractability, severity, seniority, inanity, electricity, ...
- Adj>N
- Stress change (e.g., normalness v. normality), vowel laxing (e.g., inane v. inanity)
- The red lantern indicated the ethnicity/ ethnicness of the restaurant

- verticality, tractability, severity, seniority, inanity, electricity, ...
- Adj>N
- Stress change (e.g., normalness v. normality), vowel laxing (e.g., inane v. inanity)
- The red lantern indicated the ethnicity/ ethnicness of the restaurant
- *pine-scentedity



• But ...

• -ile/-al/-able/-ic/-(i)an

- But ...
 - -ile/-al/-able/-ic/-(i)an
 - Bayesable

- But ...
 - -ile/-al/-able/-ic/-(i)an
 - Bayesable
 - Bayesability

- But ...
 - -ile/-al/-able/-ic/-(i)an
 - Bayesable
 - Bayesability
 - Coolity is not trying (from Huffington Post)

• warmth, width, truth, depth, ...

- warmth, width, truth, depth, ...
- Adj>N

- warmth, width, truth, depth, ...
- Adj>N
- heal/health, dead/death, young/youth, vile/filth, slow/sloth

- warmth, width, truth, depth, ...
- Adj>N
- heal/health, dead/death, young/youth, vile/filth, slow/sloth
- weal?/wealth, ?wroth/wrath, ?merry/mirth

- warmth, width, truth, depth, ...
- Adj>N
- heal/health, dead/death, young/youth, vile/filth, slow/sloth
- weal?/wealth, ?wroth/wrath, ?merry/mirth
- roomth, greenth

- warmth, width, truth, depth, ...
- Adj>N
- heal/health, dead/death, young/youth, vile/filth, slow/sloth
- weal?/wealth, ?wroth/wrath, ?merry/mirth
- roomth, greenth

Many enjoy the warmth, Vikings prefer the **coolth**

 Which processes can be used to construct *novel* forms (e.g., -ness), which can only be *reused* in existing forms (e.g., -th)?

- Which processes can be used to construct *novel* forms (e.g., -ness), which can only be *reused* in existing forms (e.g., -th)?
- How are such differences in productivity represented by the adult language user?

- Which processes can be used to construct *novel* forms (e.g., -ness), which can only be *reused* in existing forms (e.g., -th)?
- How are such differences in productivity represented by the adult language user?
- How are such differences learned by the child?

I. The Proposal.

- I. The Proposal.
- 2. Five Models of Productivity and Reuse.

- I. The Proposal.
- 2. Five Models of Productivity and Reuse.
- 3. English Derivational Morphology

- I. The Proposal.
- 2. Five Models of Productivity and Reuse.
- 3. English Derivational Morphology
- 4. Conclusion

- I. The Proposal.
- 2. Five Models of Productivity and Reuse.
- 3. English Derivational Morphology
- 4. Conclusion

The Proposal
I. Formalization of **what** can be reused.

- I. Formalization of **what** can be reused.
 - Subcomputations.

- I. Formalization of **what** can be reused.
 - Subcomputations.
- 2. Formalization of **how** decision to reuse versus compute is made.

- I. Formalization of **what** can be reused.
 - Subcomputations.
- 2. Formalization of **how** decision to reuse versus compute is made.
 - Optimal Bayesian inference.

- I. Formalization of **what** can be reused.
 - Subcomputations.
- 2. Formalization of **how** decision to reuse versus compute is made.
 - Optimal Bayesian inference.
- 3. The model from a probabilistic programming perspective.

- I. Formalization of what can be reused.
 - Subcomputations.
- 2. Formalization of **how** decision to reuse versus compute is made.
 - Optimal Bayesian inference.
- 3. The model from a probabilistic programming perspective.

