Homological Percolation on a Torus

Paul Duncan (joint with Matt Kahle and Ben Schweinhart)

May 7, 2024



Percolation theory studies the large scale properties of graphs as vertices or edges are removed at random.

Percolation theory studies the large scale properties of graphs as vertices or edges are removed at random.

Let G be a finite graph. Bernoulli bond percolation with parameter p is the random subgraph obtained by including each edge of G independently at random with probability p, so the probability of a given subgraph is given by

$$\mu_{p}(H) = p^{|E(H)|} (1-p)^{|E(G)|-|E(H)|}$$

The Random-Cluster Model

The random-cluster model is a dependent generalization that adds additional weight to the subgraphs depending on c(H), the number of connected components of H, yielding

$$\mu_{p,q}(H) = \frac{1}{Z} p^{|E(H)|} (1-p)^{|E(G)|-|E(H)|} q^{c(H)}$$

The Random-Cluster Model

The random-cluster model is a dependent generalization that adds additional weight to the subgraphs depending on c(H), the number of connected components of H, yielding

$$\mu_{p,q}(H) = \frac{1}{Z} p^{|E(H)|} (1-p)^{|E(G)|-|E(H)|} q^{c(H)}$$

In this talk we will assume $q \ge 1$, so the edges are positively associated (when q = 1 this is just the Bernoulli model).

The random-cluster model is a dependent generalization that adds additional weight to the subgraphs depending on c(H), the number of connected components of H, yielding

$$\mu_{p,q}(H) = \frac{1}{Z} p^{|E(H)|} (1-p)^{|E(G)|-|E(H)|} q^{c(H)}$$

In this talk we will assume $q \ge 1$, so the edges are positively associated (when q = 1 this is just the Bernoulli model).

The random-cluster model is related to the lsing/Potts models of magnetism, and can be extended to infinite graphs via limits of finite graphs, the main graph of interest being \mathbb{Z}^d .

Plaquette Percolation

Aizenman, Chayes, Chayes, Frölich and Russo introduced plaquette percolation, in which unit squares called plaquettes are added in to the cubic lattice with probability p independently.

Plaquette Percolation

Aizenman, Chayes, Chayes, Frölich and Russo introduced plaquette percolation, in which unit squares called plaquettes are added in to the cubic lattice with probability p independently.

We can think of this as the 2-dimensional generalization of bond percolation, since edges are the 1-cells of the cubical complex structure on \mathbb{Z}^3 .



The plaquette model also admits a dependent generalization, which is naturally expressed in terms of homology.

The plaquette model also admits a dependent generalization, which is naturally expressed in terms of homology.

Recall that the boundary of an oriented edge is the difference of the tip and tail vertices.

The plaquette model also admits a dependent generalization, which is naturally expressed in terms of homology.

Recall that the boundary of an oriented edge is the difference of the tip and tail vertices.

The boundary of an oriented plaquette is an sum of the oriented edges that it contains.

$$e_3$$
 σ e_2 $\partial \sigma = e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4$

The boundary of a sum of cells is the formal sum of the boundaries.



The boundary of a sum of cells is the formal sum of the boundaries.



The (i - 1)-dimensional boundary group of a percolation subcomplex P with coefficients in a group G, written $B_{i-1}(P; G)$ is the group of G -linear sums of (i - 1)-cells that are boundaries of sums of *i*-plaquettes.

The boundary of a sum of cells is the formal sum of the boundaries.



The (i - 1)-dimensional boundary group of a percolation subcomplex P with coefficients in a group G, written $B_{i-1}(P; G)$ is the group of G -linear sums of (i - 1)-cells that are boundaries of sums of *i*-plaquettes.

The (i - 1)-dimensional cycle group $Z_{i-1}(P; G)$ is the group of sums of (i - 1)-cells with zero boundary.

The boundary of a sum of cells is the formal sum of the boundaries.



The (i - 1)-dimensional boundary group of a percolation subcomplex P with coefficients in a group G, written $B_{i-1}(P; G)$ is the group of G -linear sums of (i - 1)-cells that are boundaries of sums of *i*-plaquettes.

The (i - 1)-dimensional cycle group $Z_{i-1}(P; G)$ is the group of sums of (i - 1)-cells with zero boundary.

