Decay of correlations for hyperbolic and normally hyperbolic trapping

Semiclassical origins of density functional approximation, IPAM

Maciej Zworski

UC Berkeley

September 6, 2013

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Correlations

$$egin{aligned} X &= \mathbb{R}, \ \ P &= -\partial_x^2, \ \ U(t) := \sin(\sqrt{P}t)/\sqrt{P} \ &\ C(f,g)(t) \stackrel{ ext{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}} U(t) fg \, dx, \ \ \ f,g \in C_{ ext{c}}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}). \ &\ &\ U(t)g = rac{1}{2} \int_{x-t}^{x+t} g(y) dy \ &\ C(f,g) &= rac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \, dx \, \int_{\mathbb{R}} g \, dx, \ \ t \geq T(ext{supp}\,f, ext{supp}\,g). \end{aligned}$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

$$C(f,g) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \, dx \, \int_{\mathbb{R}} g \, dx, \quad t \geq T(\operatorname{supp} f, \operatorname{supp} g).$$

This particular behaviour is due to the fact that the resolvent of P,

$$R(\lambda) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} (P - \lambda^2)^{-1} : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}), \ \ \mathrm{Im}\, \lambda > 0,$$

has a pole $\lambda = 0$. What does that mean?

$$R(\lambda)f(x) = \int G(\lambda, x, y)f(y)dy$$

In this basic case we see this from an explicit formula,

$$G(\lambda, x, y) = \frac{i}{2\lambda} e^{i\lambda|x-y|}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

$$egin{aligned} P&=-\partial_x^2+V(x),\ \ V\in L^\infty_{
m c}(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R}),\ \ V\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$
 $(P-\lambda^2)^{-1}f(x)&=\int G(\lambda,x,y)f(y)dy,\ \ {
m Im}\,\lambda>0,\ \ f,u\in L^2. \end{aligned}$

 $G(\lambda, x, y)$ continues meromorphically in λ to the complex plane Lax-Phillips:

$$C(f,g)(t) = \sum_{\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda_j > -\mathcal{A}} e^{-i\lambda_j t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} fu_j \, dx \, \int_{\mathbb{R}} gu_j \, dx + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\mathcal{A}t}),$$

 λ_i 's are the poles of $G(\lambda, x, y)$.

$$C(f,g)(t) = \sum_{\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda_j > -A} e^{-i\lambda_j t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} fu_j \, dx \, \int_{\mathbb{R}} gu_j \, dx + \mathcal{O}(e^{-At}),$$
$$\widehat{C(f,g)}(-\lambda) = \sum_{\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda_j > -A} \frac{c_j}{\lambda_j - \lambda} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{A}\right)$$



イロアイロアイモア (日) ヨー のへの

Quantum Resonances describe the resonating waves:



Computed using squarepot.m http://www.cims.nyu.edu/~dbindel/resonant1d/ Here is how they sound:

time = linspace(0,500,5000); sound(real(exp(-i*z*time)))

(ロ) (国) (E) (E) (E) (O)(C)

A real experimental example



э

Potzuweit-Weich-Barkhofen-Kuhl-Stöckmann-Z '12

Resonances for three discs:

Barkhofen-Kuhl-Weich '13

Resonances for three discs:



Barkhofen-Kuhl-Weich '13

The outgoing set

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで



incoming set trapped set outgoing set

- ▲ @ ▶ - ▲ 글 ▶

э

Poon-Campos-Ott-Grebogi '96

$$C(f,g)(t) = \sum_{\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda_j > -\mathcal{A}} e^{-i\lambda_j t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} fu_j \, dx \, \int_{\mathbb{R}} gu_j \, dx + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\mathcal{A}t}),$$

In general to have a finite expansion of correlations with exponentially decaying remainders we need to know that

the number of poles of $G(\lambda)$ is finite in a strip $\text{Im } \lambda > -\gamma$.

and to have some effective bounds in $G(\lambda)$.

Hence exponetial decay of correlations is closely related to *resonance free strips*.

How do we determine that gap at the high frequency limit when the dynamics is hyperbolic?



