

# Exact three and four-point correlation functions in the $O(n)$ loop model

Jesper Lykke Jacobsen <sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ecole Normale Supérieure, Paris

<sup>2</sup>Sorbonne Université, Paris

<sup>3</sup>Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique, Saclay

Vertex Models: Algebraic and Probabilistic Aspects of Universality,  
Institute for Pure and Applied Mathematics, 22/05/2024

Collaborators:

L. Gräns-Samuelsson (Microsoft), R. Nivesvivat (Beijing),  
S. Ribault (Saclay), P. Roux (ENS), H. Saleur (Saclay)

# Tale of two loop models

## Q-state Potts model


- Q-state spins; interactions have  $S_Q$  permutation symmetry.
- Equivalent loop model on medial lattice [Baxter-Kelland-Wu 1976].
- Respects fixed orientation of lattice edges:  $U(n)$  symmetry.
- Related to integrable 6-vertex model and Temperley-Lieb algebra.
- $S_Q$  commutes with partition algebra  $\mathcal{P}_L(Q)$ , descending to Potts–Temperley–Lieb algebra  $P\mathcal{T}\mathcal{L}_{2L}(\sqrt{Q})$  in  $d = 2$ .

## $O(n)$ model


- Vector spins  $\in \mathbb{R}^n$ ; interactions have  $O(n)$  symmetry.
- Equivalent loop model in  $d = 2$  after modification [Nienhuis 1982].
- Related to integrable 19-vertex model and Motzkin algebra.
- $O(n)$  commutes with Brauer algebra  $\mathcal{B}_L(n)$ , descending to unoriented Jones–Temperley–Lieb algebra  $u\mathcal{J}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{L}_L(n)$  in  $d = 2$ .

# $O(n)$ model [Nienhuis 1982]

loop weight  $n$



Vertex weights 1 and  $K$



All configurations can be built by a transfer matrix:

$$\tilde{R}_k = \begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagdown \diagup \end{array} + K \begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagdown \text{blue} \diagup \end{array} + K \begin{array}{c} \diagup \text{blue} \diagdown \\ \diagdown \diagup \end{array} + K^2 \begin{array}{c} \text{blue} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagdown \diagup \end{array} + K^2 \begin{array}{c} \text{blue} \diagdown \diagup \\ \diagdown \diagup \end{array} + K^2 \begin{array}{c} \text{blue} \diagup \diagdown \\ \text{blue} \diagdown \diagup \end{array} + K^2 \begin{array}{c} \text{blue} \diagdown \diagup \\ \text{blue} \diagup \diagdown \end{array} + K^2 \begin{array}{c} \text{blue} \diagup \diagdown \\ \text{blue} \diagup \diagdown \end{array} + K^2 \begin{array}{c} \text{blue} \diagdown \diagup \\ \text{blue} \diagdown \diagup \end{array}$$

Define the partition function

$$Z(K, n) = \sum_{\text{loops}} K^{\#\text{monomers}} n^{\#\text{loops}} .$$

$$Z(K, n) = \sum_{\text{loops}} K^{\#\text{monomers}} n^{\#\text{loops}} .$$

Monomer fugacity at the critical point:

$$K_c = \left( 2 \pm \sqrt{2 - n} \right)^{-1/2} ,$$

where  $-2 \leq n \leq 2$ . Plus (minus) sign for the dilute (dense) phase.

Special cases:

- $n = 1$  dense: Site percolation
- $n = 1$  dilute: Ising model
- $n = 0$  dilute: Self-avoiding walks
- $n = 2$  either: Gaussian free field, XY model

Most of there are really *logarithmic* CFTs.

Our first objective is to understand the case of 'generic'  $n$ .

