# Emission Markets I. Cap-and-Trade Schemes: First Equilibrium Models

René Carmona

ORFE, Bendheim Center for Finance Princeton University

IPAM January 5-8, 2010

## Schematic of the Course

- Descriptive Introduction
  - Zoology of the Carbon Markets: EU ETS, and those soon to exist in the US
  - Lessons learned from the EU Experience
- First Mathematical (Equilibrium) Models
  - Joint Price Formation for Electricity and Emission Allowances
  - Computer Implementations
  - Case studies
- Allocation Mechanisms
  - Costs associated to a Cap-and-Trade scheme
  - Comparisons of grandfathering, auctions, output based allocations
- Information Structure and Partial Equilibrium Models
- EUA Option Markets
  - Are traders really using Black-Scholes formula?
  - Alternative models and pricing formulas

# First Emission Trading Market

- Established in the United States Clean Air Act of 1990
- Acid Rain Program
- Program to reduce the primary causes of acid rain
  - sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>)
  - nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>)
- Program based on BOTH
  - regulatory approach
  - market mechanisms
- To achieve this goal at the lowest cost to society
- SOx and NOx Trading: Great learning experience!
  - Liquidity and Price Collapse Issues
  - Do they create Pollution Hot Spots?
- TOO SMALL a scale (Montgomery flip-flop)

- Kyoto Conference 1997
- Assign MANDATORY Green House Gas (GHG) emission limits to signatory nations
  - Reduce emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> and 5 other gases in 2008 2012
  - Target level: 95% of 1990 levels
- Set up Cap & Trade for Green House Gases
- Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) and Joint Initiative (JI)
- ENFORCEMENT? (theory of self-enforced treaties)

## Flexible Mechanisms of Kyoto Protocol

- Stimulate sustainable development and emission reductions, when and where it is cheapest to do
- Projects must qualify through a rigorous and public registration and issuance process
  - Ensure real, measurable and verifiable emission reductions
  - Additional to what would have occurred without the projects
- Clean Development Mechanism (CDM)
  - Projects located in developing countries
- Joint Initiative (JI)
  - Projects located in economies in transition
- We'll use same mathematical models!
- Approved projects earn Certified Emission Reduction (CER)

### CERs

Using CERs to meet emission reduction targets

- 1 CER = 1 ton of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent to meet emission reduction
- traded and sold on ANY market, NO date limitation
- discount due to moral hazard, political, project completion, ... RISK

### Trading

- Program started in 2006
- More than 1,000 projects already registered
- Anticipated to produce CERs amounting to more than 2.7 billion tons of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent for 2008 – 2012

### • Speculative Trading of Spread between EUAs and CERs

• Role of CERs in EUA option prices still a mystery



Figure: Prices of the December 2012 EUA futures contract (EU-ETS second phase), together with the price of the corresponding CER futures contract.

### EU and the Kyoto Protocol

- European Climate Change Programme (ECCP) June 2000
- All 25 EU countries ratify Kyoto Protocol on 31 May 2002
- **Directive 2003/87/ec** of the European Parliament of October 13, 2003: *establishment of a scheme for greenhouse gas emission allowance trading.*
- Each EU member state proposes a National Allocation Plan (NAP) with a cap
- Permit Allocation:

Installations covered by ETS are given allowances for FREE

- power plants (capacity > 20MW)
- steel manufacturers
- cement factories
- ..... (1200 installations in EU during first phase)

# EU Emission Trading Scheme (ETS)

- Actual trading in EU ETS started January 2005
- 400 million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent traded the first year
- EU ETS structured in Three Phases
  - Phase I: January 2005 December 2007 (trial)
  - Phase II: January 2008 December 2012 (current)
  - Phase III: January 2013 December 2018 (unclear Copenhagen)
- Exchange traded (standardized & cleared) futures contracts (Dec-05, Dec-06, Dec-07, Dec-08, ..., Dec-12)
- I contract = 1 lot = 1000 EUAs of 1 ton CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent each
- Liquid Front End contract
- Vibrant option market on these futures contracts

## **Traded Contracts**





Prices of the EUA futures contracts.

