

The additive energy and the eigenvalues

I. D. Shkredov

Steklov Mathematical Institute

Let \mathbf{G} be an abelian group, and $A \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ be a finite set.

Sets with small doubling

A is called a set with *small doubling* if

$$|A + A| \leq K|A|.$$

Examples

$$A = P = \{a, a + s, \dots, a + d(k - 1)\},$$

$A = P_1 + \dots + P_d$ (generalized arithmetic progression),

large subsets of $P_1 + \dots + P_d$ or P .

Theorem (Freiman, 1973)

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$, and $|A + A| \leq K|A|$. Then there is $Q = P_1 + \cdots + P_d$ such that

$$A \subseteq Q$$

and

$$|Q| \leq C|A|,$$

where d, C depend on K only.

Thus, A is a large subset of a generalized arithmetic progression.

Freiman, \mathbb{F}_2^n

Theorem (Freiman)

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$, and $|A + A| \leq K|A|$. Then there is a subspace Q of dimension d such that

$$A \subseteq Q \quad \text{and} \quad |Q| \leq C|A|,$$

where d, C depend on K only ($d(K) \sim 2K, C(K) \sim \exp(K)$).

Example

Let $A = \{e_1, \dots, e_s\}$, $|A + A| \sim |A|^2/2 \sim s^2$.
Thus $K \sim s$, and $C(K) \sim \exp(K)$.

Subsets

Instead of covering A let us find a structural *subset* of A .

Polynomial Freiman–Ruzsa Conjecture

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$, and $|A + A| \leq K|A|$. Then there is a subspace Q such that

$$|A \cap Q| \geq |A|/C_1(K),$$

and

$$|Q| \leq C_2(K)|A|,$$

where C_1, C_2 depends on K polynomially.

It is known (Sanders, 2012) for
 $C_1(K) \sim C_2(K) \sim \exp(\log^4(K))$.

Balog–Szemerédi–Gowers

Additive energy

Let $A, B \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ be sets. The (common) *additive energy* of A and B

$$E(A, B) = E_2(A, B) := |\{a_1 + b_1 = a_2 + b_2 : a_1, a_2 \in A, b_1, b_2 \in B\}|.$$

If $A = B$ then write $E(A)$ for $E(A, A)$.

Example, $E(A)$ large

A is an arithmetic progression (\mathbb{Z}) or subspace (\mathbb{F}_2^n).

If $|A + A| \leq K|A|$ then $E(A) \geq |A|^3/K$.

Balog–Szemerédi–Gowers

Theorem (Balog–Szemerédi–Gowers)

Let \mathbf{G} be an abelian group, and $A \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ be a finite set. Suppose that $E(A) \geq |A|^3/K$. Then there is $A_* \subseteq A$ such that

$$|A_*| \geq |A|/C_1(K),$$

and

$$|A_* + A_*| \leq C_2(K)|A_*|,$$

where C_1, C_2 depend on K polynomially.

So, firstly, we find a structural subset and, secondly, all bounds are polynomial.

So, $|A + A| \leq K|A| \Rightarrow E(A) \geq |A|^3/K$.

But $E(A) \geq |A|^3/K \Rightarrow |A_* + A_*| \leq C(K)|A_*|$ for some polynomially large A_* .

Can we have it for the whole A ?

Example

$A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$,

$$A = Q \sqcup \Lambda,$$

where Q is a subspace, $|Q| \sim E^{1/3}(A)$ and Λ is a basis ($|\Lambda| \sim |A|$).

$E(Q) \sim E(A)$ but $|A + A| \geq |\Lambda + \Lambda| \gg |A|^2$.

Example, again

$$A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n,$$

$$A = Q \bigsqcup \Lambda,$$

where Q is a subspace, $|Q| \sim E^{1/3}(A)$ and Λ is a basis ($|\Lambda| \sim |A|$).