# Conformal Field Theory of the $O(n)$ model

Central charge

$$c = 13 - 6\beta^2 - 6\beta^{-2} \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{cases} \Re\beta^2 > 0, \\ \beta^2 \notin \mathbb{Q}. \end{cases}$$

Conformal weight  $\Delta$  and momentum  $P$ :


$$\Delta = P^2 - P_{(1,1)}^2, \quad \Delta_{(r,s)} = P_{(r,s)}^2 - P_{(1,1)}^2, \quad P_{(r,s)} = \frac{1}{2} \left( -\beta r + \beta^{-1} s \right).$$

Field content, with left- and right-moving conformal weights  $(\Delta, \bar{\Delta})$ :


| Name         | Notation                    | Parameters   | $(\Delta, \bar{\Delta})$                 |
|--------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Degenerate   | $V_{\langle r,s \rangle}^d$ | $r = 1; s \in 2\mathbb{N} + 1$                               | $(\Delta_{(r,s)}, \Delta_{(r,s)})$       |
| Diagonal     | $V_P$                       | $P \in \mathbb{C}$   | $(P^2 - P_{(1,1)}^2, P^2 - P_{(1,1)}^2)$ |
| Non-diagonal | $V_{(r,s)}$                 | $r \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}^*; s \in \frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}$ | $(\Delta_{(r,s)}, \Delta_{(-r,s)})$      |

Interpretation of fields within the loop model:

Diagonal and non-diagonal fields



$w(P)$




$\exp \frac{i}{2} s \sum_{k=1}^{2r} \theta_k$

$V_{\langle 1,3 \rangle}^d$  is the energy operator.

The dense  $O(n)$  model has a CFT limit iff  $V_{\langle 1,3 \rangle}^d$  is irrelevant:

$$\Re \Delta_{(1,3)} > 1 \iff \Re \beta^{-2} > 1 .$$

# Dream about correlation functions



Here  $\bullet$  are  $V_{(r,s)}$  insertions, and  $\times$  are  $V_P$  insertions.

Open curves define a *combinatorial map* on a Riemann surface.

Segal's axioms: Three basic building blocks

1) Annulus with one insertion, 2) Disk with two insertions, 3) Pants.

The blocks are glued by integrating over eigenstates.

# Progress this far

- Fields related to irreps of affine Temperley-Lieb algebra,  $ATL_L(n)$ .
- Bijection between correlation functions and combinatorial maps.
- Conformal symmetry enhanced to *interchiral symmetry* via  $V_{\langle 1,3 \rangle}^d$ .
- Global  $O(n)$  symmetry in interplay with conformal symmetry.

First goal is to understand  $N \leq 4$  points on the sphere.


- $N = 2$  understood from critical exponents.
- $N = 3$  conjecturally understood in all cases.
- $N = 4$  from conformal bootstrap. Partial analytical control.

The talk summarises this progress.



# Diagrammatic algebras

Partition algebra:



Generators:

$$p_i = \begin{array}{c} \dots \quad i \quad i+1 \quad \dots \\ \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \times \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \\ \dots \quad \dots \quad \times \quad \dots \quad \dots \\ \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \\ \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \end{array}, \quad s_i = \begin{array}{c} \dots \quad i \quad \dots \\ \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \\ \dots \quad \dots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \dots \\ \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \\ \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \end{array}, \quad s_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{array}{c} \dots \quad i \quad i+1 \quad \dots \\ \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \\ \dots \quad \dots \quad \cap \quad \dots \quad \dots \\ \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \\ \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \end{array}$$

$$1 \leq i \leq L-1 \qquad 1 \leq i \leq L \qquad 1 \leq i \leq L-1$$

From this we can construct the TL generator:

$$e_i = s_{i+\frac{1}{2}} s_i s_{i+1} s_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{array}{c} \dots \quad \dots \quad \overset{i}{\curvearrowright} \quad \overset{i+1}{\curvearrowleft} \quad \dots \quad \dots \\ | \quad | \quad | \quad | \quad | \quad | \\ \dots \quad \dots \quad \curvearrowright \quad \curvearrowleft \quad \dots \quad \dots \end{array}$$

