On variants of Specht polynomials and Random Geometry

Eveliina Peltola

Aalto University, Department of Mathematics and Systems Analysis

and

University of Bonn (IAM) & Hausdorff Center for Math (HCM)

28 March 2024 @IPAM: Statistical Mechanics and Discrete Geometry

JOINT WORKS WITH A. Lafay & J. Roussillon (and A. Karrila)









Established by the European Commission



CRITICAL LATTICE MODELS IN 2D STATISTICAL PHYSICS

- discrete models on (planar) graphs, e.g. \mathbb{Z}^2
- (continuous) phase transitions \Rightarrow critical phenomena



random walks, percolation, Ising model, Potts model, dimer models, 6-vertex model, random cluster model, Gaussian free field, O(n) spin and loop models, ...

CRITICAL LATTICE MODELS IN 2D STATISTICAL PHYSICS

- discrete models on (planar) graphs, e.g. \mathbb{Z}^2
- (continuous) phase transitions \Rightarrow critical phenomena
 - critical exponents: observables have power law behavior
 - ▶ self-similarity: fractal behavior, scale invariance
 - universality: microscopic details irrelevant for large-scale properties



random walks, percolation, Ising model, Potts model, dimer models, 6-vertex model, random cluster model, Gaussian free field, O(n) spin and loop models, ...

CRITICAL LATTICE MODELS IN 2D STATISTICAL PHYSICS

- discrete models on (planar) graphs, e.g. \mathbb{Z}^2
- (continuous) phase transitions \Rightarrow critical phenomena
 - critical exponents: observables have power law behavior
 - ▶ self-similarity: *fractal* behavior, scale invariance
 - universality: microscopic details irrelevant for *large-scale* properties
- ► scaling limits: conformal field theories (CFT) ? $\delta \mathbb{Z}^2$, $\delta \to 0$

[Belavin, Polyakov & Zamolodchikov '84; Cardy '84; Nienhuis '84]





random walks, percolation, Ising model, Potts model, dimer models, 6-vertex model, random cluster model, Gaussian free field, O(n) spin and loop models, ...

Scaling limits of critical interfaces $- SLE(\kappa)$ curves

- ▶ $\kappa > 0$ labels *universality class* (e.g. $\kappa = 4$ double-dimer & GFF level lines)
- ▶ key feature: **conformal invariance** \rightsquigarrow *central charge* $c(\kappa)$





(critical) interface $\stackrel{\delta \to 0}{\longrightarrow}$ Schramm-Loewner Evolution, SLE(κ)

Scaling limits of critical interfaces $- SLE(\kappa)$ curves

- ▶ $\kappa > 0$ labels *universality class* (e.g. $\kappa = 4$ double-dimer & GFF level lines)
- ▶ key feature: **conformal invariance** \rightsquigarrow *central charge* $c(\kappa)$



(critical) interface $\stackrel{\delta \to 0}{\longrightarrow}$ Schramm-Loewner Evolution, SLE(κ)

Usual proof strategy:

1. tightness (e.g. control via crossing estimates, RSW etc.)

[Aizenman & Burchard '99, Kemppainen & Smirnov '17, ...]

2. identification of the limit (e.g. via discrete holomorphic observable)

[Kenyon '00, Chelkak & Smirnov '01-'11, ...]

General heuristics for SLE-CFT correspondence

- *universality class* labeled by $\kappa > 0$, central charge $c(\kappa) = \frac{(3\kappa-8)(6-\kappa)}{2\kappa} \le 1$
- discrete crossing probabilities

 $\stackrel{\delta \to 0}{\longrightarrow} \text{ probabilities of connectivities of } SLE(\kappa) \text{ curves:}$

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \mathbb{P}^{\delta}_{\beta} [\text{ connectivity} = \alpha] = \mathcal{K}_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\mathcal{Z}_{\alpha}(x_1, \dots, x_{2N})}{\mathcal{U}_{\beta}(x_1, \dots, x_{2N})}$$

- amplitudes for α encoded in *pure* partition functions Z_{α}
- ▶ boundary conditions β encoded in *b.c.c.* partition functions \mathcal{U}_{β}

[Feigin-Fuchs '80s; Dotsenko-Fateev '80s; Cardy '80s; Bauer-Bernard-Kytölä '05; Dubédat '07; Flores-Kleban-Simmons-Ziff '17; P. & Wu (et al.) '18-22'; Izyurov '22...]