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Gaspard-Rice '89, Lu-Sridhar-Z '03, Potzuweit et al '12 Ikawa '88, Burq '93, Nonnenmacher-Z'09, Naud '04,'12, Petkov-Stoyanov'11



We define the topological pressure associated to the unstable Jacobian:

$$J_t^+(
ho) = \det\left(d\Phi_{|E_
ho}^t
ight)$$

$$\mathcal{P}_E(s) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \log \sum_{T-1 < T_\gamma < T} J^+(\gamma)^{-s},$$

where γ are closed orbits with period T_{γ} .

Ikawa '88, Nonnemacher-Z '09, Petkov-Stoyanov '11: If $\mathcal{P}_E(1/2) < 0$ then no resonances with $\operatorname{Im} \lambda > \mathcal{P}_E(1/2)$. (at high energies)

If $\mathcal{P}_E(1/2) < 0$ then no resonances with $\operatorname{Im} \lambda > \mathcal{P}_E(1/2)$ (at high energies)

The decay of correlations is closely related to resonance free strips.



Potzuweit-Weich-Barkhofen-Kuhl-Stöckmann-Z, PRL '13

Lu-Sridhar-Z '03: concentration of decay rates at P(1)/2, PRL '03

A very different setting: chaotic dynamics (more precisely contact Anosov flows)

$$\varphi_t: X \to X, \quad X \text{ compact}$$

$$U(t): C^{\infty}(X) \to C^{\infty}(X), \quad U(t)f \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \varphi_t^* f.$$

Dolgopyat '98, Liverani '04 , Tsujii '11:

$$\int_X U(t) fg \, dx = \int_X f \, dx \, \int_X g \, dx + O(e^{-\gamma t}), \quad t \to \infty.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

A completely different setting:

Wave equation for Kerr-de Sitter black holes

 $X=(r_-,r_+) imes \mathbb{S}^2, \ \ U(t)=$ wave propagator .



Bony-Häfner'08, Melrose-Sá Barreto-Vasy'10, Dyatlov'11, Vasy'12:

$$\int_X U(t) fg \, dx = \int_X f \, dx \, \int_X g \, dx + O(e^{-\gamma t}), \quad t \to \infty.$$

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

-

$$\int_X U(t) fg \, dx = \int_X f \, dx \, \int_X g \, dx + O(e^{-\gamma t}), \quad t \to \infty.$$

X a compact manifold , $U(t) = arphi_t^*$

$$X = (r_-, r_+) imes \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \ \ U(t) = \$$
wave group .

What do the two problems have in common?

- 1) Normally hyperbolic trapped set
- 2) A strip with finitely many resonances
- 3) A resonance at 0 (this is quite special)

At this point, except for one hyperbolic orbit, I do not know of an experimental example of this set-up.

Trapped set:

$$\mathcal{K}_E = \{ \rho \in \rho^{-1}(E) \subset T^*X : \exp tH_{\rho}(x,\xi) \not\to \infty, \ t \to \pm \infty \}.$$

The flow is normally hyperbolic at $K = \bigcup_{|E-E_0| < \delta} K_E$:

$$\exists \lambda_0 > 0, \quad K \ni \rho \mapsto E_{\rho}^{\pm} \subset T_{\rho}(T^*X), \quad (\exp tH_{\rho})_* E_{\rho}^{\pm} = E_{\exp tH_{\rho}(\rho)}^{\pm},$$

$$T_{
ho}K \cap E_{
ho}^{\pm} = E_{
ho}^{+} \cap E_{
ho}^{-} = \{0\}, \ \ \dim E_{
ho}^{\pm} = d_t,$$

$$T_{\rho}(T^*X)=T_{\rho}K+E_{\rho}^++E_{\rho}^-,$$

 $\|(\exp(\mp tH_{\rho}))_*v\|_{\exp(\mp tH_{\rho})(\rho)} \leq Ce^{-\lambda_0 t}\|v\|_{\rho}, \quad v\in E_{\rho}^{\pm}.$

$$T_{\rho}K \cap E_{\rho}^{\pm} = E_{\rho}^{+} \cap E_{\rho}^{-} = \{0\}, \quad \dim E_{\rho}^{\pm} = d_{t},$$
$$T_{\rho}(T^{*}X) = T_{\rho}K + E_{\rho}^{+} + E_{\rho}^{-},$$
$$\|(\exp(\mp tH_{\rho}))_{*}v\|_{\exp(\mp tH_{\rho})(\rho)} \leq Ce^{-\lambda_{0}t}\|v\|_{\rho}, \quad v \in E_{\rho}^{\pm}.$$