To get the periodic algebra  $\mathcal{ATL}_L(n)$  we add:

$$e_L = \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \quad | \quad \dots \quad | \quad \curvearrowleft \\ | \quad | \quad \dots \quad | \quad | \\ \curvearrowleft \quad | \quad \dots \quad | \quad \curvearrowright \end{array}, \quad u = \begin{array}{c} / \quad / \quad / \quad \dots \quad / \quad / \\ \backslash \quad \backslash \quad \backslash \quad \dots \quad \backslash \quad \backslash \end{array}$$

Define also the pseudo-translation  $t$  of the  $2r \in \mathbb{N}^*$  through-lines:

$$\begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \quad | \quad \curvearrowright \\ \backslash \quad | \quad / \\ \curvearrowleft \quad | \quad \curvearrowleft \end{array} \xrightarrow{t} \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \quad \curvearrowright \quad \curvearrowright \\ \backslash \quad \backslash \quad \backslash \\ \curvearrowleft \quad \curvearrowleft \quad \curvearrowleft \end{array}$$

$\mathcal{JTL}_L(n)$  is  $\infty$ -dimensional. A finite-dimensional quotient, the unoriented Jones–Temperley–Lieb algebra  $u\mathcal{JTL}_L(n)$ , is obtained by replacing non-contractible loops by  $n$  and imposing

$$t^{2r} \underset{u\mathcal{JTL}_L(n)}{=} 1 .$$

The standard modules  $W_{(r,s)}^{(L)}$  are irreps of  $u\mathcal{JTL}_L(n)$ , spanned by link patterns with  $2r$  defects. E.g. for  $W_{(1,s)}^{(10)}$ :



We have

$$(t - e^{\pi i s}) W_{(r,s)}^{(L)} = 0 .$$

The labels  $(r, s)$  carry over to the CFT.

# Conformal partition function on the torus

Obtained by Di Francesco-Saleur-Zuber in 1987.

Let  $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$  with  $\tau$  the modulus, and  $\eta(q)$  is the Dedekind function.

$$Z^{O(n)}(q) = \sum_{s \in 2\mathbb{N}+1} \chi_{\langle 1,s \rangle}(q) + \sum_{r \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}^*} \sum_{s \in \frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}} L_{(r,s)}(n) \chi_{(r,s)}^N(q)$$

with the diagonal degenerate characters

$$\chi_{\langle r,s \rangle}(q) = \left| \frac{q^{P^2_{(r,s)}} - q^{P^2_{(r,-s)}}}{\eta(q)} \right|^2,$$

and the non-diagonal characters

$$\chi_{(r,s)}^N(q) = \frac{q^{P^2_{(r,s)}} \bar{q}^{P^2_{(r,-s)}}}{|\eta(q)|^2}.$$

$$Z^{O(n)}(q) = \sum_{s \in 2\mathbb{N}+1} \chi_{\langle 1, s \rangle}(q) + \sum_{r \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}^*} \sum_{s \in \frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}} L_{(r,s)}(n) \chi_{(r,s)}^N(q)$$

We have the Virasoro representations:

$\mathcal{R}_{\langle 1, s \rangle} =$  diagonal level- $s$  degenerate rep. with character  $\chi_{\langle 1, s \rangle}(q)$ ,

$\mathcal{W}_{(r,s)} =$  indecomposable rep. with character  $\chi_{(r,s)}^N(q) + \chi_{(r,-s)}^N(q)$ ,

$\mathcal{W}_{(r,s)} =$  Verma module with character  $\chi_{(r,s)}^N(q)$  .  
 $r \notin \mathbb{Z}^*$  or  $s \notin \mathbb{Z}^*$

The multiplicities  $L_{(r,s)}(n)$  were obtained by Read-Saleur in 2001:

$$L_{(r,s)}(n) = \delta_{r,1} \delta_{s \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} + \frac{1}{2r} \sum_{r'=0}^{2r-1} e^{\pi i r' s} x_{(2r) \wedge r'}(n),$$

with polynomials  $x_d(n)$  defined by

$$x_0(n) = 2 \quad , \quad x_1(n) = n \quad , \quad n x_d(n) = x_{d-1}(n) + x_{d+1}(n) .$$

# Global $O(n)$ symmetry

This looks like Schur-Weyl duality.