General heuristics for SLE-CFT correspondence

- *universality class* labeled by $\kappa > 0$, central charge $c(\kappa) = \frac{(3\kappa 8)(6 \kappa)}{2\kappa} \le 1$
- discrete crossing probabilities

 $\stackrel{\delta \to 0}{\longrightarrow} \text{ probabilities of connectivities of } SLE(\kappa) \text{ curves:}$

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \mathbb{P}^{\delta}_{\beta} [\text{ connectivity} = \alpha] = \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta} \frac{\mathcal{Z}_{\alpha}(x_1, \dots, x_{2N})}{\mathcal{U}_{\beta}(x_1, \dots, x_{2N})}$$

- amplitudes for α encoded in *pure* partition functions \mathcal{Z}_{α}
- ▶ boundary conditions β encoded in *b.c.c.* partition functions \mathcal{U}_{β}
- ► CFT prediction: Z_{α}, U_{β} should be " $\langle \Phi_{1,2}(x_1) \cdots \Phi_{1,2}(x_{2N}) \rangle$ " singled out via *fusion rules* \rightsquigarrow works for $\kappa \in (0, 8]$

[Feigin-Fuchs '80s; Dotsenko-Fateev '80s; Cardy '80s; Bauer-Bernard-Kytölä '05; Dubédat '07; Flores-Kleban-Simmons-Ziff '17; P. & Wu (et al.) '18-22'; Izyurov '22...]

General heuristics for SLE-CFT correspondence

- *universality class* labeled by $\kappa > 0$, central charge $c(\kappa) = \frac{(3\kappa 8)(6 \kappa)}{2\kappa} \le 1$
- discrete crossing probabilities

 $\stackrel{\delta \to 0}{\longrightarrow} \text{ probabilities of connectivities of } SLE(\kappa) \text{ curves:}$

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \mathbb{P}^{\delta}_{\beta} [\text{ connectivity} = \alpha] = \mathcal{K}_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\mathcal{Z}_{\alpha}(x_1, \dots, x_{2N})}{\mathcal{U}_{\beta}(x_1, \dots, x_{2N})}$$

- amplitudes for α encoded in *pure* partition functions \mathcal{Z}_{α}
- boundary conditions β encoded in *b.c.c.* partition functions \mathcal{U}_{β}
- ► CFT prediction: Z_{α}, U_{β} should be " $\langle \Phi_{1,2}(x_1) \cdots \Phi_{1,2}(x_{2N}) \rangle$ " singled out via *fusion rules* \rightsquigarrow works for $\kappa \in (0, 8]$
- Coulomb gas integral formulas

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\alpha}(x_1, \dots, x_{2N}) = \prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j)^{2/\kappa} \int_{\Gamma_{\alpha}} \prod_{r, j} (w_r - x_j)^{-4/\kappa} \prod_{r < s} (w_r - w_s)^{8/\kappa} dw_1 \cdots dw_N$$

[Feigin-Fuchs '80s; Dotsenko-Fateev '80s; Cardy '80s; Bauer-Bernard-Kytölä '05; Dubédat '07; Flores-Kleban-Simmons-Ziff '17; P. & Wu (et al.) '18–22'; Izyurov '22...]

Exact solvability

Some models carry additional combinatorial structure!

E.g. models building on the Gaussian free field (GFF):



© Schramm & Sheffield



- double-dimer model: $\kappa = 4$, c = 1
- triple-dimer model: c = 2 (no κ)
- multi-dimer models: $c = 3, 4, \ldots$
 - $\implies \mathcal{Z}_{\alpha} \text{ and } \mathcal{U}_{\beta} \text{ given by}$ variants of Specht polynomials
- uniform spanning tree: $\kappa = 8$, c = -2
- ▶ branches (LERW): $\kappa = 2$, c = -2
 - $\implies \mathcal{Z}_{\alpha} \text{ and } \mathcal{U}_{\beta} \text{ given by}$ determinants of Fomin type

Loop-erased random walk ($c = -2, \kappa = 2$)



Gaussian free field ($c = 1, \kappa = 4$)



Double dimers (c = 1) and Virasoro Conformal blocks



[©] Kenyon & Wilson

DOUBLE-DIMER INTERFACES

Conjecture. Interfaces converge to GFF level lines!

Double-dimer interfaces $\xrightarrow{\delta \to 0}$ Schramm-Loewner Evolution, SLE(4)



DOUBLE-DIMER INTERFACES

Conjecture. Interfaces converge to GFF level lines!