# How Do Things Work?

- Each year, installation receive allowances according to NAP
- Each year, cumulative emissions are tallied up to Dec. 31
- Each installation has up to Apr. 30 to cover its emissions
  - by surrendering allowances
  - paying a **penalty** of  $\pi$  euros per ton not covered by an allowance
  - $\pi = 40$  euros in **Phase I**;  $\pi = 100$  euros in **Phase II**
  - Paying the penalty is not enough: the corresponding amount of allowances is **withdrawn** from the next allocation
- Phase I was a trial balloon
- Phase I allowances COULD NOT BE USED beyond their maturities
- Phase II allowances CAN BE BANKED for later use

#### • Regulator Input at inception of program (i.e. time t = 0)

#### • INITIAL ALLOCATION of θ<sub>0</sub> allowances

 Set PENALTY π per ton of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent emitted and NOT offset by allowance certificate at time of compliance

#### Given exogenously

- $\{D_t\}_{t=0,1,\cdot,T}$  daily **demand** for electricity
  - $\{C_t^n\}_{t=0,1,\cdot,T}$  production cost for 1MWh of electricity from nuclear plant
  - $\{C_t^g\}_{t=0,1,.,T}$  production cost for 1MWh of electricity from gas plant
  - $\{C_t^c\}_{t=0,1,.,T}$  production cost for 1MWh of electricity from coal plant

#### Known physical characteristics

- e<sup>n</sup> emission (in CO<sub>2</sub> ton-equivalent) for 1MWh from nuclear plant
- e<sup>g</sup> emission (in CO<sub>2</sub> ton-equivalent) for 1MWh from gas plant
- e<sup>c</sup> emission (in CO<sub>2</sub> ton-equivalent) for 1MWh from coal plant

- Regulator Input at inception of program (i.e. time t = 0)
  - INITIAL ALLOCATION of  $\theta_0$  allowances
  - Set PENALTY π per ton of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent emitted and NOT offset by allowance certificate at time of compliance
- Given exogenously
  - $\{D_t\}_{t=0,1,\cdot,T}$  daily **demand** for electricity
    - $\{C_t^n\}_{t=0,1,\cdot,T}$  production cost for 1MWh of electricity from nuclear plant
    - $\{C_t^g\}_{t=0,1,.,T}$  production cost for 1MWh of electricity from gas plant
    - $\{C_t^c\}_{t=0,1,.,T}$  production cost for 1MWh of electricity from coal plant

#### Known physical characteristics

- e<sup>n</sup> emission (in CO<sub>2</sub> ton-equivalent) for 1MWh from nuclear plant
- e<sup>g</sup> emission (in CO<sub>2</sub> ton-equivalent) for 1MWh from gas plant
- e<sup>c</sup> emission (in CO<sub>2</sub> ton-equivalent) for 1MWh from coal plant

- Regulator Input at inception of program (i.e. time t = 0)
  - INITIAL ALLOCATION of θ<sub>0</sub> allowances
  - Set **PENALTY**  $\pi$  per ton of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent emitted and **NOT** offset by allowance certificate at time of compliance
- Given exogenously
  - $\{D_t\}_{t=0,1,.,T}$  daily **demand** for electricity
    - $\{C_t^n\}_{t=0,1,..,T}$  production cost for 1MWh of electricity from nuclear plant
    - {C<sub>t</sub><sup>g</sup>}<sub>t=0,1,.,T</sub> production cost for 1MWh of electricity from gas plant
      {C<sub>t</sub><sup>c</sup>}<sub>t=0,1,.,T</sub> production cost for 1MWh of electricity from coal plant
- Known physical characteristics

- Regulator Input at inception of program (i.e. time t = 0)
  - INITIAL ALLOCATION of θ<sub>0</sub> allowances
  - Set **PENALTY**  $\pi$  per ton of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent emitted and **NOT** offset by allowance certificate at time of compliance
- Given exogenously
  - $\{D_t\}_{t=0,1,..,T}$  daily **demand** for electricity
    - $\{C_t^n\}_{t=0,1,..,T}$  production cost for 1MWh of electricity from nuclear plant
    - {C<sub>t</sub><sup>g</sup>}<sub>t=0,1,.,T</sub> production cost for 1MWh of electricity from gas plant
      {C<sub>t</sub><sup>c</sup>}<sub>t=0,1,.,T</sub> production cost for 1MWh of electricity from coal plant
- Known physical characteristics
  - e<sup>n</sup> emission (in CO<sub>2</sub> ton-equivalent) for 1MWh from nuclear plant
  - e<sup>g</sup> emission (in CO<sub>2</sub> ton-equivalent) for 1MWh from gas plant
  - e<sup>c</sup> emission (in CO<sub>2</sub> ton-equivalent) for 1MWh from coal plant