Starting Computational System

W W	\rightarrow	N V Adi		Ν	
w	\rightarrow	Adv		\sim	
N	\rightarrow	Adi	-ness		
N	\rightarrow	Adj	-ity		
N	\rightarrow	electro-	N		
N	\rightarrow	magnet		νη-	itar
Ν	\rightarrow	dog		AU	-uuy
					U
V	\rightarrow	N	-ify		
V	\rightarrow	Adj	-ize		
V	\rightarrow	re-	V		
v	\rightarrow	agree		V = ahle	
V	\rightarrow	count		\mathbf{v} $\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}$	
		•		I	
Adj	\rightarrow	dis-	Adj		
Adj	\rightarrow	V	-able		
Adj	\rightarrow	N	- <i>ic</i>		
Adj	\rightarrow	N	-al	αατρρ	
Adj	\rightarrow	tall		uyree	
 Adv	\rightarrow	Adi	-lu		
Adv	\rightarrow	today	-0		
		0			

...







- I. Formalization of **what** can be reused.
 - Subcomputations.
- 2. Formalization of **how** decision to reuse versus compute is made.
 - Optimal Bayesian inference.
- 3. The model from a probabilistic programming perspective.

- I. Formalization of **what** can be reused.
 - Subcomputations.
- 2. Formalization of **how** decision to reuse versus compute is made.
 - Optimal Bayesian inference.
- 3. The model from a probabilistic programming perspective.

Bayesian Rational Analysis (Anderson, 1992)

- Find subcomputations which provide best explanation for the data.
- What evidence is available to the learner?
 - Which patterns give rise to productivity, which patterns imply reuse?











Tradeoff between productivity and reuse

- I. Formalization of **what** can be reused.
 - Subcomputations.
- 2. Formalization of **how** decision to reuse versus compute is made.
 - Optimal Bayesian inference.
- 3. The model from a probabilistic programming perspective.

- I. Formalization of **what** can be reused.
 - Subcomputations.
- 2. Formalization of **how** decision to reuse versus compute is made.
 - Optimal Bayesian inference.
- 3. The model from a probabilistic programming perspective.

• Generalization of <u>Adaptor Grammars</u> (Johnson et al., 2007).

- Generalization of <u>Adaptor Grammars</u> (Johnson et al., 2007).
- Bayesian non-parametric distributions (Pitman-Yor).

- Generalization of <u>Adaptor Grammars</u> (Johnson et al., 2007).
- Bayesian non-parametric distributions (Pitman-Yor).
- Notion of compiling subcomputations via tools from probabilistic programming (Church language; Goodman et al., 2008).

- Generalization of <u>Adaptor Grammars</u> (Johnson et al., 2007).
- Bayesian non-parametric distributions (Pitman-Yor).
- Notion of compiling subcomputations via tools from probabilistic programming (Church language; Goodman et al., 2008).
 - Stochastic memoization (Johnson et al., 2007) of stochastically lazy/ eager programs.

• Alternative to more standard mathematical formalization (see, O'Donnell, 2011).

- Alternative to more standard mathematical formalization (see, O'Donnell, 2011).
- Highlights relationship between formalisms (PCFGs, Adaptor Grammars, Fragment Grammars).

- Alternative to more standard mathematical formalization (see, O'Donnell, 2011).
- Highlights relationship between formalisms (PCFGs, Adaptor Grammars, Fragment Grammars).
- Cross fertilization of ideas from the theory of programming languages.

- Alternative to more standard mathematical formalization (see, O'Donnell, 2011).
- Highlights relationship between formalisms (PCFGs, Adaptor Grammars, Fragment Grammars).
- Cross fertilization of ideas from the theory of programming languages.
- Caveat: Church inference algorithms do not work well for these models.

I. Stochastic computation via unfold

- I. Stochastic computation via unfold
- 2. Stochastic reuse via memoization

- I. Stochastic computation via unfold
- 2. Stochastic reuse via memoization
- 3. Partial computations via stochastic laziness