Double-dimer interfaces $\xrightarrow{\delta \to 0}$ Schramm-Loewner Evolution, SLE(4)



- convergence of crossing probabilities is known [Kenyon & Wilson '11]
- convergence of interfaces is open
- ▶ very strong evidence [Dubédat '19, Basok & Chelkak '21, Bai & Wan '22]

GAUSSIAN FREE FIELD (GFF)

- "Gaussian distribution" h
- centered with covariance given by the Green function $G_{\Omega}(x, y)$
- for test functions φ,
 the action of h gives (h, φ):
 a Gaussian random vector



 $\operatorname{cov}((\mathfrak{h},\varphi_1),(\mathfrak{h},\varphi_2)) = \int \int \varphi_1(x) G_{\Omega}(x,y) \varphi_2(y) \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y$

- **•** GFF with boundary data:
 - take function f on $\partial \Omega$
 - take h plus the harmonic extension of f into Ω

 \rightsquigarrow h + f is GFF with boundary data f

GAUSSIAN FREE FIELD LEVEL LINES



- Dobrushin boundary data:
 - $-\lambda < 0$ on the left,
 - $+\lambda > 0$ on the right
- "zero level set": level line γ

<u>Thm.</u> [Schramm & Sheffield '06] γ equals in distribution to SLE(4)

GAUSSIAN FREE FIELD LEVEL LINES



- Dobrushin boundary data:
 - $-\lambda < 0$ on the left,
 - $+\lambda > 0$ on the right
- "zero level set": level line γ

<u>Thm.</u> [Schramm & Sheffield '06] γ equals in distribution to SLE(4)

Alternating boundary data \implies multiple SLE(4) curves





- ▶ level lines form *random connectivity* ϑ_{GFF} (planar pairing)
- boundary condition encoded into *Dyck path* β

<u>Thm.</u> [Kenyon & Wilson '11; P. & Wu '17; Liu & Wu '21] The connection probabilities are explicitly given by

$$\mathbb{P}_{\beta}[\vartheta_{\rm GFF} = \alpha] = \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta} \, \frac{\mathcal{Z}_{\alpha}(x_1, \dots, x_{2N})}{\mathcal{U}_{\beta}(x_1, \dots, x_{2N})}$$

where \mathcal{U}_{β} are explicit conformal block functions and

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\alpha}(x_1,\ldots,x_{2N}) := \sum_{\beta} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta}^{-1} \mathcal{U}_{\beta}(x_1,\ldots,x_{2N})$$

and $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta} \in \{0,1\}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta}^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ combinatorial matrix elements.



level lines form random connectivity ϑ_{GFF} (planar pairing)
 boundary condition encoded into Dyck path β

Thm. [Kenyon & Wilson '11; P. & Wu '17; Liu & Wu '21] The connection probabilities are explicitly given by

$$\mathbb{P}_{\beta}[\vartheta_{\rm GFF} = \alpha] = \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta} \, \frac{\mathcal{Z}_{\alpha}(x_1, \dots, x_{2N})}{\mathcal{U}_{\beta}(x_1, \dots, x_{2N})}$$

where \mathcal{U}_{β} are explicit conformal block functions and

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\alpha}(x_1,\ldots,x_{2N}) := \sum_{\beta} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta}^{-1} \mathcal{U}_{\beta}(x_1,\ldots,x_{2N})$$

and $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta} \in \{0,1\}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta}^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ combinatorial matrix elements.

Multiple level lines of GFF



- **boundary condition** encoded into *Dyck path* β : height jumps across the boundary of sizes $\pm 2\lambda$
- ► level lines form random connectivity ϑ_{GFF} (planar pairing): pairwise connection of level line curves

CONFORMAL BLOCKS



• \mathcal{U}_{α} is the conformal block function

$$\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}(x_1, \dots, x_{2N}) := \left(\prod_{i < j} (x_j - x_i)^{-1/2}\right) \mathcal{P}_{\alpha^T}(x_1, \dots, x_{2N})$$

• \mathcal{P}_{α^T} is the transpose Specht polynomial

$$\mathcal{P}_{\alpha^{T}}(x_{1},\ldots,x_{2N}) := \prod_{\substack{\text{rows } R \\ \text{in } \alpha \\ \text{ in row } R}} \prod_{\substack{i < j \\ \text{ in row } R}} (x_{j} - x_{i})$$

10

Planar Pairings \longleftrightarrow Dyck paths

 \longleftrightarrow standard Young tableaux of shape (N, N)



