Data-driven characterization and control of complex systems



Steve Brunton University of Washington IPAM, 2017-10-02



Data-driven characterization and control of complex systems

Nathan Kutz





Eurika Kaiser



Niall Mangan







Josh Proctor









Krithika Manohar



Sam Rudy









Open Problems, Key Challenges, Emerging Techniques

Open Problem: Often **equations are unknown**, or are only partially known **Key Challenges:** Combinatorially large search space for candidate models **Emerging Techniques:** Machine learning, sparse (parsimonious) methods

Open Problem: Nonlinear dynamics still poorly understood Key Challenges: Topologically complex, no closed form (Poincare) Emerging Techniques: Koopman spectral analysis (linear embeddings)

Open Problem: Optimal nonlinear control and estimation Key Challenges: Finding intrinsic coordinates (Koopman eigenfunctions) Emerging Techniques: Uncertainty quantification and robust control

Open Problem: Chaos, transients, intermittent, and uncertain phenomena **Key Challenges:** Limitations of topological and operator perspectives **Emerging Techniques:** Time delay embeddings facilitate linear regression

Open Problem: Optimal sensing for problems with **multi scale physics Key Challenges:** Largely heuristic, brute-force, lack of basic principles **Emerging Techniques:** Compressed sensing, library learning



Dynamics





Dynamical Systems: Poincare and Geometry

Discrete-time update

Finite-time Lyapunov exponents (FTLE)

1		_				1	2					
				2	2							
		2	2				2			2	2	
2	2	2	e	2		Ξ.	2		÷		÷	
2	÷	2		2	÷		1		2	2	2	
		2							2		2	
2	÷								2			
l									2			
	-		-									
			-							-		
		1								-		
	-		-						٠			
			-			٠	٠					
	-								٠			
								٠				
					٠		٠	٠	٠	٠		
		٠	٠									
		٠			•			-		٠		
							1			-		
										-		
1									1		1	
1	i.	1			1		1		1	1	1	
1						-	1		2			
							1		2		1	
1					2	1	1	2	2	1		
l											1	
									1			
											-	
							-					
							-			-		





Koopman invariant subspace:

 $g = \alpha_1 y_{s_1} + \alpha_2 y_{s_2} + \dots + \alpha_m y_{s_m},$ ∞ $\alpha_k y_k.$ $\mathcal{K}g = \beta_1 \overline{y_{s_1}} + \beta_2 \overline{y_{s_2}} + \dots + \beta_n \overline{y_{s_n}} + \overline{y_{$ k=1

Koopman and Operators

Discrete-time update

$$\mathbf{x}_k)) = g(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}).$$

Discrete-time update

Koopman operator \mathcal{K} is infinite dimensional and linear

$$\beta_m y_{s_m}$$
.

Koopman, *PNAS* 1931. Mezic, *Nonlinear Dynamics* 2005. Mezic, ARFM 2013. Williams, Kevrekidis, Rowley, JNS 2015.





Koopman invariant subspace:

 $g = \alpha_1 y_{s_1} + \alpha_2 y_{s_2} + \dots + \alpha_m y_{s_m},$ ∞ g = $\alpha_k y_k$. $\overline{k=1} \qquad \mathcal{K}g = \beta_1 y_{s_1} + \beta_2 y_{s_2} + \dots + \beta_m y_{s_m}.$

Koopman and Operators

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_t &: oldsymbol{x}_k &\mapsto oldsymbol{x}_{k+1} \ oldsymbol{g} &: oldsymbol{x}_k &\mapsto oldsymbol{y}_k \ \mathbf{K}_t &: oldsymbol{y}_k &\mapsto oldsymbol{y}_{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

Koopman operator $\mathcal K$ is infinite dimensional and linear

Koopman, *PNAS* 1931. Mezic, *Nonlinear Dynamics* 2005. Mezic, *ARFM* 2013. Williams, Kevrekidis, Rowley, JNS 2015.