I. Stochastic computation via unfold

2. Stochastic reuse via memoization

3. Partial computations via stochastic laziness

Context Free Grammars



•••
Declarative Knowledge of Constituent Structure

$p_{\mathtt{W}_1}$	W	\rightarrow	N	
$p_{\mathtt{W}_2}$	W	\rightarrow	V	
$p_{\mathtt{W}_3}$	W	\rightarrow	Adj	
$p_{\mathtt{W}_4}$	W	\rightarrow	Adv	
$p_{\mathtt{N}_1}$	N	\rightarrow	Adj	-ness
$p_{ m N_2}$	N	\rightarrow	Adj	-ity
$p_{ m N_3}$	N	\rightarrow	electro-	N
$p_{ m N_4}$	N	\rightarrow	magnet	
$p_{ m N_5}$	N	\rightarrow	dog	
$p_{\mathtt{V}_1}$	V	\rightarrow	N	-ify
$p_{\mathtt{V}_2}$	V	\rightarrow	Adj	-ize
$p_{\mathtt{V}_3}$	V	\rightarrow	re-	v
$p_{\mathtt{V}_4}$	V	\rightarrow	agree	
$p_{\mathtt{V}_5}$	V	\rightarrow	count	
$p_{\mathtt{Adj}_1}$	Adj	\rightarrow	dis-	Adj
$p_{\mathtt{Adj}_2}$	Adj	\rightarrow	V	-able
$p_{\mathtt{Adj}_3}$	Adj	\rightarrow	N	-ic
$p_{\mathtt{Adj}_4}$	Adj	\rightarrow	N	-al
$p_{\mathtt{Adj}_5}$	Adj	\rightarrow	tall	
$p_{\mathtt{Adv}_1}$	Adv	\rightarrow	Adj	-ly
$p_{\mathtt{Adv}_2}$	Adv	\rightarrow	today	

...

Declarative Knowledge of Constituent Structure

- (define sample-rhs
 - (lambda (nonterminal)
 - (case nonterminal
 - (('W) (multinomial (list (list 'N) (list 'V) (list 'Adj) (list 'Adv) ...)

(list $p_{W_1} p_{W_2} p_{W_3} p_{W_4} \dots))$)

- (('N) (multinomial (list (list 'Adj 'ness) (list 'Adj 'ity) (list 'electro 'N) (list 'magnet) (list 'dog) ...) (list $p_{N_1} p_{N_2} p_{N_3} p_{N_4} p_{N_5} ...))$
- (('V) (multinomial (list (list 'N 'ify) (list 'Adj 'ize) (list 're 'V) (list 'agree) (list 'count) ...)

(list $p_{v_1} p_{v_2} p_{v_3} p_{v_4} p_{v_5} \dots$))

(('Adj) (multinomial (list (list 'dis 'Adj) (list 'V 'able) (list 'N 'ic) (list 'N 'al) (list 'tall) ...)

(list $p_{\text{Adj}_1} p_{\text{Adj}_2} p_{\text{Adj}_3} p_{\text{Adj}_4} p_{\text{Adj}_5} \dots$)))

(('Adv) (multinomial (list (list 'Adj 'ly) (list 'today) ...)

 $(list p_{W_1} p_{W_2} \dots))))))$

(define unfold
 (lambda (symbol)
 (if (terminal? symbol)
 symbol
 (map unfold (sample-rhs symbol)))))

(define unfold (lambda (symbol) (if (terminal? symbol) symbol (map unfold (sample-rhs symbol)))) Choose a right-hand side for symbol: $N \rightarrow Adj$ -ity

(define unfold
 (lambda (symbol)
 (if (terminal? symbol)
 symbol
 (map unfold (sample-rhs symbol)))))

(define unfold (lambda (symbol) (if (terminal? symbol) symbol (map unfold (sample-rhs symbol)))) Recursively apply unfold to each symbol on right-hand side

(unfold 'N)

(unfold 'N)

(define unfold

(lambda (symbol)

(if (terminal? symbol)

symbol

(map unfold (sample-rhs symbol))))))

(unfold 'N)

(define unfold
 (lambda (symbol)
 (if (terminal? symbol)
 symbol

(map unfold (sample-rhs symbol)))))

(sample-rhs 'N)

(unfold 'N)
(sample-rhs 'N)
$$N \rightarrow Adj$$
-ity









Reusability for PCFGs



Fragment Grammars via Probabilistic Programming

I. Stochastic computation via unfold

2. Stochastic reuse via memoization

3. Partial computations via stochastic laziness

• Store outputs of earlier computations in a table

- Store outputs of earlier computations in a table
- When function is called with particular arguments then grab from table if stored