## (Simplified) Cap-and-Trade Scheme: Outcome

- $\{S_t\}_{t=0,1,\cdot,T}$  daily price of electricity
- $\{A_t\}_{t=0,1,\cdot,T}$  daily **price** of a credit allowance
- Production schedules
  - $\{\xi_t^n\}_{t=0,1,\cdot,T}$  daily **production** of electricity from **nuclear** plant
  - $\{\xi_t^g\}_{t=0,1,\cdot,T}$  production of electricity from gas plant
  - $\{\xi_t^c\}_{t=0,1,\cdot,T}$  production of electricity from coal plant
- Inelasticity constraint

$$\xi_t^n + \xi_t^g + \xi_t^c = D_t \qquad t = 0, 1, \cdots, T$$

### Daily Production Profits & Losses

 $\xi_t^n(S_t - c_t^n) + \xi_t^g(S_t - c_t^g) + \xi_t^c(S_t - c_t^c) = \left(D_t S_t - \left(\xi_t^n c_t^n + \xi_t^g c_t^g + \xi_t^c c_t^c\right)\right)$ 

• (possible) Pollution Penalty

$$\pi \left( \sum_{t=0}^{T} \xi_t^n e^n + \xi_t^g e^g + \xi_t^c e^g - \theta_0 \right)^+$$

## (Simplified) Cap-and-Trade Scheme: Outcome

- $\{S_t\}_{t=0,1,\cdot,T}$  daily price of electricity
- $\{A_t\}_{t=0,1,\cdot,T}$  daily price of a credit allowance
- Production schedules
  - $\{\xi_t^n\}_{t=0,1,\cdot,T}$  daily **production** of electricity from **nuclear** plant
  - $\{\xi_t^g\}_{t=0,1,\cdot,T}$  production of electricity from gas plant
  - $\{\xi_t^c\}_{t=0,1,\cdot,T}$  production of electricity from coal plant
- Inelasticity constraint

$$\xi_t^n + \xi_t^g + \xi_t^c = D_t \qquad t = 0, 1, \cdots, T$$

### • Daily Production Profits & Losses

 $\xi_t^n(\boldsymbol{S}_t - \boldsymbol{c}_t^n) + \xi_t^g(\boldsymbol{S}_t - \boldsymbol{c}_t^g) + \xi_t^c(\boldsymbol{S}_t - \boldsymbol{c}_t^c) = \left( D_t \boldsymbol{S}_t - \left(\xi_t^n \boldsymbol{c}_t^n + \xi_t^g \boldsymbol{c}_t^g + \xi_t^c \boldsymbol{c}_t^c \right) \right)$ 

• (possible) Pollution Penalty

$$\pi \left(\sum_{t=0}^{T} \xi_t^n \boldsymbol{e}^n + \xi_t^g \boldsymbol{e}^g + \xi_t^c \boldsymbol{e}^g - \theta_0\right)^+$$

## (Simplified) Cap-and-Trade Scheme: Outcome

- $\{S_t\}_{t=0,1,\cdot,T}$  daily price of electricity
- $\{A_t\}_{t=0,1,\cdot,T}$  daily price of a credit allowance
- Production schedules
  - $\{\xi_t^n\}_{t=0,1,\cdot,T}$  daily production of electricity from nuclear plant
  - $\{\xi_t^g\}_{t=0,1,\cdot,T}$  production of electricity from gas plant
  - $\{\xi_t^c\}_{t=0,1,\cdot,T}$  production of electricity from coal plant
- Inelasticity constraint

$$\xi_t^n + \xi_t^g + \xi_t^c = D_t \qquad t = 0, 1, \cdots, T$$

### Daily Production Profits & Losses

 $\xi_t^n(\boldsymbol{S}_t - \boldsymbol{c}_t^n) + \xi_t^g(\boldsymbol{S}_t - \boldsymbol{c}_t^g) + \xi_t^c(\boldsymbol{S}_t - \boldsymbol{c}_t^c) = \left( D_t \boldsymbol{S}_t - \left(\xi_t^n \boldsymbol{c}_t^n + \xi_t^g \boldsymbol{c}_t^g + \xi_t^c \boldsymbol{c}_t^c \right) \right)$ 