Indeed we have both CFT and  $O(n)$  symmetry.

$O(n)$  can be defined for  $n \in \mathbb{C}$  (Deligne category).

Under the global  $O(n)$  symmetry, primary operators transform in irreps:

$$[] : \bullet, \quad [2] : \square\square, \quad [11] : \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad [5421] : \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square & \\ \hline \square & \square & & & \\ \hline \square & & & & \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

Known dimensions and tensor products (Newell-Littlewood numbers).

Loop-model interpretation:

Each loop carries  $[1]$ , the fundamental (defining) representation.

Empty space corresponds to  $[\ ]$ , the trivial representation.

$$Z^{O(n)}(q) = \sum_{s \in 2\mathbb{N}+1} \chi_{\langle 1, s \rangle}(q) + \sum_{r \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}^*} \sum_{s \in \frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}} L_{(r,s)}(n) \chi_{(r,s)}^N(q)$$

The proper way to understand it is that the  $O(n)$  CFT has a space of states (spectrum)

$$\mathcal{S}^{O(n)} = \bigoplus_{s \in 2\mathbb{N}+1} [ ] \otimes \mathcal{R}_{\langle 1, s \rangle} \oplus \bigoplus_{r \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}^*} \bigoplus_{s \in \frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda_{(r,s)} \otimes \mathcal{W}_{(r,s)}$$

acted upon by  $O(n) \times \mathfrak{C}$ , where  $\mathfrak{C}$  is conformal symmetry.

So  $\dim_{O(n)} \Lambda_{(r,s)} = L_{(r,s)}(n)$ . And of course  $\dim_{O(n)} [ ] = 1$ .

Introduce the formal alternating hook representations

$$\Lambda_t = \delta_{t \equiv 0 \pmod{2}}[\ ] + \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} (-1)^k [t - k, 1^k].$$

We find then

$$\Lambda_{(r,s)} = \delta_{r,1} \delta_{s \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1}[\ ] + \frac{1}{2r} \sum_{r'=0}^{2r-1} e^{\pi i r' s} x_{(2r) \wedge r'} \left( \Lambda_{\frac{2r}{(2r) \wedge r'}} \right).$$

There exists an equivalent formula which makes clear that the expansion coefficients of Young tableaux  $\in \mathbb{N}$ .



Let us have a closer look:

$$\Lambda_{(\frac{1}{2},0)} = [1] ,$$

$$\Lambda_{(1,0)} = [2] ,$$

$$\Lambda_{(1,1)} = [11] ,$$

$$\Lambda_{(\frac{3}{2},0)} = [3] + [111] ,$$

$$\Lambda_{(\frac{3}{2},\frac{2}{3})} = [21] ,$$

$$\Lambda_{(2,0)} = [4] + [22] + [211] + [2] + [] ,$$

$$\Lambda_{(2,\frac{1}{2})} = [31] + [211] + [11] ,$$

$$\Lambda_{(2,1)} = [31] + [22] + [1111] + [2] .$$

We also have e.g.  $[1] \otimes [1] = [2] + [11] + []$ .

This tells us how to decompose two loop lines on  $O(n)$  irreps.

# Consequences for correlation functions

Two-point functions are given by the conformal dimensions, up to normalisation of the field.

Three-point functions are also fixed by global conformal invariance, up to structure constants.

Four-point functions could be determined by differential equations, if both  $V_{\langle 1,s \rangle}^d$  and  $V_{\langle r,1 \rangle}^d$  were present, but we only have the former!

Therefore we need the *conformal bootstrap*.