- Store outputs of earlier computations in a table
- When function is called with particular arguments then grab from table if stored
- When function is called with new arguments, then compute and store in table

- Store outputs of earlier computations in a table
- When function is called with particular arguments then grab from table if stored
- When function is called with new arguments, then compute and store in table
- Higher-order function: mem

(define eye-color
 (lambda (person)
 (if (flip 0.5) 'blue 'brown)))

(define eye-color
 (lambda (person)
 (if (flip 0.5) 'blue 'brown)))

(eye-color 'bob) => 'blue

```
(define eye-color
  (lambda (person)
     (if (flip 0.5) 'blue 'brown)))
```

(eye-color 'bob) => 'blue (eye-color 'bob) => 'brown

```
(define eye-color
  (lambda (person)
     (if (flip 0.5) 'blue 'brown)))
```

- (eye-color 'bob) => 'blue (eye-color 'bob) => 'brown
- (eye-color 'bob) => 'blue

```
(define eye-color
  (lambda (person)
     (if (flip 0.5) 'blue 'brown)))
```

- (eye-color 'bob) => 'blue
- (eye-color 'bob) => 'brown
- (eye-color 'bob) => 'blue
- (eye-color 'bob) => 'brown

```
(define eye-color
  (lambda (person)
     (if (flip 0.5) 'blue 'brown)))
```

- (eye-color 'bob) => 'blue
- (eye-color 'bob) => 'brown
- (eye-color 'bob) => 'blue
- (eye-color 'bob) => 'brown

(define eye-color (mem (lambda (person) (if (flip 0.5) 'blue brown))))

(define eye-color

(mem (lambda (persvalue.

Anywhere in the program where (**eye-color** 'bob)

is used, we will reuse same

(if (flip 0.5) 'blue brown))))

Reuse through Memoization Anywhere in the program where (eye-color 'bob)

(define eye-color

(mem (lambda (personal) (if (flip 0.5)) (brue brown)))

(eye-color 'bob) => 'blue

Reuse through Memoization Anywhere in the program where (eye-color 'bob)

(define eye-color

or is used, we will reuse same

(mem (lambda (persvalue. (if (flip 0.5) 'brue brown))))

(eye-color 'bob) => 'blue (eye-color 'bob) => 'blue

Reuse through Memoization Anywhere in the program

(define eye-color

where (**eye-color** 'bob) is used, we will *reuse* same

(mem (lambda (persvalue. (if (flip 0.5) 'brue brown))))

- (eye-color 'bob) => 'blue
- (eye-color 'bob) => 'blue
- (eye-color 'bob) => 'blue

Reuse through Memoization Anywhere in the program

(define eye-color

is used, we will reuse same (mem (lambda (persvalue. (if (flip 0.5) 'Drue prown)))

where (eye-color 'bob)

- (eye-color 'bob) => 'blue

(define **eye-color**

Anywhere in the program where (eye-color 'bob) is used, we will *reuse* same

(mem (lambda (persvalue. (if (flip 0.5) 'brue brown))))

- (eye-color 'bob) => 'blue

• • •

Stochastic Reusability

 Deterministic memoization always returns same value after first call, but sometimes we want to **probabilistically** favor reuse.
(define location
 (lambda (person)
 (sample-location-in-world)))

(define location
 (lambda (person)
 (sample-location-in-world)))

(location 'bob) => 'UCLA

(define location
 (lambda (person)
 (sample-location-in-world)))

(location 'bob) => 'UCLA
(location 'bob) => 'Antarctica

(define location
 (lambda (person)
 (sample-location-in-world)))

(location 'bob) => 'UCLA (location 'bob) => 'Antarctica (location 'bob) => 'London

(define location
 (lambda (person)
 (sample-location-in-world)))

(location 'bob) => 'UCLA (location 'bob) => 'Antarctica (location 'bob) => 'London (location 'bob) => 'Thailand

(define location
 (lambda (person)
 (sample-location-in-world)))

(location 'bob) => 'UCLA (location 'bob) => 'Antarctica (location 'bob) => 'London (location 'bob) => 'Thailand