1	2	3	6	8
4	5	7	9	10

Planar Pairings \longleftrightarrow Dyck paths \longleftrightarrow standard Young tableaux of shape (N, N)



1	2	3	6	8
4	5	7	9	10

- ► any of these sets indexes basis for simple module span{ \mathcal{P}_{α} : $\alpha \in \text{SYT}^{(N,N)}$ } of symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_{2N}
- basis elements \mathcal{P}_{α} are Specht polynomials

$$\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(x_1,\ldots,x_{2N}) = \prod_{\substack{\text{columns } C \\ \text{in } \alpha}} \prod_{\substack{i < j \\ \text{in column } C}} (x_j - x_i)$$

• \mathfrak{S}_{2N} acts naturally by permutation of variables

Planar Pairings \longleftrightarrow Dyck paths \longleftrightarrow standard Young tableaux of shape (N, N)



1	2	3	6	8
4	5	7	9	10

Example:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(x_1,\ldots,x_{10}) = \prod_{\substack{\text{columns } C \\ \text{in } \alpha}} \prod_{\substack{i < j \\ \text{in column } C}} (x_j - x_i)$$

 $= (x_4 - x_1)(x_5 - x_2)(x_7 - x_3)(x_9 - x_6)(x_{10} - x_8)$

Symmetric group action on conformal blocks

$$\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}(x_1,\ldots,x_{2N}) = \left(\prod_{i< j} (x_j - x_i)^{-1/2}\right) \mathcal{P}_{\alpha^T}(x_1,\ldots,x_{2N})$$

<u>**Thm.</u>** [Lafay, P. & Roussillon '24+] The space span{ \mathcal{U}_{α} : $\alpha \in \text{SYT}^{(N,N)}$ } forms a simple module of the symmetric group, with action of $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2N}$ given by</u>

$$\sigma.\mathcal{U}_{\alpha} = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\left(\prod_{i< j} (x_j - x_i)^{-1/2}\right) \sigma.\mathcal{P}_{\alpha^T}(x_1,\ldots,x_{2N}).$$

Example:

 $\cdot (x_5 - x_4)(x_7 - x_4)(x_9 - x_4)(x_{10} - x_4)(x_7 - x_5)(x_9 - x_5)(x_{10} - x_5)(x_9 - x_7)(x_{10} - x_7)(x_{10} - x_9)$

TEMPERLEY-LIEB ACTION ON CONFORMAL BLOCKS

Cor. [Lafay, P. & Roussillon '24+]

The representation span{ \mathcal{U}_{α} : $\alpha \in \text{SYT}^{(N,N)}$ } of \mathfrak{S}_{2N} descends to a representation of $\text{TL}_{2N}(2)$ with fugacity parameter 2, isomorphic to the *standard (cell) module* with no defects.

Recall that Temperley-Lieb algebra $TL_{2N}(2)$ is generated by diagrams $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{2N-1}$ and unit 1:



The product in $\text{TL}_{2N}(2)$ is concatenation of diagrams with the rule that loops are resolved as multiplicative **fugacity factor** "2".

Conformal blocks - CFT properties

Thm. [P. & Wu '17; paraphrased] The functions \mathcal{U}_{α} satisfy

• **BPZ PDEs** of 2nd order $\forall j$ with $\kappa = 4$, c = 1,

$$\left\{2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} + \sum_{i\neq j} \left(\frac{2}{x_i - x_j}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \frac{1/2}{(x_i - x_j)^2}\right)\right\} \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}(x_1, \dots, x_{2N}) = 0$$

Möbius covariance

$$\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}(f(x_1),\ldots,f(x_{2N})) = \left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq 2N} \left| f'(x_j) \right|^{-1/4} \right) \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}(x_1,\ldots,x_{2N})$$

(for $f: \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}$ s.t. $f(x_1) < \cdots < f(x_{2N})$)

• specific **asymptotics** related to fusion rules in c = 1 CFT

NB: Compared to physics lit. this is a new basis of blocks!

$$\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}(x_1,\ldots,x_{2N}) = \left(\prod_{i< j} (x_j - x_i)^{-1/2}\right) \mathcal{P}_{\alpha^T}(x_1,\ldots,x_{2N})$$

Fusion



Multiple level lines of GFF: fusion



- ▶ valences $\varsigma = (s_1, \ldots, s_d)$: composition of 2N, i.e., $s_1 + \cdots + s_d = 2N$
- ► boundary condition encoded into walks β: height jumps across the boundary of sizes ∈ ±2λZ