The (i-1)-dimensional homology group is then defined as $H_{i-1}(P; G) \coloneqq Z_{i-1}(P; G) / B_{i-1}(P; G)$.

The Plaquette Random-Cluster Model

One can easily check that $|H_0(P; \mathbb{Z}_q)| = q^{c(P)}$.

One can easily check that $|H_0(P; \mathbb{Z}_q)| = q^{c(P)}$.

We therefore define the *i*-dimensional plaquette random-cluster model with parameter $q \in \mathbb{N}$ on a finite subcomplex $X \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ by

$$\mu_{p,q,i}(P) = \frac{1}{Z} p^{|P|} (1-p)^{|X^i| - |P|} |H_{i-1}(P; \mathbb{Z}_q)| ,$$

where |P| is the number of *i*-plaquettes in *P* and $|X^i|$ is the number of *i*-cells in *X*.

The study of classical percolation in \mathbb{Z}^d centers around the phase transition for the appearance of an infinite component at the critical probability

$$p_{c}\left(q
ight)=\inf\left\{p:\mu_{p,q}\left(0\leftrightarrow\infty
ight)>0
ight\}$$
 .

The study of classical percolation in \mathbb{Z}^d centers around the phase transition for the appearance of an infinite component at the critical probability

$$p_{c}\left(q
ight)=\inf\left\{p:\mu_{p,q}\left(0\leftrightarrow\infty
ight)>0
ight\}$$
 .

It is not clear how best to generalize the infinite component. However, better topological tools are available in compact spaces.

Homological Percolation

Instead of \mathbb{Z}^d , consider a compact space with the same local structure, namely the torus \mathbb{T}^d_N of dimension d and diameter N.

Homological Percolation

Instead of \mathbb{Z}^d , consider a compact space with the same local structure, namely the torus \mathbb{T}^d_N of dimension d and diameter N.

First, we compare the usual 1-dimensional percolation in each setting.

Homological Percolation

Instead of \mathbb{Z}^d , consider a compact space with the same local structure, namely the torus \mathbb{T}^d_N of dimension d and diameter N.

First, we compare the usual 1-dimensional percolation in each setting.

A "global" loop in the torus \mathbb{T}_d^N for large N is a natural analogue of an infinite path in \mathbb{Z}^d .



Source: Bobrowski and Skraba



The global loops are the ones which are not boundaries in the full torus.



The global loops are the ones which are not boundaries in the full torus.

More precisely, we say that a cycle is giant if it has a nonzero image under the map on homology $\phi_* : H_1(P) \to H_1(\mathbb{T}^d_N)$ induced by the inclusion $P \hookrightarrow \mathbb{T}^d_N$.

In *i*-dimensional plaquette percolation, we instead look for the appearance of giant i-cycles.

In *i*-dimensional plaquette percolation, we instead look for the appearance of giant *i*-cycles.

For example, a giant 2-cycle is closed "surface" of 2-plaquettes that is not the boundary of a sum of 3-cubes.



There are many possible giant cycles, but the rank of the homology group is $\binom{d}{i}$.

There are many possible giant cycles, but the rank of the homology group is $\binom{d}{i}$.

Let $A = A(\mathbb{F})$ be the event that P has a giant cycle in homology with coefficients in a field \mathbb{F} and $S = S(\mathbb{F})$ be the event P has representatives for all equivalence classes of giant cycles (i.e. ϕ_* is surjective).



Theorem (D., Schweinhart, 22)

For every $d \ge 2$, $1 \le i \le d-1$, $q \in \mathbb{N}$, and field \mathbb{F} with char $(F) \ne 2$, there is a function $\lambda = \lambda (q, d, i, N)$ so that for every $\epsilon > 0$

 $egin{cases} \mu_{\lambda-\epsilon,q,i,N}\left(\mathcal{A}
ight)
ightarrow0\ \mu_{\lambda+\epsilon,q,i,N}\left(\mathcal{S}
ight)
ightarrow1 \end{cases}$

as $N \to \infty$. When d is even, we also have

$$\lambda(q,d,d/2,N) = rac{\sqrt{q}}{1+\sqrt{q}}.$$

Duality between random-cluster models in complementary dimensions

Duality between random-cluster models in complementary dimensions

The action of the symmetries of the torus on giant cycles

Duality between random-cluster models in complementary dimensions

The action of the symmetries of the torus on giant cycles

Sharp thresholds in symmetric boolean functions

In the plane, the is a correspondence between percolation subgraphs and subgraphs of the dual graph.