• • •

(define location
 (stochastic-mem (lambda (person)
 (sample-location-in-world))))

(define location
 (stochastic-mem (lambda (person)
 (sample-location-in-world))))

(location 'bob) => 'home

(define location
 (stochastic-mem (lambda (person)
 (sample-location-in-world))))

(location 'bob) => 'home
(location 'bob) => 'office

(define location
 (stochastic-mem (lambda (person)
 (sample-location-in-world))))

(location 'bob) => 'home (location 'bob) => 'office (location 'bob) => 'home

(define location
 (stochastic-mem (lambda (person)
 (sample-location-in-world))))

(location 'bob) => 'home (location 'bob) => 'office (location 'bob) => 'home (location 'bob) => 'home

(define location
 (stochastic-mem (lambda (person)
 (sample-location-in-world))))

(location 'bob) => 'home (location 'bob) => 'office (location 'bob) => 'home (location 'bob) => 'home

• • •

(Goodman et al., 2008; Johnson et al., 2007)

(Goodman et al., 2008; Johnson et al., 2007)

 Adaptor Grammars: Anything that can be computed can be stored and reused probabilistically.

(Goodman et al., 2008; Johnson et al., 2007)

- Adaptor Grammars: Anything that can be computed can be stored and reused probabilistically.
- Memoization distribution: Pitman-Yor
 Processes (Pitman & Yor, 1995).

(Goodman et al., 2008; Johnson et al., 2007)

- Adaptor Grammars: Anything that can be computed can be stored and reused probabilistically.
- Memoization distribution: Pitman-Yor Processes (Pitman & Yor, 1995).
- Stochastic memoization + PCFGs = Adaptor Grammars.

 Generalization of the Chinese Restaurant Process

- Generalization of the Chinese Restaurant Process
- Two parameters:

- Generalization of the Chinese Restaurant Process
- Two parameters:
 - $a \in [0, I]$

- Generalization of the Chinese Restaurant Process
- Two parameters:
 - a ∈ [0,1]
 - b > -a

- Generalization of the Chinese Restaurant Process
- Two parameters:
 - a ∈ [0, I]
 - b > -a

Probability of Reuse

$$\frac{y_i - a}{N + b}$$

- Generalization of the Chinese Restaurant Process
- Two parameters:
 - a ∈ [0, I]

y_i: Total number of observations of value *i*

• b > -a

Probability of Reuse $\frac{y_i - a}{N + b}$

- Generalization of the Chinese Restaurant Process
- Two parameters:
 - a ∈ [0, I]
 - b > -a

yi: Total number of

observations of value i

N: Total number of observations

Probability of Reuse $\frac{y_i - a}{N + b}$

- Generalization of the Chinese Restaurant Process
- Two parameters:
 - a ∈ [0, I]
 - b > -a

y_i: Total number of observations of value *i*

N: Total number of observations

Probability of Reuse $\frac{y_i - a}{N + b}$

Probability of Novelty $\frac{a \cdot K + b}{N + b}$

- Generalization of the Chinese Restaurant Process
- Two parameters:
 - a ∈ [0, I]
 - b > -a

y_i: Total number of observations of value *i*

- N: Total number of observations
- K: Total number of values

Probability of Reuse $\frac{y_i - a}{N + b}$

Probability of Novelty $\frac{a \cdot K + b}{N + b}$

• Rich get richer, concentrates distribution on a few values.

- Rich get richer, concentrates distribution on a few values.
- Prefers fewer customers/tables/tables-percustomer.

- Rich get richer, concentrates distribution on a few values.
- Prefers fewer customers/tables/tables-percustomer.
- Prefers to generate novel values proportional to how often novelty has been generated in the past.

Adaptor Grammars (Johnson et al., 2007)

```
(define adapted-unfold
 (PYMem a b
  (lambda (symbol)
    (if (terminal? symbol)
      symbol
      (map unfold (sample-rhs symbol))))))
```

• Reuse previous computations (subtrees).

- Reuse previous computations (subtrees).
- Can compute novel items productively using base system.