But we can do better than usual for two reasons:

- $V_{\langle 1,3 \rangle}^d$  generates an *interchiral symmetry*.
- We can exploit the global  $O(n)$  symmetry.

Consider a four-point function of non-diagonal primary fields, and its s-channel decomposition into conformal blocks:

$$\left\langle \prod_{i=1}^4 V_{(r_i, s_i)} \right\rangle = \sum_{s \in 2\mathbb{N}+1} D_s \mathcal{G}_{\langle 1, s \rangle}^D + \sum_{r \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}^*} \sum_{s \in \frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}} D_{(r, s)} \mathcal{G}_{(r, s)} .$$

The blocks are known from Zamolodchikov's recursion relation.

Degenerate shift equations using  $V_{\langle 1, 3 \rangle}^d$  determine  $\frac{D_{(r, s+1)}}{D_{(r, s-1)}}$  and  $\frac{D_{s+1}}{D_{s-1}}$ .

So rewrite

$$\left\langle \prod_{i=1}^4 V_{(r_i, s_i)} \right\rangle = D_{s_0} \mathcal{H}_{s_0} + \sum_{r \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}^*} \sum_{s \in \frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z} \cap (-1, 1]} D_{(r, s)} \mathcal{H}_{(r, s)} ,$$

in terms of interchiral blocks

$$\mathcal{H}_{s_0} = \sum_{s \in s_0 + 2\mathbb{N}} \frac{D_s}{D_{s_0}} \mathcal{G}_{\langle 1, s \rangle}^D , \quad \mathcal{H}_{(r, s)} = \sum_{j \in 2\mathbb{N}} \frac{D_{(r, s+j)}}{D_{(r, s)}} \mathcal{G}_{(r, s+j)} .$$

Solve then the crossing equations

$$\sum_{V \in \mathcal{S}^{(s)}} D_V^{(s)} \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \diagdown \\ \text{---} V \text{---} \\ \diagup \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 3 \\ \diagup \\ \text{---} \\ \diagdown \\ 4 \end{array} = \sum_{V \in \mathcal{S}^{(t)}} D_V^{(t)} \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \diagdown \\ \text{---} V \text{---} \\ \diagup \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 3 \\ \diagup \\ \text{---} \\ \diagdown \\ 4 \end{array} = \sum_{V \in \mathcal{S}^{(u)}} D_V^{(u)} \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \diagdown \\ \text{---} \\ \diagup \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 3 \\ \diagup \\ \text{---} \\ \diagdown \\ 4 \end{array}$$

s-channel
t-channel
u-channel

We know the spectrum. And we can constrain the solution space by fixing the  $O(n)$  symmetry of the exchanged fields  $V$ .

In favourable cases this gives a unique (numerical) solution.

Conjecture: Each solutions to the crossing equations gives a valid correlation function in the  $O(n)$  CFT.

We have computed the 30 correlation functions with  $\sum_{i=1}^4 r_i = 2, 3, 4$ .

We have two ways to prove

$$\Lambda_{(r,s)} = \delta_{r,1} \delta_{s \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} [[]] + \frac{1}{2r} \sum_{r'=0}^{2r-1} e^{\pi i r' s} \chi_{(2r) \wedge r'} \left( \Lambda_{\frac{2r}{(2r) \wedge r'}} \right).$$

1st proof: Compute the torus partition function twisted by a non-trivial group element of  $O(n)$ . This produces the character  $\Lambda_{(r,s)}$ , not just its dimension  $L_{(r,s)}(n)$  as in Read-Saleur (2001).


2nd proof: The commutant of  $O(n)$  on  $\mathcal{S}_L^{O(n)}$  is the Brauer algebra,  $\mathcal{B}_L(n)$ , generated by  $e_i$  and  $p_i$ . But in  $d = 2$ , it reduces to  $u\mathcal{JTL}_L(n)$ .

Hence we must compute the branching rules  $\mathcal{B}_L(n) \downarrow u\mathcal{JTL}_L(n)$ .