In the plane, the is a correspondence between percolation subgraphs and subgraphs of the dual graph.



The dual to the classical random-cluster model with parameters p, q in the plane is also a random-cluster model, but with parameters p^*, q , where $p^* = \frac{(1-p)q}{p+(1-p)q}$.

Analogously, the random complex on \mathbb{T}_N^d distributed as $\mu_{p,q,i,N}$ has a dual complex that differs from $\mu_{p^*,q,d-i,N}$ by at most a constant factor that depends only on p, q, and i.



Planar Duality

Our main topological tool is a generalization of the square crossing lemma used to prove the Harris-Kesten Theorem on Bernoulli bond percolation in \mathbb{Z}^2 .

Lemma (Kesten, 82)

Let V be the event that there is a vertical crossing of an $n \times (n+1)$ rectangle R. Then $\mathbb{P}_{1/2}(V) = 1/2$.

Planar Duality

Our main topological tool is a generalization of the square crossing lemma used to prove the Harris-Kesten Theorem on Bernoulli bond percolation in \mathbb{Z}^2 .

Lemma (Kesten, 82)

Let V be the event that there is a vertical crossing of an $n \times (n+1)$ rectangle R. Then $\mathbb{P}_{1/2}(V) = 1/2$.



Source: Bollobás and Riordan

Planar Duality

Our main topological tool is a generalization of the square crossing lemma used to prove the Harris-Kesten Theorem on Bernoulli bond percolation in \mathbb{Z}^2 .

Lemma (Kesten, 82)

Let V be the event that there is a vertical crossing of an $n \times (n+1)$ rectangle R. Then $\mathbb{P}_{1/2}(V) = 1/2$.



Source: Bollobás and Riordan

Duality

Let Z be the event that there are no giant cycles in P, i.e. ϕ_* is zero. Let $A^{\bullet}, S^{\bullet}, Z^{\bullet}$ be the corresponding events in the dual plaquette system P^{\bullet} and let ψ_* be the map on homology induced by the inclusion $P^{\bullet} \to \mathbb{T}_N^d$.

Duality

Let Z be the event that there are no giant cycles in P, i.e. ϕ_* is zero. Let $A^{\bullet}, S^{\bullet}, Z^{\bullet}$ be the corresponding events in the dual plaquette system P^{\bullet} and let ψ_* be the map on homology induced by the inclusion $P^{\bullet} \to \mathbb{T}_N^d$.

Let $D = \operatorname{rank} H_i(\mathbb{T}^d) = \binom{d}{i}$.

Duality

Let Z be the event that there are no giant cycles in P, i.e. ϕ_* is zero. Let $A^{\bullet}, S^{\bullet}, Z^{\bullet}$ be the corresponding events in the dual plaquette system P^{\bullet} and let ψ_* be the map on homology induced by the inclusion $P^{\bullet} \to \mathbb{T}_N^d$.

Let
$$D = \operatorname{rank} H_i(\mathbb{T}^d) = \binom{d}{i}$$
.

Lemma (Duality)

rank ϕ_* + rank $\psi_* = D$. In particular, at least one of the events A and A^{\bullet} occurs, $S^{\bullet} \iff Z$, and $Z^{\bullet} \iff S$.

Plaquette Duality

Lemma

 $\mathbb{T}^d_N \setminus P$ is homotopy equivalent to P^{\bullet} .

Plaquette Duality

Lemma

$\mathbb{T}^d_N \setminus P$ is homotopy equivalent to P^{\bullet} .



The duality lemma follows from the above observation and a version of Alexander duality, which tells us that a decomposition of a manifold yields pieces with related topological properties.