- Reuse previous computations (subtrees).
- Can compute novel items productively using base system.
- Build new stored trees recursively.

- Reuse previous computations (subtrees).
- Can compute novel items productively using base system.
- Build new stored trees recursively.
- Only reuse complete subtrees (on adapted nonterminals).
Properties of Adaptor Grammars

- Reuse previous computations (subtrees).
- Can compute novel items productively using base system.
- Build new stored trees recursively.
- Only reuse complete subtrees (on adapted nonterminals).



Properties of Adaptor Grammars

- Reuse previous computations (subtrees).
- Can compute novel items productively using base system.
- Build new stored trees recursively.
- Only reuse complete subtrees (on adapted nonterminals).



Reusability for Adaptor Grammars



Reusability for Adaptor Grammars

I. Always possible to use base grammar.



Reusability for Adaptor Grammars

Always possible to use base grammar.
 Fully recursive.



Fragment Grammars via Probabilistic Programming

- I. Stochastic computation via unfold
- 2. Stochastic reuse via memoization

3. Partial computations via stochastic laziness

Goal: Represent Partial Computations



Goal: Represent Partial Computations



Lazy and Eager Evaluation

Lazy and Eager Evaluation

• Eager Evaluation: Do as much work as early as possible.

Lazy and Eager Evaluation

- Eager Evaluation: Do as much work as early as possible.
- Lazy Evaluation: Delay work until it is absolutely necessary to continue computation.

Example

(define add3
 (lambda (x y z)
 (+ x y z)))

(add3 (+ 1 2 3) (* 2 4) (- 3 1))

(add3 (+ 1 2 3) (* 2 4) (- 3 1))

(add3 6 (* 2 4) (- 3 1))

(add3 6 (* 2 4) (- 3 1))

(add3 6 8 (- 3 1))

(add3 6 8 (- 3 1))

(add3 6 8 2)



(define add3

(lambda (x y z)



16



(add3 (+ 1 2 3) (* 2 4) (- 3 1))



Lazy Evaluation

(define add3

(lambda (x y z)

$$(+ (+ 1 2 3) (* 2 4) (- 3 1))$$

$$x y z$$

Lazy Evaluation

(define add3

(lambda (x y z)





(+ (+ 1 2 3) (* 2 4) (- 3 1)) Primitive + procedure forces evaluation of arguments.

Lazy Evaluation

(+ (+ 1 2 3) (* 2 4) (- 3 1))



(+ 16 (* 2 4) (- 3 1))



(+ 16 (* 2 4) (- 3 1))



(+ 16 8 (- 3 1))



(+ 16 8 (- 3 1))



(+ 16 8 2)



λ-calculus: Order of Evaluation

λ-calculus: Order of Evaluation

• Applicative order (eager evaluation): evaluate arguments first, then apply function.
λ-calculus: Order of Evaluation

- Applicative order (eager evaluation): evaluate arguments first, then apply function.
- Normal order (lazy evaluation): copy arguments into procedure, only evaluate when needed.

λ-calculus: Order of Evaluation

- Applicative order (eager evaluation): evaluate arguments first, then apply function.
- Normal order (lazy evaluation): copy arguments into procedure, only evaluate when needed.
- Church-Rosser theorem: Order doesn't matter for deterministic λ-calculus.

λ-calculus: Order of Evaluation

- Applicative order (eager evaluation): evaluate arguments first, then apply function.
- Normal order (lazy evaluation): copy arguments into procedure, only evaluate when needed.
- Church-Rosser theorem: Order doesn't matter for deterministic λ-calculus.
- Does matter for Ψλ-calculus!

Ψλ-calculus: Order of Evaluation (define same?

(lambda (x)
 (equal? x x)))

Ψλ-calculus: Order of Evaluation (define same?

(lambda (x)
 (equal? x x)))

Ψλ-calculus: Order of Evaluation (define same? (lambda (x)

(equal? x x)))

(same? (flip))





Tradeoff

- <u>Laziness</u> allows you to delay computation and, thus, **preserve randomness** and variability until the last possible moment.
- <u>Eagerness</u> allows you to determine random choices early in computation and, thus,
 share choices across different parts of a program.