This is a solvable combinatorial problem.

# Combinatorial maps

A (connected) *combinatorial map* is a (connected) graph, together with a cyclic permutation of the half-edges around each vertex. Monogons are forbidden.



A map is *weakly connected* if it cannot be split into two non-trivial maps (a sphere with 0 or 1 vertex).







This map is not weakly connected (it should have 'used' the handle).

Number of maps  $|\mathcal{M}_{g,N}(r_i)|$  and of weakly connected maps  $|\mathcal{M}_{g,N}^c(r_i)|$ .  
 Genus  $g$ , number of points  $N$ , vertex valencies  $2r_i$ .

$$|\mathcal{M}_{0,4}^c| = \left\lfloor \sum_{i=1}^4 r_i^2 - \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Signature of a planar map with four vertices:



A map  $M$  is weakly connected iff  $\forall x \in \{s, t, u\}, \sigma_x(M) > 0$ .

# Conjectures

For any  $N$ -point function of diagonal and non-diagonal fields, the dimension of the space of solutions of conformal bootstrap equation with spectra made only of non-diagonal fields is  $\left| \mathcal{M}_{g,N}^c(r_i) \right|$ .

The critical limit of a loop model correlation function is a solution of the conformal bootstrap equations.

The set of correlation functions is a basis of solutions of the corresponding conformal bootstrap equations.



# Digression on the Barnes double gamma function

Recall  $c = 13 - 6\beta^2 - 6\beta^{-2}$  and set  $Q = \beta + \beta^{-1}$ .

For  $\Re x > 0$  define  $\Gamma_\beta(x)$  through

$$\log \Gamma_\beta(x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \left[ \frac{e^{-xt} - e^{-Qt/2}}{(1 - e^{-\beta t})(1 - e^{-t/\beta})} - \frac{(Q/2 - x)^2}{2e^t} - \frac{Q/2 - x}{t} \right]$$

and the shift equations


$$\frac{\Gamma_\beta(x + \beta)}{\Gamma_\beta(x)} = \sqrt{2\pi} \frac{\beta^{\beta x - \frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\beta x)} \quad , \quad \frac{\Gamma_\beta(x + \beta^{-1})}{\Gamma_\beta(x)} = \sqrt{2\pi} \frac{\beta^{\frac{1}{2} - \beta^{-1}x}}{\Gamma(\beta^{-1}x)} .$$

Sometimes one defines also the upsilon function

$$\Upsilon_\beta(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_\beta(x)\Gamma_\beta(Q - x)} .$$

# 4-point functions of diagonal and non-diagonal fields

In addition to monomer weight  $K$  and bulk loop weight  $n$ , define *vertex weights*  $w_i$  (with  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) and *channel weights*  $w_x$  (with  $x = s, t, u$ ):



At most one of the loop types  $w_x$  can exist in a given configuration.

In the lattice model, define  $C^{\text{loop}}(L, \ell | K, n, w_i, w_x)$ , with  $L$  the size and  $\ell$  the separation between  $z_1, z_2$  and  $z_3, z_4$  (in the  $s$ -channel).

We find the s-channel decomposition

$$C^{\text{loop}}(L, \ell | K, n, \mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{w}_x) = \sum_{\omega \in S(L)} A_{\omega}(L | K, n, \mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{w}_x) \left( \frac{\Lambda_{\omega}(L | K, n, \mathbf{w}_s)}{\Lambda_{\max}(L | K, n, \mathbf{w}_s)} \right)^{\ell},$$

with  $(\Lambda_{\omega})_{\omega \in S(L)}$  the  $\mathcal{ATL}_L(n)$  spectrum of transfer matrix eigenvalues.

Remarkable that only  $\mathcal{ATL}_L(n)$  eigenvalues participate here!

Define ratios wrt different values of the weights:  $f(x : x') = \frac{f(x)}{f(x')}$ .