Giant Cycles via Symmetries

Lemma

Suppose char (\mathbb{F}) $\neq 2$. Then there exist constants $b_0 = b_0(D) > 0$ and $b_1 = b_1(D) > 0$ that do not depend on N so that

 $\mu_{\rho,q,i,N}\left(S\right) \geq b_{0}\mu_{\rho,q,i,N}\left(A\right)^{b_{1}}.$

Giant Cycles via Symmetries

Lemma

Suppose char (\mathbb{F}) $\neq 2$. Then there exist constants $b_0 = b_0(D) > 0$ and $b_1 = b_1(D) > 0$ that do not depend on N so that

$$\mu_{p,q,i,N}\left(S\right) \geq b_{0}\mu_{p,q,i,N}\left(A\right)^{b_{1}}$$

By the FKG inequality, the probability of the existence of two given giant cycles is at least the product of the probabilities that each exist.

Giant Cycles via Symmetries

Lemma

Suppose char (\mathbb{F}) $\neq 2$. Then there exist constants $b_0 = b_0(D) > 0$ and $b_1 = b_1(D) > 0$ that do not depend on N so that

 $\mu_{p,q,i,N}(S) \ge b_0 \mu_{p,q,i,N}(A)^{b_1}$.

By the FKG inequality, the probability of the existence of two given giant cycles is at least the product of the probabilities that each exist.

The span of the orbit of a giant cycle under the symmetries of the torus is the entire giant cycle space when the characteristic of \mathbb{F} is not 2.

Sharp Thresholds

The theory of boolean functions on the hypercube tells us that symmetric increasing events for FKG measures have sharp thresholds.

Theorem (Graham, Grimmett 06)

There exists a constant $0 < C < \infty$ so that the following holds. Let $N \ge 1$, $I = \{1, ..., N\}$, $\Omega = \{0, 1\}^N$, and let \mathcal{F} be the set of subsets of Ω . Let $A \in \mathcal{F}$ be an increasing event. Let μ be a positive monotonic probability measure on (Ω, \mathscr{F}) . Let $X_i = \omega(i)$ and set $p = \mu(X_i = 1)$. If there exists a subgroup \mathcal{A} of the symmetric group on N elements Π_N acting transitively on I so that μ and A are \mathcal{A} -invariant, then

$$\frac{d}{dp}\mu_{p}\left(A\right) \geq \frac{C\mu_{p}\left(X_{1}\right)\left(1-\mu_{p}\left(X_{1}\right)\right)}{p\left(1-p\right)}\min\left\{\mu_{p}\left(A\right),1-\mu_{p}\left(A\right)\right\}\log N.$$

Take λ so that $\mu_{\lambda,q,i,N}(A) = 1/2$

Take λ so that $\mu_{\lambda,q,i,N}\left(A\right) = 1/2$

Using the symmetries of the torus, we can find a c > 0 such that $\mu_{\lambda,q,i,N}(S) > c$.

Take λ so that $\mu_{\lambda,q,i,N}\left(A\right) = 1/2$

Using the symmetries of the torus, we can find a c > 0 such that $\mu_{\lambda,q,i,N}(S) > c$.

By the Graham-Grimmett Theorem, the thresholds for A and S are sharp and coincide.

Take λ so that $\mu_{\lambda,q,i,N}\left(A\right) = 1/2$

Using the symmetries of the torus, we can find a c > 0 such that $\mu_{\lambda,q,i,N}(S) > c$.

By the Graham-Grimmett Theorem, the thresholds for A and S are sharp and coincide.

The duality lemma implies that dual models have complementary thresholds, so in particular

$$\lambda(q,d,d/2,N) = rac{\sqrt{q}}{1+\sqrt{q}}$$

Thanks!



23

References

Aizenman, M., Chayes, J. T., Chayes, L., Fröhlich, J., & Russo, L. (1983). On a sharp transition from area law to perimeter law in a system of random surfaces. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 92(1), 19-69.

Duncan, P., Kahle, M., & Schweinhart, B. (2020). Plaquette Percolation on the Torus. arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.11903.

T. E. Harris, A lower bound for the critical probability in a certain percolation process, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 56(1960), 13–20.

Harry Kesten, The critical probability of bond percolation on the square lattice equals 1/2, Comm. Math. Phys. 74(1980), no. 1, 41–59.

Ehud Friedgut and Gil Kalai. Every monotone graph property has a sharp threshold. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 124(10):2993–3002, 1996.