

Extremal Set Theory (IPAM Tutorial)

Peter Keevash

School of Mathematical Sciences, Queen Mary, University of London.

`p.keevash@qmul.ac.uk`



Sperner, LYM, Bollobás, Probability

Definitions

$\mathcal{P}[n]$: subsets of $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ (aka n -cube, Boolean lattice).

Antichain $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}[n]$: $\nexists A, B \in \mathcal{A}, A \subsetneq B$.

Levels $\binom{[n]}{i} = \{A \subseteq [n] : |A| = i\}$ (' i -uniform')

Theorem (Sperner)

The largest antichain in $\mathcal{P}[n]$ is a level.

Theorem (LYM inequality)

$\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}[n]$ antichain, a_i sets of size $i \rightarrow \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \binom{n}{i}^{-1} \leq 1$.

Theorem (Bollobás)

$A_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$ iff $i = j$, $1 \leq i, j \leq m \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{|A_i| + |B_i|}{|A_i|}^{-1} \leq 1$.

Proof: Randomly order elements, events $E_i = \{a < b : \forall a \in A_i, b \in B_i\}$,
 $\mathbb{P}(E_i) = \binom{|A_i| + |B_i|}{|A_i|}^{-1}$, E_1, \dots, E_m mutually exclusive $\rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{P}(E_i) \leq 1$.

Intersecting families

Definition

t -intersecting $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}[n]$: $|A \cap B| \geq t \forall A, B \in \mathcal{A}$.

Easy: $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}[n]$ (1-)intersecting $\rightarrow |\mathcal{A}| \leq 2^{n-1}$.

Theorem (Erdős-Ko-Rado)

$\mathcal{A} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{k}$ intersecting, $n \geq 2k \rightarrow |\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1}$.

Theorem (Katona)

$\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}[n]$ t -intersecting, $n + t$ even $\rightarrow |\mathcal{A}| \leq \sum_{i=(n+t)/2}^n \binom{n}{i}$.

Definition

t -majority $\mathcal{M}_{n,k,t,i} = \{A : A \subseteq [n], |A| = k, |A \cap [t+2i]| \geq t+i\}$.

Ahlswede-Khachatryan Complete Intersection Theorem

$\mathcal{A} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{k}$ t -intersecting $\rightarrow |\mathcal{A}| \leq \max_i |\mathcal{M}_{n,k,t,i}|$.

EKR via Katona circle method

Theorem (Erdős-Ko-Rado)

$\mathcal{A} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{k}$ intersecting, $n \geq 2k \rightarrow |\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1}$.

Lemma

For any permutation $\sigma \in S_n$, at most k (cyclic) σ -intervals $B = \{\sigma(x), \dots, \sigma(x+k-1)\} \bmod n$ belong to \mathcal{A} .

Proof of Lemma

Initial vertices of any two σ -intervals in \mathcal{A} are at distance $\leq k-1$.

Proof of EKR

Random $\sigma \in S_n$, random σ -interval B , $|\mathcal{A}| / \binom{n}{k} = \mathbb{P}(B \in \mathcal{A}) \leq k/n$.

EKR via compressions

ij-compressions

Suppose $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. For $A \subseteq [n]$ let $C_{ij}(A) = A \setminus \{j\} \cup \{i\}$ if $j \in A$ and $i \notin A$, otherwise $C_{ij}(A) = A$. (Replace j by i where possible.) For $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}[n]$ let $C_{ij}(\mathcal{A}) = \{C_{ij}(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}, C_{ij}(A) \notin \mathcal{A}\} \cup \{A : A \in \mathcal{A}, C_{ij}(A) \in \mathcal{A}\}$. (Replace each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ by $C_{ij}(A)$ unless already present.)

Compressed system $C(\mathcal{A})$: repeatedly apply compressions for all $i < j$.

Properties: $|C_{ij}(\mathcal{A})| = |\mathcal{A}|$, \mathcal{A} t -intersecting $\rightarrow C_{ij}(\mathcal{A})$ t -intersecting.