• (possible) Pollution Penalty

$$\pi \left( \sum_{t=0}^{T} \xi_t^n \boldsymbol{e}^n + \xi_t^g \boldsymbol{e}^g + \xi_t^c \boldsymbol{e}^g - \theta_0 \right)^+$$

## EU ETS First Phase: Main Criticism

### • No (Significant) Emissions Reduction

- DID Emissions go down?
- Yes, but as part of an existing trend

### Significant Increase in Prices

- Cost of Pollution passed along to the "end-consumer"
- Small proportion (40%) of polluters involved in EU ETS

### Obscene Windfall Profits

- Remedy: Stop Giving Allowance Certificates Away for Free !
- Auctioning

### Market Malfunctions

- Prices (Dec-06 & Dec-07) collapsed (April 2006 from 30 to 10 !)
- Prices converged to 0 at end of Phase

## EU ETS First Phase: Main Criticism

### • No (Significant) Emissions Reduction

- DID Emissions go down?
- Yes, but as part of an existing trend

### Significant Increase in Prices

- Cost of Pollution passed along to the "end-consumer"
- Small proportion (40%) of polluters involved in EU ETS

### Obscene Windfall Profits

- Remedy: Stop Giving Allowance Certificates Away for Free !
- Auctioning
- Market Malfunctions
  - Prices (Dec-06 & Dec-07) collapsed (April 2006 from 30 to 10 !)
  - Prices converged to 0 at end of Phase

## EU ETS First Phase: Main Criticism

### • No (Significant) Emissions Reduction

- DID Emissions go down?
- Yes, but as part of an existing trend

### Significant Increase in Prices

- Cost of Pollution passed along to the "end-consumer"
- Small proportion (40%) of polluters involved in EU ETS

### Obscene Windfall Profits

- Remedy: Stop Giving Allowance Certificates Away for Free !
- Auctioning

### Market Malfunctions

- Prices (Dec-06 & Dec-07) collapsed (April 2006 from 30 to 10 !)
- Prices converged to 0 at end of Phase I

## A First Mathematical Model

#### **Description of the Economy**

- Finite set I of risk neutral agents/firms
- Producing a finite set  $\mathcal{K}$  of goods
- Firm  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  can use **technology**  $j \in \mathcal{J}^{i,k}$  to produce good  $k \in \mathcal{K}$
- **Discrete time** {0, 1, · · · , *T*}
- Inelastic Demand

$$\{D^k(t); t = 0, 1, \cdots, T-1, k \in \mathcal{K}\}.$$

## Regulator Input (EU ETS)

At inception of program (i.e. time t = 0)

INITIAL ALLOCATION of allowances

 $\theta_0^i$  to firm  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  according to NAP

What will really matter to us is  $\theta_0 = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \theta_0^i$ 

 Set PENALTY π for emission unit NOT offset by allowance certificate at end of compliance period

Variations (not addressed yet)

- Risk aversion and agent preferences
- Auctioning of allowances
- Distributionover time of allowances (game theory)
- Elastic demand (e.g. smart meters)
- Multi-period period lending and borrowing

Find two stochastic processes

• Price of one allowance

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \{\boldsymbol{A}_t\}_{t \ge 0}$$

### • Prices of goods

$$S = \{S_t^k\}_{k \in K, t \ge 0}$$

satisfying the usual equilibrium conditions to be spelled out later.

### Individual Firm Problem

During each time period [t, t + 1)

- Firm  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  produces  $\xi_t^{i,j,k}$  of good  $k \in \mathcal{K}$  with technology  $j \in \mathcal{J}^{i,k}$
- Firm  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  holds a position  $\theta_t^i$  in emission credits

$$\begin{split} L^{A,S,i}(\theta^{i},\xi^{i}) &:= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}^{i,k}} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} (S_{t}^{k} - C_{t}^{i,j,k}) \xi_{t}^{i,j,k} \\ &+ \theta_{0}^{i} A_{0} + \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \theta_{t+1}^{i} (A_{t+1} - A_{t}) - \theta_{T+1}^{i} A_{T} \\ &- \pi (\Gamma^{i} + \Pi^{i} (\xi^{i}) - \theta_{T+1}^{i})^{+} \end{split}$$

where

$$\Gamma^{i}$$
 random,  $\Pi^{i}(\xi^{i}) := \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}^{i,k}} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} e^{i,j,k} \xi_{t}^{i,j,k}$ 