▲ロト ▲母 ト ▲目 ト ▲目 ト ● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

Resonance gap for normally hyperbolic trapping:

Nonnenmacher-Z '13: If the trapped set is normally hyperbolic then there are no resonances with ${\rm Im}\,\lambda>-\gamma$

(+ bounds on the Green function which allow consequences for correlations).

$$\gamma < \liminf_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \inf_{
ho \in K} \log \det d(\exp tH_{
ho})|_{E_{
ho}^+}.$$

Requires fine analysis near the trapped set then "glued to infinity" using

1) Euclidean infinity: complex scaling of Aguilar-Combes, '71 Balslev-Combes '71, Simon '72, Helffer-Sjöstrand, '85...

2) de Sitter black holes, hyperbolic infinities: Vasy '13, Dyatlov '11

3) dynamical systems: Faure-Sjöstrand '11

Conclusions

- Exponential decay of correlations comes from the high-energy (semiclassical) resonance gap; in other words from a lower bound on decay rates.
- Resonance gap is determined by the dynamical structure of the trapped set the set of points in phase space which do not escape to infinity.
- For hyperbolic (fractal) trapped sets the gap is measured using topological pressure; it occurs when the set is filamentary.
- For normally hyperbolic (smooth) trapped sets the gap is estimated using transversal Lyapunov exponents
- It is essential that the gap estimates are quantitative; that is they come with estimates on the Green function.

Appendix

An alternative definition of the topological pressure



To define the pressure of Φ^t on K_E , one starts from an open cover $(V_b)_{b\in B}$ of K_E . This cover is then refined T times through the flow, producing sets

$$V_{\vec{b}} = V_{b_0} \cap \Phi^{-1} V_{b_1} \cap \Phi^{-2} V_{b_2} \cap \dots \cap \Phi^{-T+1} V_{b_{T-1}}, \qquad \vec{b} \in B^T.$$

Keep the $V_{\vec{b}}$ intersecting K_E .

We weigh each $V_{\vec{h}}$ using the coarse-grained unstable Jacobian:

$$w_{\mathcal{T}}(V_{\vec{b}}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{\rho \in V_{\vec{b}} \cap K_{\mathcal{E}}} \left(J_{\mathcal{T}}^+(\rho)\right)^{-1/2} \sim \mathrm{e}^{-\mathcal{T}(d-1)\bar{\lambda}/2} \,,$$

where $\bar{\lambda}$ is an "average" stretching exponent for initial points in $V_{\vec{b}}$. One then considers the partition function

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{T}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf \{ \sum_{\vec{b} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{T}}} w_{\mathcal{T}}(V_{\vec{b}}) : \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{T}} \subset \mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{T}}, \ \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}} \subset \bigcup_{\vec{b} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{T}}} V_{\vec{b}} \}.$$

The pressure $\mathcal{P}_{E}(1/2)$ is finally given by

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{E}}(1/2) = \lim_{\mathrm{diam}(V_b) \to 0} \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \log \mathcal{Z}_T.$$

Yet another definition (perhaps not rigorous but computationally very successful):

$$\begin{split} P(s) &= \frac{1}{i} \text{ first zero of } \zeta_s(\lambda) \\ \zeta_s(\lambda) &= \prod_{\gamma^{\#}} \left(1 - \frac{e^{i\lambda T_{\gamma}^{\#}}}{J_+(\gamma^{\#})^s} \right), \\ J_+(\gamma^{\#}) &= \exp\left(\int_0^{T_{\gamma}^{\#}} \log \det d\Phi_t(\rho)|_{E^u(\rho)} \right). \end{split}$$

 $P(0)=\,$ topological entropy, $\,\,\,P(1)=-\,$ classical escape rate,

 $P(\delta) = 0$, where dim $K = 2\delta + 1$, $K \subset S^*(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{O})$, the trapped set.