Proof of EKR

Induction on n and k . Base cases: $k = 1$ trivial, $n = 2k$ pair $A \leftrightarrow \bar{A}$.

$\mathcal{B} = \{A \in C(\mathcal{A}) : n \notin A\}$ intersecting, $|\mathcal{B}| \leq \binom{n-2}{k-1}$.

$\mathcal{D} = \{A \setminus \{n\} : n \in A \in C(\mathcal{A})\}$ intersecting, $|\mathcal{D}| \leq \binom{n-2}{k-2}$. [Disjoint D_1, D_2 in $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow x \in [n-1] \setminus (D_1 \cup D_2)$, disjoint $D_1 \cup \{x\}, D_2 \cup \{n\}$ in \mathcal{A} .]

So $|\mathcal{A}| = |C(\mathcal{A})| = |\mathcal{B}| + |\mathcal{D}| \leq \binom{n-2}{k-1} + \binom{n-2}{k-2} = \binom{n-1}{k-1}$.

Another compression proof

Theorem (Katona)

$\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}[n]$ t -intersecting, $n + t$ even $\rightarrow |\mathcal{A}| \leq \sum_{i=(n+t)/2}^n \binom{n}{i}$.

Proof (Ahlsweede-Khachatrian)

Induction on n and t : $t = 1$ easy ($|\mathcal{A}| \leq 2^{n-1}$), $t = n$ trivial.

$\mathcal{D} = \{A \setminus \{1\} : 1 \in A \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})\}$ $(t-1)$ -intersecting, $|\mathcal{D}| \leq \sum_{i=(n+t)/2-1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{i}$.

$\mathcal{B} = \{A \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}) : 1 \notin A\}$ $(t+1)$ -intersecting, $|\mathcal{B}| \leq \sum_{i=(n+t)/2}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{i}$.

[If $|B_1 \cap B_2| = t$, B_1, B_2 in \mathcal{B} take $x \in B_1 \cap B_2$,
 $B'_1 = C_{1x}(B_1) = B_1 \setminus \{x\} \cup \{1\} \in \mathcal{A}$, $|B'_1 \cap B_2| < t$.]

So $|\mathcal{A}| = |\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})| = |\mathcal{B}| + |\mathcal{D}| \leq \sum_{i=(n+t)/2-1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{i} + \sum_{i=(n+t)/2}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{i}$
 $= \sum_{i=(n+t)/2}^n \left[\binom{n-1}{i} + \binom{n-1}{i-1} \right] = \sum_{i=(n+t)/2}^n \binom{n}{i}$.

Traces and down compression

Definitions

The **trace** of $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}[n]$ on $S \subseteq [n]$ is $\mathcal{A}_S = \{A \cap S : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$. Write $Tr(n, \mathcal{F}) = \max |\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \text{ has no } \mathcal{F} \text{ trace}$. The **VC-dimension** $\dim_{VC}(\mathcal{A}) = \max k : \mathcal{A} \text{ has a } 2^{[k]} \text{ trace}$ ('shattered' k -set).

Sauer-Shelah / Shatter Function Lemma (Sa, Pe, Sh, VC)

$$\dim_{VC}(\mathcal{A}) = k \rightarrow |\mathcal{A}| \leq Tr(n, 2^{[k]}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{n}{i}.$$

i -compressions

Suppose $1 \leq i \leq n$. For $A \subseteq [n]$ let $C_i(A) = A \setminus \{i\}$ if $i \in A$, otherwise $C_i(A) = A$. For $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}[n]$ let $C_i(\mathcal{A}) = \{C_i(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}, C_i(A) \notin \mathcal{A}\} \cup \{A : A \in \mathcal{A}, C_i(A) \in \mathcal{A}\}$. All i -compressions: $C(\mathcal{A})$.

Props: $|C_i(\mathcal{A})| = |\mathcal{A}|$, $|C_i(\mathcal{A})_S| \leq |\mathcal{A}_S|$, $\dim_{VC}(C_i(\mathcal{A})) \leq \dim_{VC}(\mathcal{A})$ [\rightarrow SFL].