$E(Q) \sim E(A)$ and, similarly, $E(A, Q) \sim E(A)$. Hence

$$\frac{E(A, Q)}{|Q|} > \frac{E(A, A)}{|A|} = \frac{E(A)}{|A|}.$$

Convolutions

$$(g \circ h)(x) := \sum_y g(y)h(x+y),$$

$$(\chi_A \circ \chi_B)(x) := |\{a - b = x : a \in A, b \in B\}|.$$

Consider the hermitian positively defined operator (matrix)

$$T(x, y) = (A \circ A)(x - y)A(x)A(y),$$

where $A(x)$ is the characteristic function χ_A of the set A , i.e. $A(x) = 1, x \in A$ and $A(x) = 0$ otherwise.

Recall

$$T(x, y) = (A \circ A)(x - y)A(x)A(y).$$

We have

$$\langle TA, A \rangle = \sum_{x,y} (A \circ A)(x - y)A(x)A(y) = \|A \circ A\|_2^2 = E(A),$$

and, similarly,

$$\left\langle T \frac{A(x)}{|A|^{1/2}}, \frac{A(x)}{|A|^{1/2}} \right\rangle = \frac{E(A)}{|A|} < \left\langle T \frac{Q(x)}{|Q|^{1/2}}, \frac{Q(x)}{|Q|^{1/2}} \right\rangle = \frac{E(A, Q)}{|Q|}.$$

Thus, the action of T on (normalized) Q is larger than the action of T on (normalized) A .

Let

$$\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_{|A|} > 0$$

be the spectrum of T and

$$f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{|A|}$$

the correspondent eigenfunctions.

By Courant–Fisher Theorem

$$\mu_1 = \max_{\|f\|_2=1} \langle Tf, f \rangle.$$

Thus, f_1 'sits' on Q not A !

Here $A = Q \sqcup \Lambda$.

Conjecture

The structured pieces of $A \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ are (essential) supports of the eigenfunctions of \mathbb{T} .

Holds

- not for any A , A should be a 'popular difference set' :

$$A = \{x : (B \circ B)(x) \geq c|B|\}$$

for some B , $c = c(K) > 0$

- may be we need in some another 'weights'.

Operators

Let \mathbf{G} be an abelian group, and $A \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ be a finite set. Take any real function g such that $g(-x) = g(x)$. Put

$$T_A^g(x, y) = g(x - y)A(x)A(y).$$

Let

$$\mu_1(T_A^g) \geq \mu_2(T_A^g) \geq \cdots \geq \mu_{|A|}(T_A^g)$$

be the spectrum of T_A^g and

$$f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{|A|}$$

the correspondent eigenfunctions.

$$T_A^g(x, y) = g(x - y)A(x)A(y).$$

Examples

- If $A = \mathbf{G}$, $g(x) = B(x)$, $B \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ then $T_{\mathbf{G}}^B$ the adjacency matrix of Cayley graph defined by B .
- If $g(x) = B(x)$ and A is any set then T_A^B is a submatrix of Cayley graph.
- Put $g(x) = (A \circ A)(x)$. Then $T = T_A^{A \circ A}$. Always

$$\mu_1(T) \geq \frac{E(A)}{|A|}.$$

Trace of T_A^g and $T_A^g(T_A^g)^*$

$$|A|g(0) = \sum_{j=1}^{|A|} \mu_j(T_A^g),$$

$$\sum_z |g(z)|^2 (A \circ A)(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{|A|} |\mu_j(T_A^g)|^2.$$

Example

Let $T = T_A^{A \circ A}$. Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{|A|} |\mu_j(T_A^g)|^2 = \sum_z (A \circ A)^3(z) := E_3(A).$$

Structural E_2, E_3 result

Theorem (Shkredov, 2013)

Let $A \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ be a set, $E(A) = |A|^3/K$, and $E_3(A) = M|A|^4/K^2$.
Then there is $A_* \subseteq A$ s.t.

$$|A_*| \geq M^{-C} |A|,$$

and for any n, m

$$|nA_* - mA_*| \leq K \cdot M^{C(n+m)} |A_*|.$$

Let $Q \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$ be a subspace, $A \subseteq Q$ be a random subset s.t.
 $|A| = |Q|/K \Rightarrow E(A) \sim |A|^3/K, E_3(A) \sim |A|^4/K^2 \Rightarrow M \sim 1.$

$$|A - A| \sim K|A| \sim |Q| \quad \text{as well as} \quad |nA - mA| \sim |Q|.$$

Let $a \leq b$ and $M \geq 1$.

a and b are M -critical if $a \leq b \leq Ma$.