• Idea: Stochastically mix lazy and eager evaluation in $\Psi\lambda$ -calculus.

- Idea: Stochastically mix lazy and eager evaluation in $\Psi\lambda$ -calculus.
- Ultimately allow **learning** of which computations should be performed in advance and which should be delayed.

- Idea: Stochastically mix lazy and eager evaluation in $\Psi\lambda$ -calculus.
- Ultimately allow **learning** of which computations should be performed in advance and which should be delayed.
- Assume eager evaluation strategy and add delay primitive.

- Idea: Stochastically mix lazy and eager evaluation in $\Psi\lambda$ -calculus.
- Ultimately allow **learning** of which computations should be performed in advance and which should be delayed.
- Assume eager evaluation strategy and add delay primitive.
- Apply to unfold (can be applied fully generally).

```
(define stochastic-lazy-unfold
  (lambda (symbol)
    (if (terminal? symbol)
      symbol
      (map delay-or-unfold (sample-rhs symbol)))))
```

```
(define stochastic-lazy-unfold
  (lambda (symbol)
    (if (terminal? symbol)
      symbol
      (map delay-or-unfold (sample-rhs symbol)))))
```

(define delay-or-unfold (lambda (symbol) (if (flip) (delay (stochastic-lazy-unfold symbol)) (stochastic-lazy-unfold symbol))))

```
(define stochastic-lazy-unfold
 (lambda (symbol)
  (if (terminal? symbol)
     symbol
     (map delay-or-unfold (sample-rhs symbol)))))
```

```
(define delay-or-unfold
 (lambda (symbol)
  (if (flip)
    (delay (stochastic-lazy-unfold symbol))
    (stochastic-lazy-unfold symbol))))
```

Computation Trace with Delay



Computation Trace with Delay



Reusing Delayed Computations

Reusing Delayed Computations

• Need to be able to reuse partial evaluations.

Reusing Delayed Computations

- Need to be able to reuse partial evaluations.
- Memoize stochastically lazy unfold.

Fragment Grammars

```
(define stochastic-lazy-unfold
  (lambda (symbol)
    (if (terminal? symbol)
      symbol
      (map delay-or-unfold (sample-rhs symbol)))))
```

```
(define delay-or-unfold
 (PYMem a b (lambda (symbol)
  (if (flip)
    (delay (stochastic-lazy-unfold symbol))
    (stochastic-lazy-unfold symbol)))))
```

Fragment Grammar Reusable Computations



Fragment Grammar Reusable Computations

I. Always possible to use base grammar.



Fragment Grammar Reusable Computations

Always possible to use base grammar.
 Fully recursive.



Outline

- I. The Proposal.
- 2. Five Models of Productivity and Reuse.
- 3. English Derivational Morphology
- 4. Conclusion

• 4 approaches to productivity and reuse.

- 4 approaches to productivity and reuse.
- Capture historical proposals from the literature.

- 4 approaches to productivity and reuse.
- Capture historical proposals from the literature.
- State-of-the-art probabilistic models.

- 4 approaches to productivity and reuse.
- Capture historical proposals from the literature.
- State-of-the-art probabilistic models.
 - Allow for variability and learning.

MDPCFG

Multinomial-Dirichlet Context-Free Grammars (Full-Parsing)

- All generalizations are productive
- Formalization: *Multinomial-Dirichlet Probabilistic Context-free Grammar* (MDPCFG; Johnson, et al. 2007a)



MAG

MAP Adaptor Grammars (Full-entry)

- Store whole form after first use.
- Formalization: Adaptor Grammars (AG; Johnson, et al. 2007).
- Always possible to compute productively with small probability; Fully recursive.
- Formalizes classic lexicalist theories (e.g., Jackendoff, 1975).