General trace problems? Anstee, Frankl-Pach, Balogh-K.-Sudakov, ...

Restricted intersections

Definitions

Suppose $L \subseteq \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}[n]$. Say \mathcal{A} is **L -intersecting** if $|A \cap B| \in L \forall A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. Say \mathcal{A} is **L -intersecting mod p** if $|A \cap B| \in L \pmod p \forall A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. and $|A| \notin L \pmod p \forall A \in \mathcal{A}$. **L -intersecting mod 0** \equiv L -intersecting.

e.g. $\{1\}$ -int $\rightarrow |\mathcal{A}| \leq n$ (proj plane); $\{0\}$ -int mod 2 $\rightarrow |\mathcal{A}| \leq n$ (oddtown).

Biplane problem: Is there a $\{2\}$ -intersecting \mathcal{A} on $[n]$ of size n for large n ?

Frankl–Ray–Chaudhuri–Wilson Theorems

Suppose p prime or 0; k, n positive integers; $|L| = s$.

- (i) Any L -intersecting mod p family on $[n]$ has size at most $\sum_{i=0}^s \binom{n}{i}$.
- (ii) Any k -uniform L -intersecting mod p family on $[n]$ has size at most $\binom{n}{s}$.

Definitions

$m(n, L)$: max L -int on $[n]$; $m(n, k, L)$: max k -uniform L -int on $[n]$;

Problems

Frankl: $m(n, k, L) = \Theta(n^{\alpha(k, L)})$? Deza–Erdős–Frankl: $m(n, 13, \{0, 1, 3\})$?

Füredi: $m(n, \{0, 2, 3\}) = \Theta(n^2)$, asymptotic?

The linear algebra method

Theorem

Suppose $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$ is L -intersecting mod p on $[n]$, where p is prime and $|L| = s$. Then $m \leq \sum_{i=0}^s \binom{n}{i}$.

Proof

Recall: $|A_i \cap A_j| \in L \pmod p$ for $i \neq j$, $|A_i| \notin L \pmod p$ for all i .

Let $f_i(x) = \prod_{\ell \in L} (x \cdot v_i - \ell) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. (v_i incidence vectors.)

$v_i \cdot v_j = |A_i \cap A_j| \pmod p \rightarrow f_i(v_j) = 0$ iff $i \neq j$. Non-singular diagonal matrix.

Multilinearise $f_i \rightarrow \tilde{f}_i$, $x_j^2 = x_j$ on 0/1-vectors, $\tilde{f}_i(v_j) = f_i(v_j)$.

$\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_m$ linearly independent in vector space V of multilinear polys of degree $\leq s$ in $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$; $m \leq \dim V = \sum_{i=0}^s \binom{n}{i}$.

Extra tricks: (i) Change $i \neq j$ to $i < j$: non-singular triangular matrix.

(ii) Add $\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \binom{n}{i}$ linearly independent polys: $\leq \binom{n}{s}$ when k -uniform.

The sunflower (delta system) method

Definition

Sunflower \mathcal{S} with t petals and centre C : S_1, \dots, S_t s.t. $S_i \cap S_j = C \forall i \neq j$.

Sunflower Lemma (Erdős-Rado)

A k -uniform, no t -sunflower $\rightarrow |\mathcal{A}| \leq k!(t-1)^k$.

Proof: max matching $\leq t-1$, $\exists |\mathcal{A}(x)| \geq |\mathcal{A}|/(t-1)k$, induction.

Problem: $|\mathcal{A}| \leq C(t)^k$? Open even for $t=3$.

Key property: $|\mathcal{A}| < t \rightarrow \exists i$ with $A \cap S_j = A \cap C$.

Definition

Non-trivial t -intersecting \mathcal{A} : t -intersecting & $|\cap \mathcal{A}| < t$.