Structural E_2, E_3 result, again

Let $A \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ be a set, and $E_2(A), E_3(A)$ be M -critical

$$\frac{E_2^2}{|A|^2} \leq E_3 \leq \frac{ME_2^2}{|A|^2}.$$

Then there is $A_* \subseteq A$, $|A_*| \gg_M |A|$ s.t.

- $A_* - A_*$ has small doubling,
- $|A_* - A_*| \sim_M \frac{|A|^4}{E(A)}$.

Multiplicative subgroups

Let $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^*$ be a subgroup, $q = p^s$, $|\Gamma|$ divides $q - 1$, $n = \frac{q-1}{|\Gamma|}$, \mathbf{g} be a primitive root. Then

$$\Gamma = \{1, \mathbf{g}^n, \mathbf{g}^{2n}, \dots, \mathbf{g}^{(t-1)n}\},$$

Consider the orthonormal family of multiplicative characters on Γ

$$\chi_\alpha(x) = |\Gamma|^{-1/2} \cdot \Gamma(x) e^{\frac{2\pi i \alpha l}{|\Gamma|}}, \quad x = \mathbf{g}^l, \quad 0 \leq l < |\Gamma|.$$

Lemma

Let $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^*$ be a subgroup, g be any real even Γ -invariant function

$$g(\gamma x) = g(x), \quad \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Then χ_α , $\alpha = 0, 1, \dots, |\Gamma| - 1$ are eigenfunctions of T_Γ^g .

In particular

$$E(\Gamma) = |\Gamma| \mu_1(T_\Gamma^g),$$

$$E(\Gamma) = \max_{f : \|f\|_2 = |\Gamma|} E(\Gamma, f),$$

and

$$E(\Gamma, A) \geq E(\Gamma) \frac{|A|^2}{|\Gamma|^2}, \quad A \subseteq \Gamma.$$

The additive energy of subgroups

Theorem (Konyagin, 2002)

Let $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p$ be a multiplicative subgroup, $|\Gamma| \ll p^{2/3}$. Then

$$E(\Gamma) := |\{g_1 + g_2 = g_3 + g_4 : g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 \in \Gamma\}| \ll |\Gamma|^{5/2}.$$

Theorem (Shkredov, 2012)

Let $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p$ be a multiplicative subgroup, $|\Gamma| \ll p^{3/5}$. Then

$$E(\Gamma) := |\{g_1 + g_2 = g_3 + g_4 : g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 \in \Gamma\}| \\ \ll |\Gamma|^{5/2-\varepsilon_0}.$$

Why ?

Suppose that $E(\Gamma) \sim |\Gamma|^{5/2} = |\Gamma|^3/K$, $K \sim |\Gamma|^{1/2}$.

Lemma

We have

$$E_3(\Gamma) \ll |\Gamma|^3 \log |\Gamma| = \frac{M|\Gamma|^4}{K^2},$$

where $M \sim \log |\Gamma|$.

Thus by our structural result Γ stabilized under addition but $k\Gamma = \mathbb{F}_p$ (more delicate arguments give the better bounds).

Thus, $E(\Gamma) = |\Gamma|^{5/2-\varepsilon_0}$, $\varepsilon_0 > 0$.

Convex sets

$A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n\} \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ is called *convex* if

$$a_{i+1} - a_i > a_i - a_{i-1} \quad \text{for all } i.$$

Example.

$$A = \{1^2, 2^2, \dots, n^2\}.$$

Theorem (Iosevich, Konyagin, Rudnev, Ten, 2006)

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a convex set. Then

$$E(A) \ll |A|^{5/2}.$$

Theorem (Shkredov, 2012–2013)

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a convex set. Then

$$E(A) \ll |A|^{\frac{32}{13}} \log^{\frac{71}{65}} |A|.$$

Proof : a formula for higher moments of eigenvalues and estimation of eigenvalues.

Further applications

Doubling constants for :

- multiplicative subgroups,
- convex sets,
- sets with small product sets $|AA|$.

Combinatorial methods = counting spectrum of $T_A^{A \pm A}$.