MULTIPLE LEVEL LINES OF GFF: FUSION



- ▶ valences $\varsigma = (s_1, \ldots, s_d)$: composition of 2N, i.e., $s_1 + \cdots + s_d = 2N$
- ► boundary condition encoded into walks β: height jumps across the boundary of sizes ∈ ±2λZ
- conformal block functions

$$\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}(x_1,\ldots,x_d) = \left(\prod_{i< j} (x_j - x_i)^{-s_i s_j/2}\right) \mathcal{P}_{\alpha^T}(x_1,\ldots,x_d)$$

• \mathcal{P}_{α^T} is (transpose) fused Specht polynomial

FUSION SETUP

- ▶ valences $\varsigma = (s_1, \ldots, s_d)$: composition of 2N, i.e., $s_1 + \cdots + s_d = 2N$
- ► Fill^{λ}_{*s*}: *fillings* of Young diagrams of shape $\lambda \vdash 2N$, that is, each *k* appears s_k times
- ► $\operatorname{RSYT}_{S}^{\lambda} \subset \operatorname{Fill}_{S}^{\lambda}$: row-strict Young tableaux, entries weakly increasing down columns and *strictly* increasing along rows
- Example: $\varsigma = (2, 1, 2, 1)$

1	2	3
1	3	4

FUSION SETUP

- ▶ valences $\varsigma = (s_1, \ldots, s_d)$: composition of 2N, i.e., $s_1 + \cdots + s_d = 2N$
- ► Fill¹_S: *fillings* of Young diagrams of shape $\lambda \vdash 2N$, that is, each k appears s_k times
- ► $RSYT_{S}^{d} \subset Fill_{S}^{d}$: row-strict Young tableaux, entries weakly increasing down columns and *strictly* increasing along rows
- ▶ Lemma. $\alpha \in \text{RSYT}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\lambda} \implies \tilde{\alpha} \in \text{SYT}^{\lambda}$

Definition. fused Specht polynomial

$$\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(y_1, \dots, y_d) = \left[\frac{p_{\varsigma}. \mathcal{P}_{\tilde{\alpha}}(x_1, \cdots, x_{2N})}{\prod_{k=1}^d \prod_{q_k \le i < j < q_{k+1}} (x_j - x_i)} \right]_{x_{q_k}, x_{q_k+1}, \dots, x_{q_{k+1}-1} = y_k \forall k}$$

FUSED SPECHT POLYNOMIALS

$$\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(y_1,\ldots,y_d) = \left[\frac{p_{\varsigma},\mathcal{P}_{\tilde{\alpha}}(x_1,\cdots,x_{2N})}{\prod_{k=1}^d \prod_{q_k \le i < j < q_{k+1}} (x_j - x_i)}\right]_{x_{q_k},x_{q_k+1},\ldots,x_{q_{k+1}-1} = y_k \forall k}$$

- $p_{\varsigma} = \frac{1}{s_1! \cdots s_d!} \prod_{k=1}^d \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{s_k}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \sigma$ is the antisymmetrizer
- $q_k = 1 + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} s_j$ are sizes of the "fused groups"

Prop. [Lafay, P. & Roussillon '24+]

 \mathcal{P}_{α} has an explicit alternative formula as a linear combination involving monomials and Schur polynomials

Conformal blocks - CFT properties

Thm. [Lafay, P. & Roussillon '24+] The functions \mathcal{U}_{α} satisfy

- *d* **BPZ PDEs** of orders $(s_1 + 1, \ldots, s_d + 1)$ with $\kappa = 4$, c = 1,
- Möbius covariance

$$\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}(f(x_1),\ldots,f(x_d)) = \left(\prod_{1 \le j \le d} \left| f'(x_j) \right|^{-s_j^2/4} \right) \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}(x_1,\ldots,x_d)$$

(for $f: \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}$ s.t. $f(x_1) < \cdots < f(x_{2N})$)

• specific (incomplete) asymptotics related to fusion rules in CFT

Proof ingredients:

- bootstrap arguments from lower order to higher order
- ▶ generalizing [Dubédat '15] ($c \notin \mathbb{Q}$) to c = 1 (and c = -2 for UST/LERW)
- ▶ structure of Verma modules over the Virasoro algebra
- ▶ framework of Virasoro Uniformization à la [Kontsevich '87]
- ▶ (in spirit, closely related to Segal's sewing formalism in CFT)