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = \{A \in \binom{[n]}{k} : [t] \subseteq A, A \cap [t+1, k+1] \neq \emptyset\} \cup \{[k+1] \setminus \{i\} : i \in [t]\}.$$

$$\mathcal{A}_2 = \{A \in \binom{[n]}{k} : |A \cap [t+2]| \geq t+1\}.$$

Non-trivial t -intersecting families

Theorem (Frankl, Hilton-Milner $t = 1$)

k -uniform non-trivial t -intersecting \mathcal{A} on $[n]$ large $\rightarrow |\mathcal{A}| \leq \max\{|\mathcal{A}_1|, |\mathcal{A}_2|\}$.
Equality only for $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1$, $k \geq 2t + 2$ or $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1$, $k \leq 2t + 1$.

Proof

Generating family \mathcal{B} : repeatedly replace A by $A' \subsetneq A$ while still t -int.

\mathcal{B} no k -sunflower (or replace \mathcal{S} by centre C), so $|\mathcal{B}| \leq k!k^k$.

$\mathcal{B}_i = \{B \in \mathcal{B} : |B| = i, \mathcal{B}_i = \emptyset \text{ for } i \leq t \text{ (non-trivial)}\}$.

wma $\max\{|\mathcal{A}_1|, |\mathcal{A}_2|\} \leq |\mathcal{A}| \leq \sum_i |\mathcal{B}_i| \binom{n-i}{k-i} = |\mathcal{B}_i| \binom{n-t+1}{k-t+1} + O(n^{k-t-2})$, so
 $|\mathcal{B}_{t+1}| \geq \max\{k-t+1, t+2\}$. Choose $B_1, B_2, B_3 \in \mathcal{B}_{t+1}$.

Suppose $B_1 \cap B_2 = B_1 \cap B_3 = C$. Then \mathcal{B}_{t+1} sunflower center C , non-triv
 $\rightarrow \exists A \in \mathcal{A} : |A \cap C| < t$, so $|\mathcal{B}_{t+1}| \leq k-t+1$, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1$.

Otherwise, $D = B_1 \cup B_2$ size $t+2$, $|A \cap D| \geq t+1 \forall A \in \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_2$.

Spectral methods

Theorem (Hoffman bound)

d -regular graph G , adjacency matrix A , eigenvalues $d = \lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$
 \rightarrow independence number $\alpha(G) \leq \frac{-\lambda_n n}{d - \lambda_n}$.

Proof

Suppose I independent with characteristic function f . Take uniform measure $\mu(i) = 1/n \forall i$. Write $\alpha := |I|/n = \|f\|_1 = \|f\|_2^2$ (0/1 function).

Take v_1, \dots, v_n orthonormal eigenvectors of A , where $v_1 = (1, \dots, 1)$. Write $f = \sum a_i v_i$. Then $a_1 = \|f\|_1 = \alpha$, and Parseval $\rightarrow \|f\|_2^2 = \sum a_i^2 = \alpha$.

Now I indep $\rightarrow fAf^T = 0$, so $\sum \lambda_i a_i^2 = 0$, so $0 \geq \lambda_1 \alpha^2 + (\alpha - \alpha^2) \lambda_n$.

Definition (expansion)

A graph G is an α -**expander** if $|N(W)| \geq \alpha|W| \forall W \subset V, |W| \leq n/2$, where $N(W) = \{v \in V \setminus W : \exists w \in W, vw \in E\}$.

Theorem

G is a $(d - \lambda_2)/2d$ -expander.

Intersection stability: Friedgut's proof

Disjointness graph D_n on $\{0, 1\}^n \leftrightarrow \mathcal{P}[n]$: $A \sim B$ iff $A \cap B = \emptyset$.

Independent set = intersecting family.

$\mu_p(1) = p$, $\mu_p(0) = q = 1 - p$, $A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - p/q & p/q \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, eigenvectors

$(1, 1)$, $(\sqrt{p/q}, -\sqrt{q/p})$ evals 1 , $-p/q$, orthonormal wrt μ_p .