Theorem (Elekes–Nathanson–Rusza, 1999)

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a convex set. Then

$$|A + A| \gg |A|^{3/2},$$

Theorem (Schoen–Shkredov, 2011)

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a convex set. Then

$$|A - A| \gg |A|^{8/5-\varepsilon},$$

and

$$|A + A| \gg |A|^{14/9-\varepsilon}.$$

Heilbronn's exponential sums

Let p be a prime number.

Heilbronn's exponential sum is defined by

$$S(a) = \sum_{n=1}^p e^{2\pi i \cdot \frac{an^p}{p^2}}.$$

Fermat quotients defined as

$$q(n) = \frac{n^{p-1} - 1}{p}, \quad n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Theorem (Heath–Brown, Konyagin, 2000)

Let p be a prime, and $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then

$$|S(a)| \ll p^{\frac{7}{8}}.$$

In the proof an upper bound of the additive energy of Heilbronn's subgroup

$$\Gamma = \{m^p : 1 \leq m \leq p-1\} = \{m^p : m \in \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}, m \neq 0\}$$

was used.

Via the additive energy estimate and the operator $T_A^{A \circ A}$.

Theorem (Shkredov, 2012–2013)

Let p be a prime, and $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then

$$|S(a)| \ll p^{\frac{7}{8} - \varepsilon_0} p.$$

Via direct calculations and the operator with "dual" weights $T_A^{\hat{A}}$.

Theorem (Shkredov, 2013)

Let p be a prime, and $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then

$$|S(a)| \ll p^{\frac{5}{6}} \log^{\frac{1}{6}} p.$$

By l_p denote the smallest n such that $q(n) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Theorem (Bourgain, Ford, Konyagin, Shparlinski, 2010)

One has

$$l_p \leq (\log p)^{\frac{463}{252} + o(1)}$$

as $p \rightarrow \infty$.

Previously Lenstra (1979) : $l_p \ll (\log p)^{2+o(1)}$.

Theorem (Shkredov, 2012–2013)

One has

$$l_p \leq (\log p)^{\frac{463}{252} - \varepsilon_0 + o(1)}, \quad \varepsilon_0 > 0,$$

ε_0 is an absolute (small) constant.

Other applications are :

- discrepancy of Fermat quotients,
- new bound for the size of the image of $q(n)$,
- estimates for Ihara sum,
- better bounds for the sums

$$\sum_{n=1}^k \chi(q(n)), \quad \sum_{n=1}^k \chi(nq(n)).$$

- Surprising inequalities between $E(A)$ and $E_s(A)$, $s \in (1, 2]$.

$f : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function, $\widehat{\mathbf{G}} = \{\xi\}$, $\xi : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be the group of homomorphisms.

Fourier transform

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) := \sum_x f(x) \overline{\xi(x)}, \quad \xi \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}.$$

Properties of T_A^g

We have

- $\text{Spec}(T_{\widehat{B}}^{\widehat{A}}) = \text{Spec}(T_{\widehat{B}^c}^{\widehat{A}}) = \text{Spec}(T_{\widehat{B}}^{\widehat{A}^c})$.
- $\text{Spec}(T_{\widehat{A}}^{\widehat{B}}(T_{\widehat{A}}^{\widehat{B}})^*) = |\mathbf{G}| \cdot \text{Spec}(T_{\widehat{A}}^{|\widehat{B}|^2})$

Here $f^c(x) := f(-x)$ for any function $f : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Chang Theorem

Let \mathbf{G} be an abelian group, and $A \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ be a finite set.

Dissociated sets

A set $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d\} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ is called *dissociated* if any equation of the form

$$\sum_{j=1}^d \varepsilon_j \lambda_j = 0, \quad \text{where } \varepsilon_j \in \{0, \pm 1\}$$

implies $\varepsilon_j = 0$ for all j .

Exm. $\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{F}_2^n$.