Triple dimers (c = 2) and W_3 -Conformal blocks



[©] Douglas, Kenyon & Shi

W_3 -Conformal blocks and a loose conjecture



© Kenyon & Shi

Conj. [Lafay & Roussillon '24: arXiv:2402.12013] Verified in special cases using formulas of [Kenyon & Shi '24].

$$\mathbb{P}^{\delta}_{\beta}[\text{triple dimer web} = \alpha] \xrightarrow{\delta \to 0} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta} \frac{\mathcal{Z}_{\alpha}(x_1, \dots, x_d)}{\mathcal{U}_{\beta}(x_1, \dots, x_d)}$$

• where \mathcal{U}_{β} is the **conformal block function**

$$\mathcal{U}_{\beta}(x_1,\ldots,x_d) = \left(\prod_{i< j} (x_j - x_i)^{-s_i s_j/3}\right) \mathcal{P}_{\beta^T}(x_1,\ldots,x_d)$$

- $\mathcal{Z}_{\alpha} := \sum_{\beta} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta}^{-1} \mathcal{U}_{\beta}$
- \mathcal{P}_{β^T} is a (transpose) fused Specht polynomial
- $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta}^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ are *combinatorial* matrix elements

Setup (no fusion here)

- $\varsigma = (s_1, \ldots, s_d)$: composition of 3N, i.e., $s_1 + \cdots + s_d = 3N$
- ▶ current work [Lafay & Roussillon '24] assumes $s_i \in \{1, 2\}$ for all *i*
- ► Fill^{λ}_{*s*}: *fillings* of Young diagrams of shape $\lambda \vdash 3N$, that is, each *k* appears s_k times
- ► $\operatorname{RSYT}_{S}^{\lambda} \subset \operatorname{Fill}_{S}^{\lambda}$: row-strict Young tableaux, entries weakly increasing down columns and *strictly* increasing along rows
- Example: $\varsigma = (2, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1)$

1	2	3
1	3	4
3	5	6

Setup (no fusion here)

- $\varsigma = (s_1, \ldots, s_d)$: composition of 3N, i.e., $s_1 + \cdots + s_d = 3N$
- ► Fill^{λ}_{*s*}: *fillings* of Young diagrams of shape $\lambda \vdash 3N$, that is, each *k* appears s_k times
- ► $RSYT_{\varsigma}^{d} \subset Fill_{\varsigma}^{\lambda}$: row-strict Young tableaux, entries weakly increasing down columns and *strictly* increasing along rows
- Lemma. $\alpha \in \text{RSYT}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\lambda} \implies \tilde{\alpha} \in \text{SYT}^{\lambda}$

Definition. transpose Specht polynomial

$$\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(y_1,\ldots,y_d) = \left[\mathcal{P}_{\tilde{\alpha}^T}(x_1,\ldots,x_{3N})\right]_{x_{q_k},x_{q_k+1},\ldots,x_{q_{k+1}-1}} = y_k \forall k$$

E.g. $(y_3 - y_1)(y_2 - y_1)(y_3 - y_2)(y_4 - y_3)(y_4 - y_1)(y_3 - y_1)(y_6 - y_5)(y_6 - y_3)(y_5 - y_3)$ 21

Kuperberg action on W_3 -Conformal blocks

<u>Thm.</u> [Lafay & Roussillon '24] The space span{ \mathcal{U}_{α} : $\alpha \in \text{RSYT}_{\varsigma}^{(N,N,N)}$ } forms a simple web module of the \mathfrak{sl}_3 Kuperberg algebra $K_{\varsigma}(3)$ with fugacity parameter 3.

Kuperberg algebra $K_{\varsigma}(3)$ is a planar diagram algebra w. relations



Example of web reduction



W_3 -Conformal blocks – CFT properties

<u>Thm.</u> [Lafay & Roussillon '24+] $s_i \in \{1, 2\}$. The functions \mathcal{U}_{α} satisfy

• *d* 3rd order BPZ *W*₃-null-state PDEs

with central charge c = 2 (NB: no corresponding κ exists – im-Toda?)

- 8 PDEs which are W₃ global Ward identities (five 2nd order and three 1st order), yielding Möbius covariance
- specific (incomplete) asymptotics related to fusion rules in CFT

Upshot: Triple-dimer connection probabilities should be given by **specific CFT correlation functions with** W_3 **algebra symmetry** !

We believe that analogous results hold also for *higher rank* webs, Young tableaux of more columns or rows... with W algebras