Even more remarkably, we find that

$$A_{(r,s),\rho}(L | K, n, \mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{w}_x : \mathbf{w}'_x) = D_{(r,s)}^{(s)}(n, \mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{w}_x : \mathbf{w}'_x).$$

Here  $\omega = (r, s), \rho$ , where  $\rho$  labels states in the same module  $(r, s)$ . There is no dependence on  $\rho, L$  and  $K$ . Hence the amplitudes have **nothing to do with CFT** and should be computable from  $\mathcal{ATL}_L(n)$ .

Looks like a Wigner-Eckart theorem, but lifted from QM to  $\mathcal{ATL}_L(n)$ .

## Reference 2- and 3-point structure constants

Omitting the known coordinate dependence, define:

$$\langle V_1 V_2 \rangle = \delta_{12} B_1 \quad , \quad \langle V_1 V_2 V_3 \rangle = C_{123} .$$

For non-diagonal fields, set:

$$B_{(r,s)}^{\text{ref}} = \frac{(-)^{rs}}{2 \sin(\pi(\text{frac}(r) + s)) \sin(\pi(r + \beta^{-2}s))} \prod_{\pm, \pm} \Gamma_{\beta}^{-1}(\beta \pm \beta r \pm \beta^{-1} s) ,$$

$$C_{(r_1, s_1)(r_2, s_2)(r_3, s_3)}^{\text{ref}} = \prod_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3 = \pm} \Gamma_{\beta}^{-1} \left( \frac{\beta + \beta^{-1}}{2} + \frac{\beta}{2} |\sum_i \epsilon_i r_i| + \frac{\beta^{-1}}{2} \sum_i \epsilon_i s_i \right) .$$

For diag fields, set  $V_P = V_{(0, 2\beta P)}$ , so  $C_{(0, 2\beta P_1)(0, 2\beta P_2)(0, 2\beta P_3)}^{\text{ref}} = C_{P_1, P_2, P_3}$ .

When  $w_i \equiv 0$ ,  $C_{P_1, P_2, P_3}$  gives the probability that three points belong to the same FK cluster [Delfino-Viti, 2013; Ikhlef-J-Saleur, 2016].

# Normalised 4-point structure constants

$$D_{(r,s)}^{(x)} = \frac{C_{(r_1,s_1)(r_2,s_2)(r,s)}^{\text{ref}} C_{(r,s)(r_3,s_3)(r_4,s_4)}^{\text{ref}}}{B_{(r,s)}^{\text{ref}}} d_{(r,s)}^{(x)}$$

Combining analytical arguments with numerical bootstrap and transfer matrices, we find that  $d_{(r,s)}^{(x)}$  is a polynomial in  $n = -2 \cos(\pi\beta^2)$ , with  $\beta$ -independent coefficients and  $\deg_n d_{(r,s)}^{(x)} \leq r(r-1)$ .

If the  $x$ -channel decomposition involves a diagonal field  $V_{P_x}$ , then  $d_{(r,s)}^{(x)}$  is also polynomial in  $w(P)$ .

If some  $V_i = V_{P_i}$  is diagonal, then  $d_{(r,s)}^{(x)}$  is polynomial in  $w_i = w(P_i)$ .


The dependence on  $w_x = w(P_x)$  becomes polynomial after we subtract a rational term that is needed for the 4-point function to be holomorphic in  $P_x$ .

# Results on 3-point structure constants

Not clear how to factorise 4-point structure constants on 3-point ones, since several fields can have the same dimension.

But we find that 3-point structure constants of combinatorial maps are simply given by  $C_{(r_1, s_1)(r_2, s_2)(r_3, s_3)}^{\text{ref}}$ . TM check for a dozen of cases.

E.g.  $C_{(1,0)(1,0)(1,0)}^{\text{ref}}$  gives the probability that 3 points  $\in$  same loop.



Xin Sun et al. have an unpublished proof of this one case (using  $CLE_{\kappa}$ ).