Proof of Chang Theorem via operators

Chang theorem

For any dissociated set Λ , any set $A \subseteq \mathbf{G}$, $|A| = \delta|\mathbf{G}|$ and an arbitrary function f , $\text{supp } f \subseteq A$

$$\sum_{\xi \in \Lambda} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 \leq |A| \log(1/\delta) \cdot \|f\|_2^2.$$

$$\sum_{\xi \in \Lambda} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 = \langle T_A^\wedge f, f \rangle \leq \mu_1(T_A^\wedge) \|f\|_2^2 = \mu_1(T_\Lambda^\wedge) \|f\|_2^2$$

Estimating $\mu_1(T_{\Lambda}^{\hat{A}})$

$\text{supp } w \subseteq \Lambda$, $k \sim \log(1/\delta)$.

$$\mu_1(T_{\Lambda}^{\hat{A}}) := \max_{\|w\|_2=1} \langle T_{\Lambda}^{\hat{A}} w, w \rangle = \sum_x |\hat{w}(x)|^2 A(x).$$

$$\mu_1^k(T_{\Lambda}^{\hat{A}}) \leq \sum_x |\hat{w}(x)|^{2k} \cdot |A|^{k-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_x |\hat{w}(x)|^{2k} &= |\mathbf{G}| \sum_{x_1+\dots+x_k=x'_1+\dots+x'_k} w(x_1) \dots w(x_k) \overline{w(x'_1)} \dots \overline{w(x'_k)} \\ &\leq NC^k k! \|w\|_2^{2k} = NC^k k!. \end{aligned}$$

Advantages of the approach

- Relaxation of dissociativity

$$\sum_{\sum_j |\varepsilon_j| \ll \log(1/\delta)} \varepsilon_j \lambda_j = 0 \quad \text{instead of} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{|\Lambda|} \varepsilon_j \lambda_j = 0.$$

- Very weak dissociativity ($\sum_j |\varepsilon_j| \leq C$).
- Other operators T_A^g . Higher moments

$$\sum_{\xi \in \Lambda} |\hat{A}(\xi)|^l, \quad l > 2,$$

dual Chang theorems

$$\sum_{x \in \Lambda} (A_1 * A_2)^2(x) \ll |A_1| |A_2| \log(\min\{|A_1|, |A_2|\}).$$

Structural E_2, E_3 result, again

Let $A \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ be a set, and E_2, E_3 be M -critical

Then there is $A_* \subseteq A$, $|A_*| \gg_M |A|$ s.t.

- $A_* - A_*$ has small doubling,
- $|A_* - A_*| \sim_M \frac{|A|^4}{E(A)}$.

Balog–Szemerédi–Gowers, again

$E(A)$ and $|A|$ are M -critical ($|A|^3 \ll_M E(A) \leq |A|^3$) iff there is $A_* \subseteq A$, $|A_*| \gg_M |A|$ s.t. A_* has small doubling.

It is a (rough) criterium.

$$E_k(A) = |\{a_1 - a'_1 = a_2 - a'_2 = \dots = a_k - a'_k : a_1, a'_1, \dots, a_k, a'_k \in A\}|$$

$$E_1(A) = |A|^2, E_2(A) = T_2(A).$$

$$T_k(A) := |\{a_1 + \dots + a_k = a'_1 + \dots + a'_k : a_1, \dots, a_k, a'_1, \dots, a'_k \in A\}|$$

$$\sum_x (\widehat{A \circ A})^{2k}(x) = |\mathbf{G}|^{2k+1} T_k(A) \quad \text{and} \quad T_k(|\widehat{A}|^2) = |\mathbf{G}|^{2k-1} E_{2k}(A).$$

$$\left(\frac{E_{3/2}(A)}{|A|} \right)^{2k} \leq E_k(A) T_k(A).$$

Criterion for $A, 2A, 3A, \dots$ stops at the second step (with right doubling constant).

Criterion

$T_4(A)$ and $E(A)$ are M -critical

$$T_4(A) \sim_M |A|^2 E(A)$$

iff there is $A_* \subseteq A$, $|A_*| \gg_M |A|$ s.t.

- $A_* - A_*$ has small doubling,
- $|A_* - A_*| \sim_M \frac{|A|^4}{E(A)}$.