**Problem for agent**  $i \in I$ 

 $\max_{(\theta^{i},\xi^{i})} \mathbb{E}\{L^{A,S,i}(\theta^{i},\xi^{i})\}$ 

#### If $\pi = 0$ , **Business As Usual** & Classical merit order.

- At each time *t* and for each good *k*
- Production technologies ranked by increasing production costs C<sup>i,j,k</sup>
- Demand D<sup>k</sup><sub>t</sub> met by producing from the cheapest technology first
- Equilibrium spot price is the marginal cost of production of the most expansive production technoligy used to meet demand

#### Deregulated electricity markets

If  $(A^*, S^*)$  is an equilibrium, the optimization problem of firm *i* is

$$\sup_{(\theta^{i},\xi^{i})} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}^{i,k}} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} (S_{t}^{k} - C_{t}^{i,j,k}) \xi_{t}^{i,j,k} + \theta_{0}^{i} A_{0} + \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \theta_{t+1}^{i} (A_{t+1} - A_{t}) - \theta_{T+1}^{i} A_{T}\right]$$

## Business As Usual (cont.)

We have  $A_t^* = \mathbb{E}_t[A_{t+1}^*]$  for all t and  $A_T^* = 0$  (hence  $A_t^* \equiv 0$ !)

Classical competitive equilibrium problem where each agent maximizes

$$\sup_{\xi^{i} \in \mathcal{U}^{i}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}^{i,k}} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} (S_{t}^{k} - C_{t}^{i,j,k}) \xi_{t}^{i,j,k} \right] , \qquad (1)$$

and the equilibrium prices  $\mathcal{S}^*$  are set so that supply meets demand. Production in **MERIT ORDER** 

$$((\xi_t^{*i,j,k})_{j,k})_i = \arg \max_{((\xi_t^{i,j,k})_{\mathcal{J}^{i,k}})_i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}^{i,k}} -C_t^{i,j,k} \xi_t^{i,j,k}$$

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}^{i,k}} \xi_t^{i,j,k} &= D_t^k \\ \xi_t^{i,j,k} &\leq \kappa^{i,j,k} \quad \text{for } i \in \mathcal{I}, j \in \mathcal{J}^{i,k} \\ \xi_t^{i,j,k} &\geq 0 \quad \text{for } i \in \mathcal{I}, j \in \mathcal{J}^{i,k} \end{split}$$

for all times t, and the associated price is

$$\boldsymbol{S}_{t}^{*k} = \max_{i \in \mathcal{I}, j \in \mathcal{J}^{i,k}} \boldsymbol{C}_{t}^{i,j,k} \boldsymbol{1}_{\{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{t}^{*i,j,k} > 0\}},$$

### Equilibrium Definition for Emissions Market

The processes  $A^* = \{A_t^*\}_{t=0,1,\dots,T}$  and  $S^* = \{S_t^*\}_{t=0,1,\dots,T}$  form an equilibrium if for each agent  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  there exist strategies  $\theta^{*i} = \{\theta_t^{*i}\}_{t=0,1,\dots,T}$  (trading) and  $\xi^{*i} = \{\xi_t^{*i}\}_{t=0,1,\dots,T}$  (production)

• (i) All financial positions are in constant net supply

$$\sum_{i\in I} \theta_t^{*i} = \sum_{i\in I} \theta_0^i, \qquad \forall t = 0, \dots, T+1$$

• (ii) Supply of each good meets demand

$$\sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}}\sum_{j\in\mathcal{J}^{i,k}}\xi_t^{*i,j,k}=D_t^k,\qquad \forall k\in\mathcal{K}, \ t=0,\ldots,T-1$$

(iii) Each agent *i* ∈ *l* is satisfied by its own strategy

 $\mathbb{E}[L^{A^*, S^*, i}(\theta^{*i}, \xi^{*i})] \ge \mathbb{E}[L^{A^*, S^*, i}(\theta^i, \xi^i)] \qquad \text{for all } (\theta^i, \xi^i)$ 

### **Necessary Conditions**

#### Assume

- (A\*, S\*) is an equilibrium
- $(\theta^{*i}, \xi^{*i})$  optimal strategy of agent  $i \in I$