$A = A_1^{\otimes n}$ pseudo-adjacency matrix, eigenvectors $\{\chi_S\}_{S \subseteq [n]}$: p -biased Walsh functions $\chi_S(x) = \prod_{i \in S} \chi_{\{i\}}(x)$, where $\chi_{\{i\}}(x)$ is $\sqrt{p/q}$ if $x_i = 0$, $-\sqrt{q/p}$ if $x_i = 1$, eigenvalues $\lambda_S = (-p/q)^{|S|}$.

I independent = intersecting, f char function, $f = \sum_S \hat{f}(S) \chi_S$.

Parseval $\rightarrow \sum_S \hat{f}(S)^2 = \|f\|_2^2 = \|f\|_1^2 = \hat{f}(\emptyset) = \mu_p(\mathcal{A}) := \alpha$, so
 $0 = f A f^T = \sum (-p/q)^{|S|} \hat{f}(S)^2 \geq \alpha^2 + (\alpha - \alpha^2)(-p/q)$, $\alpha \leq p$.

μ_p stability: $\alpha \sim p \rightarrow \sum_{|S| > 1} \hat{f}(S)^2 = o(1) \rightarrow_{FKN} \exists i \|f - x_i\| = o(1)$.

EKR stability: k -uniform int $|\mathcal{A}| \sim \binom{n-1}{k-1}$, $p \approx k/n$, \mathcal{A}^\uparrow upset of \mathcal{A} ,
 $\mu_p(\mathcal{A}^\uparrow) \sim p$, $\exists \mathcal{B} = \{B : i \in B\}$, $\mu_p(\mathcal{A}^\uparrow \setminus \mathcal{B}) = o(1)$, $|\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{B}| = o\left(\binom{n-1}{k-1}\right)$.

Another spectral proof of intersection stability (K.)

Recall circle method: $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{k}$ intersecting ($n \geq 2k$), contains $\leq k$ σ -intervals $\forall \sigma \in S_n$, averaging $\rightarrow |\mathcal{A}| \leq \frac{k}{n} \binom{n}{k} = \binom{n-1}{k-1}$.

Definition

σ is **v -complete** if \mathcal{A} contains all k σ -intervals containing v .

N.B. $|\mathcal{A}| \sim \binom{n-1}{k-1} \rightarrow o(n!)$ incomplete permutations.

Lemma [just case checking]

If σ is v -complete and $\tau = \sigma \circ (i \ i + 1)$ is complete then τ is v -complete.

Represent circular orders by $S_{n-1} = \{\sigma : \sigma(n) = n\}$. Cayley graph C generated by $T = \{(12), (23), \dots, (n-2 \ n-1)\}$. Regular $d = n-2$, Bacher $\rightarrow d - \lambda_2 = 2 - 2 \cos(\pi/(n-1)) > 2/n^2$, so $1/n^3$ -expander.

If $|W| > n^3 \cdot \#\text{incomplete}$ then \exists complete $\sigma \in N(W)$, so $C' = C[\text{complete}]$ has component of size $\sim n!$. Lemma $\rightarrow \exists v$ s.t. σ is v -complete $\forall \sigma \in C'$. Then EKR \rightarrow all but $o\left(\binom{n-1}{k-1}\right)$ sets of \mathcal{A} contain v .

More open problems

Frankl's union-closed set problem

Suppose \mathcal{A} satisfies $A \cup B \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$.

Is there some element in at least half of the sets in \mathcal{A} ?

Chvátal's intersecting subfamily problem

Suppose \mathcal{A} is a downset = simplicial complex, i.e. $B \subseteq A \in \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B \in \mathcal{A}$.

Is there a maximum size intersecting subfamily \mathcal{A}' of \mathcal{A} that is a star, i.e. $\mathcal{A}' = \{A : x \in A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ for some x ?

Chvátal's simplex problem

A **d -simplex** is a collection of $d + 1$ sets with empty intersection, every d of which have non-empty intersection. Suppose $k \geq d + 1 \geq 2$, $n > k(d + 1)/d$ and \mathcal{A} is k -uniform on $[n]$ with no d -simplex. How large can \mathcal{A} be? At most $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$, equality only for a star?