Zoo

- 1) random sets
- 2) sets with small doubling

Further families

- 3) $2A$ has small (right) doubling
- 4) $A = H \dot{+} \mathcal{L}$, H has small doubling, \mathcal{L} is "dissociated"
- 5) $A = H_1 \sqcup H_2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup H_k$, all H_j with small doubling

and further

- 6) Intermediate between 4) and 5) (Bateman–Katz)
- 7) Schoen potatoes and so on.

Characterization of $H \dot{+} \mathcal{L}$

Criterium

$E_2(A)$ and $E_3(A)$ are M -critical

$$E_3(A) \sim_M |A|E(A)$$

iff there are two sets H, \mathcal{L} such that

$$|H \cap A| \gg_M \frac{E(A)}{|A|^2}, \quad |\mathcal{L}| \ll_M \frac{|A|}{|H|},$$

$$|H - H| \ll_M |H|,$$

and

$$|A \cap (H + \mathcal{L})| \gg_M |A|.$$

Energy of sumsets

$A = H \dot{+} \mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$, H is a subspace, \mathcal{L} is a basis, $|\mathcal{L}| = K$.
Then $E(A) \sim |A|^3/K$,

$$D = A + A = H \dot{+} (\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{L}).$$

Katz–Koester:

$$E(D) \geq |A|^2|D| = K|A|^3, \quad E_3(D) \geq K|A|^4.$$

Lower bound for $E_3(A \pm A)$

Let A be a set, $D = A \pm A$, $|D| = K|A|$. Suppose that $E(A) \ll |A|^3/K$ + some technical conditions. Then

$$E_3(D) \gg K^{7/4}|A|^4.$$

Upper bound $E_3(D) \ll K^2|A|^4$.

Put $A_s = A \cap (A + s)$.

$$\|A\|_{\mathcal{U}^3} := \sum_s E(A_s).$$

Criterion

$E_3(A)$ and $\|A\|_{\mathcal{U}^3}$ are M -critical

$$E_3(A) \sim_M \|A\|_{\mathcal{U}^3}$$

+ some technical conditions. iff

$$A = H_1 \sqcup H_2 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup H_k,$$

all $H_j \subseteq A_{s_j}$ with small doubling, $|H_j| \gg_M |A_{s_j}|$.

Weak counterexample to Gowers construction

Recall $A_s = A \cap (A + s)$.

Existence of A_s with small energy

Let $A \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ be a set, $E(A) = |A|^3/K$,

$$|A_s| \leq \frac{M|A|}{K},$$

where $M \geq 1$ is a real number. Then $\exists s \neq 0$, $|A_s| \geq \frac{|A|}{2K}$ s.t.

$$E(A_s) \ll \frac{M^{93/79}}{K^{1/198}} \cdot |A_s|^3.$$

Dichotomy for sumsets

$$D = A - A.$$

For $a_1, \dots, a_k \in A$, we have

$$A \subseteq (D + a_1) \cap (D + a_2) \cdots \cap (D + a_k).$$

Dichotomy

Suppose that for any x_1, \dots, x_k

$$|A| \leq |(D + x_1) \cap (D + x_2) \cdots \cap (D + x_k)| \leq |A|^{1+\varepsilon}.$$

Then either $E_k(A) \ll_{|A|^\varepsilon, k} |A|^k$ or $E(A) \gg_{|A|^\varepsilon, k} |A|^3$.

Concluding remarks

- Studying the eigenvalues and the eigenfunctions of T , we obtain the information about the initial object $E(A)$.
- Our approach tries to emulate Fourier analysis *onto* A not on the whole group \mathbf{G} .

Conjecture, again

The structured pieces of $A \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ are (essential) supports of the eigenfunctions of T .

Considered examples

In all examples above (multiplicative subgroups, convex sets and so on), we have

$$\mu_1 \gg \mu_2 \geq \mu_3 \geq \dots, \quad \mu_1 \text{ dominates.}$$

PFRC case

If our set A is a sumset $A = B - B$, $|A| = K|B|$ (or popular difference set) then

$$\mu_1 \sim \mu_2 \sim \dots \sim \mu_k \gg \mu_{k+1} \geq \dots, \quad k \sim K.$$

So, there many roughly equal eigenvalues.

The correspondent eigenfunctions lives on "disjoint" (sub)sets of $B - b$, $b \in B$.

Thank you for your attention!