Example: Koopman Linear EmbeddingNonlinear
dynamics: $\dot{x}_1 = \mu x_1$
 $\dot{x}_2 = \lambda (x_2 - x_1^2)$ Koopman
linear system: $\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & -\lambda \\ 0 & 0 & 2\mu \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{bmatrix}$ for $\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_1^2 \end{bmatrix}$







Koopman	d	y_1	μ	(
linear system		y_2	0	
with inputs:	$\mathcal{U}\mathcal{U}$	y_3	0	C

Nonlinear Dynamics

Koopman Invariant Subspace

Data Sparse Multi-scale

Koopman Operator **Optimal Control**

Nonlinear Optimal Contro





Dynamical Systems: Koopman and Operators



Dynamical Systems: Koopman and Operators

Koopman Eigenfunctions Define Invariant Subspaces

Linear dynamics in eigenfunction coordinates

 $\frac{d}{dt}\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \lambda\varphi(\mathbf{x})$

7 $\frac{d}{dt}x = x^2$

Nonlinear dynamics in original coordinates

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$$

 $\frac{d}{dt}\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \implies \nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \lambda\varphi(\mathbf{x})$

PDE for Koopman **Eigenfunctions!**

 $\varphi(x) = e^{-1/x} \implies \frac{d}{dt}\varphi(x) = x^{-2}e^{-1/x}\dot{x} = \varphi(x).$

Nonlinear Dynamics

Koopman Invariant Subspace

Data Sparse Multi-scale

Koopman Operator **Optimal Control**

Nonlinear Optimal Contro



Dynamic Mode Decomposition (DMD) 2. Organize into Matrices 1. Collect Data



3. DMD

a) Diagnostics past future $A = X'X^{\dagger}$ Regression **b)** Future state prediction $\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_k$

Schmid, *JFM* 2010. Rowley, Mezic, Bagheri, Schlatter, Henningson, JFM 2009. Tu, Rowley, Luchtenburg, Brunton, Kutz, JCD 2014. Kutz, Brunton, Brunton, Proctor, SIAM 2016. Klus, Nuske, Koltai, Wu, Kevrekidis, Schutte, Noe, arXiv 2017

P

dyna







Sparse Identification of Nonlinear Dynamics (SINDy)



SINDy: Noisy State Measurements

Rudin, Osher, Fatemi, Physica D, 1992. Brunton, Proctor, Kutz, PNAS 2016.









 u_x - POD mode 1



 u_u - POD mode 2



- shift mode u_z



Ruelle and Takens, 1971 Zebib, 1987 and Jackson, 1987 Noack et al., JFM 2003.







Ruelle and Takens, 1971 Zebib, 1987 and Jackson, 1987 Noack et al., JFM 2003. Brunton, Proctor, Kutz, PNAS 2016.













Constrained Sparse Galerkin Regression



J-C Loiseau, SLB To appear in JFM, 2017

SINDy: Bifurcation Parameters and Forcing

SINDy: Rational Function Nonlinearity

Mangan, SLB, Proctor, Kutz

IEEE Trans. Mol. Bio Multi-Scale Comm.

Including Information Theory for Model Selection

Proceedings of the Royal Society A Mangan, Kutz, SLB, Proctor

c) Down-selection and ranking of potential models

Combinatoral enumeration of possible models

SINDy: Sparse inference selects models that best fit time series data

Rank models using information critera

Including Information Theory for Model Selection

c) Down-selection and ranking of potential models

Combinatoral enumeration of possible models

SINDy: Sparse inference selects models that best fit time series data

Rank models using information critera

SINDy: Partial Differential Equations

Open Problems, Key Challenges, Emerging Techniques

Open Problem: Often **equations are unknown**, or are only partially known **Key Challenges:** Combinatorially large search space for candidate models **Emerging Techniques:** Machine learning, sparse (parsimonious) methods

Open Problem: Nonlinear dynamics still poorly understood Key Challenges: Topologically complex, no closed form (Poincare) Emerging Techniques: Koopman spectral analysis (linear embeddings)

Open Problem: Optimal nonlinear control and estimation Key Challenges: Finding intrinsic coordinates (Koopman eigenfunctions) Emerging Techniques: Uncertainty quantification and robust control

Dynamics

Nathan Kutz

Bing Brunton

Eurika Kaiser

Niall Mangan

Josh Proctor

J.-C. Loiseau

Krithika Manohar

Sam Rudy