DOPI/GDMN

Data-Oriented Parsing (Exemplar-based)

- Store all generalizations consistent with input
- Formalization: Data-Oriented Parsing 1 (DOP1; Bod, 1998), Data-Oriented Parsing: Goodman Estimator (GDMN; Goodman, 2003)
- Recently proposed as models of syntax (e.g., Snider, 2009; Bod, 2009)


FG Fragment Grammars (Inference-based)

- Store best set of subcomputations for explaining the data.
- Formalization: *Fragment Grammars* (FG; O'Donnell, et al. 2009)
- Generalization of Adaptor Grammars



Outline

- I. The Proposal.
- 2. Five Models of Productivity and Reuse.

3. English Derivational Morphology

English Derivational Morphology

Productive	+ness (goodness), +ly (quickly)
Semi-productive	+ity (ability), +or (operator)
Unproductive	+th (width)

Simulations

- Words from CELEX.
- Extensive heuristic parsing/hand correction.
- Input format.
 - No phonology or semantics.

Derivational Inputs





English Derivational Morphology

Productive	+ness (goodness), +ly (quickly)
Semi-productive	+ity (ability), +or (operator)
Unproductive	+th (width)

- Individual suffix
 productivity differences (-ness/-ity/-th).
- 2. Suffix sequences.

English Derivational Morphology

Productive	+ness (goodness), +ly (quickly)
Semi-productive	+ity (ability), +or (operator)
Unproductive	+th (width)

Ι.	Individual suffix
	productivity differences
	(-ness/-ity/-tn).

2. Suffix combinations.

Productivity

- No gold-standard dataset or measure.
 - E.g., Large databases of *wug*-tests or naturalness judgments.
- Analyses.
 - I. Convergence with other theoretical measures.

How is Productivity Represented?

Relative probability of fragments with or without variables.



Baayen's Corpus-Based Measures

- Baayen's $\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{P}^*$ (e.g., Baayen, 1992)
 - P: Prob(NOVEL | SUFFIX) i.e. rate of growth of forms with suffix
 - \$\mathcal{P}^*\$: Prob(SUFFIX | NOVEL) i.e. rate of growth of vocabulary due to suffix

Productivity Correlations

($\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{P}^*$ values from Hay & Baayen, 2002)

Model	FG (Inference-based)	MDPCFG (Full-parsing)	MAG (Full-listing)	DOPI (Exemplar-based)	GDMN (Exemplar-based)
\mathcal{P}	0.907	-0.0003	0.692	0.346	0.143
\mathcal{P}^*	0.662	0.480	0.568	0.402	0.500

English Derivational Morphology

Productive	+ness (goodness), +ly (quickly)
Semi-productive	+ity (ability), +or (operator)
Unproductive	+th (width)

Ι.	Individual suffix
	productivity differences
	(-ness/-ity/-tn).

^{2.} Suffix combinations.

Generalizable Combinations



Generalizable Combinations



-ity v. -ness

- ness more productive than -ity.
- -ity more productive than -ness after:
 -ile, -able, -(i)an, -ic.

(Anshen & Aronoff, 1981; Aronoff & Schvaneveldt, 1978; Cutler, 1980)

Two Frequent Combinations: -ivity v. -bility

• -ive + -ity: -ivity (e.g., selectivity).

- Speaker prefer to use -ness with novel words (Aronoff & Schvaneveldt, 1978).
- depulsiveness > depulsivity.
- -ble + -ity: **-bility** (e.g., sensibility).
 - Speakers prefer to use -ity with novel words (Anshen & Aronoff, 1981).
 - remortibility > remortibleness.











MDPCFG

(Full-parsing)

-











Discussion

- Inference-based approach able to correctly ignore high token frequency of -ivity because it balances a tradeoff.
- Other models use type or token frequencies.

Outline

- I. The Proposal.
- 2. Five Models of Productivity and Reuse.
- 3. Empirical Evaluation

The English Past Tense

English Derivational Morphology

• View productivity and reuse as an inference.

- View productivity and reuse as an inference.
- Link between theory of programming languages and Bayesian models.

- View productivity and reuse as an inference.
- Link between theory of programming languages and Bayesian models.
- Able to capture dominant patterns **without** semantic and phonological structure.

- View productivity and reuse as an inference.
- Link between theory of programming languages and Bayesian models.
- Able to capture dominant patterns **without** semantic and phonological structure.
 - Future work...

Thanks!