#### then

- The allowance price A\* is a **bounded martingale** in [0, π]
- Its terminal value is given by

$$A_{T}^{*} = \pi \mathbf{1}_{\{\Gamma^{i} + \Pi(\xi^{*i}) - \theta_{T+1}^{*i} \ge 0\}} = \pi \mathbf{1}_{\{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} (\Gamma^{i} + \Pi(\xi^{*i}) - \theta_{0}^{*i}) \ge 0\}}$$

 The spot prices S<sup>\*k</sup> of the goods and the optimal production strategies ξ<sup>\*i</sup> are given by the merit order for the equilibrium with adjusted costs

$$ilde{C}^{i,j,k}_t = C^{i,j,k}_t + e^{i,j,k} A^*_t$$

## Existence by Social Cost Minimization

Overall production costs

$$C(\xi) := \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \sum_{(i,j,k)} \xi_t^{i,j,k} C_t^{i,j,k}.$$

Overall cumulative emissions

$$\Gamma := \sum_{i \in I} \Gamma^i \qquad \Pi(\xi) := \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \sum_{(i,j,k)} e^{i,j,k} \xi_t^{i,j,k},$$

Total allowances

$$\theta_0 := \sum_{i \in I} \theta_0^i$$

The total social costs from production and penalty payments

$$G(\xi) := C(\xi) + \pi(\Gamma + \Pi(\xi) - \theta_0)^+$$

We introduce the global optimization problem

$$\xi^* = \arg\inf_{\xi \text{meets demands}} \mathbb{E}[G(\xi)],$$

## Social Cost Minimization Problem (cont.)

#### First Theoretical Result

• There exists a set  $\xi^* = (\xi^{*i})_{i \in I}$  realizing the minimum social cost

#### Second Theoretical Result

(i) If  $\overline{\xi}$  minimizes the social cost, then the processes ( $\overline{A}, \overline{S}$ ) defined by

$$\overline{A}_t = \pi \mathbb{P}_t \{ \Gamma + \Pi(\overline{\xi}) - heta_0 \ge 0 \}, \qquad t = 0, \dots, T$$

and

$$\overline{\mathcal{S}}_t^k = \max_{i \in I, j \in J^{l,k}} (C_t^{i,j,k} + e_t^{i,j,k} \overline{\mathcal{A}}_t) \mathbf{1}_{\{\overline{\xi}_t^{i,j,k} > 0\}}, \qquad t = 0, \ldots, T-1 \ k \in \mathcal{K},$$

form a **market equilibrium** with associated production strategy  $\overline{\xi}$ . (ii) If  $(A^*, S^*)$  is an equilibrium with corresponding strategies  $(\theta^*, \xi^*)$ , then  $\xi^*$  solves the **social cost minimization problem** (iii) The equilibrium allowance price is **unique**.

### Effect of the Penalty on Emissions



= જીલલ

# Costs in a Cap-and-Trade

Consumer Burden

$$\mathsf{SC} = \sum_t \sum_k (S_t^{k,*} - S_t^{k,\mathsf{BAU}*}) D_t^k.$$

Reduction Costs (producers' burden)

$$\sum_{t} \sum_{i,j,k} (\xi_t^{i,j,k*} - \xi_t^{BAU,i,j,k*}) C_t^{i,j,k}$$

#### Excess Profit

$$\sum_{t} \sum_{k} (S_{t}^{k,*} - S_{t}^{k,BAU*}) D_{t}^{k} - \sum_{t} \sum_{i,j,k} (\xi_{t}^{i,j,k*} - \xi_{t}^{BAU,i,j,k*}) C_{t}^{i,j,k} - \pi (\sum_{t} \sum_{ijk} \xi_{t}^{ijk} e_{t}^{ijk} - \theta_{0})^{-1}$$

Windfall Profits

$$\mathsf{WP} = \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \sum_{k \in K} (S_t^{*k} - \hat{S}_t^k) D_t^k$$

where

$$\hat{S}_t^k := \max_{i \in I, j \in J^{i,k}} C_t^{i,j,k} \mathbf{1}_{\{\xi_t^{*i,j,k} > 0\}}.$$

## Costs in a Cap-and-Trade Scheme



Histograms of consumer costs, social costs, windfall profits and penalty payments of a standard cap-and-trade scheme calibrated to reach the emissions target with 95% probability and BAU.

Carmona Cap

Cap-and-Trade Schemes