

## Controlling unfairness in traffic networks

M. Grazia Speranza University of Brescia

IPAM, November 17th, 2020













## How to reach your destination....





Map and O/D

Coordination

Map, traffic and O/D

Sat-nav Shortest path (time/distance)

Sat-nav First k shortest paths (time)

#### Time to think about a new generation?



## Traffic assignment approaches



## Route guidance

#### The goal:

To find a traffic assignment with controlled limited inconvenience, and reduce the price of anarchy





## Constrained approaches

#### Maximum allowed inconvenience

#### 5% more than shortest path





## The first constrained approach

Jahn, Möhring, Schulz, Stier-Moses, OR, 2005

Min Total travel time

on paths of limited length





## The first constrained SO approach



Travel time on arc (i,j) with flow  $x_{ij}$ 

Min Total travel time

on paths of limited length

Non linear optimization problem on an exponential number of paths



## Linear constrained SO models





## Inconvenience (unfairness)

For an OD pair: concepts for inconvenience (unfairness)

Loaded inconvenience: ratio of the experienced travel time w.r.t. the fastest traveler, with current congestion level

Normal inconvenience: ratio of the length w.r.t. the shortest path

User equilibrium (UE) inconvenience: ratio of the experienced travel time w.r.t. to the travel time in a user equilibrium

Free-flow inconvenience: ratio of the experienced travel time w.r.t. the fastest path, with free-flow travel time





















Grazia Speranza - Controlling unfairness

Heuristic column generation

Through a procedure that works on a modified network

Generate new improving paths

Solve the restricted problem



## Instances







#### 40 instances with 150 nodes and 8 instances with 330 nodes

## Instances





## Instances

Sioux Falls Berlin-Friedrichshain Winnipeg Chicago

A||K| |V| |K| 40K 24 76 528 224 523 506 265K 1,067 2,975 4,344 13M 933 245M 2,950 83,113



## Performance of the heuristic

		Shortest paths on modified network		Dual variables		CSI CAS-SI		
3	22	SP-GEN l		DUAI	DUAL-GEN		· ,)/	
				l		n		
	$\gamma$ (%)	100	1000	100	1000	1000		
Ĩ	0	0.3(0)	3.4(0)	0.3(0)	4.1(0)	16.63		
	<b>5</b>	1.8(0.1)	16.4(0.1)	8.0(0.5)	20.6(2.2)	23.87	L'IG.	
run time	10	1.8(0.1)	14.1(0.2)	12.5(0.7)	29.1(2.7)	30.65		
64	15	1.9(0.1)	13.3(0.3)	22.3(0.6)	42.2(2.4)	43.2		
8	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-		
	5	0.41	0.41	0.02	0.01			
avg. gap	10	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.05	-		
	<b>1</b> 5	0.07	0.05	0.09	0.08	-		
	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		10.01		
	5	1.88	1.84	0.11	0.09	-		
max. gap	10	0.68	0.64	0.19	0.18	-		
	15	0.51	0.45	0.31	0.28	-		

Average over 40 instances



## Performance of the heuristic

		$\gamma$ (%)			
		0	5	10	15
n = 1000	LIN-C-SO paths	1170	17128	45553	93559
111	paths	1170	1540	1590	1604
SP-GEN $l = 100$	% LIN-C-SO paths	100	9.04	3.50	1.72
	iterations	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.0
	paths	1170	1538	1585	1601
SP-GEN $l = 1000$	% LIN-C-SO paths	100	9.03	3.49	1.71
	iterations	4.4	4.6	4.2	4.2

#### Average over 40 instances



## Between UE and SO





Grazia Speranza - Controlling unfairness

## Impact of compliance





#### Instance: 150 nodes, 480 arcs, and 1170 OD pairs Oligo-centric, in-peak, and high in-city traffic







## **CVaR** objective

 $\Gamma_{\beta}$ 

## Average arc congestion over the $\beta$ \*100% most congested arcs

$\beta \equiv \min \omega + \frac{1}{\lceil \beta  A  \rceil} $	$\sum_{j)\in A} \eta_{ij}$	
s.t.		
$d_c = \sum_{k} y_{ck}$	Eligible path	$\forall c \in C$
$x_{ij} = \sum_{c \in C} \sum_{k \in K_c^{\gamma}} a_{ij}^{ck} y$	lck	$\forall (i,j) \in A$
$x_{ij} = \sum_{h=1}^{n} \lambda_{ij}^{h}$		$\forall (i,j) \in A$
$0 \le \lambda_{ij}^h \le \Delta_{ij}^h$	OD pair $\forall (i$	$(j) \in A  \forall h = 1,, n$
$\epsilon_{ij} = \sum_{h=1}^{n} \frac{\epsilon_{ij}^{h} - \epsilon_{ij}^{h-1}}{\Delta_{ij}^{h}}$	$^{1}-\lambda_{ij}^{h}$	$\forall (i,j) \in A$
$\omega + \eta_{ij} \ge \epsilon_{ij}$		$\forall (i,j) \in A$
$x_{ij} \ge 0$		$\forall (i,j) \in A$
$y_{ck} \ge 0$		$\forall c \in C  \forall k \in K_c^{\gamma}$
$\eta_{ij} \ge 0$		$\forall (i,j) \in A.$



## CVaR objective





Grazia Speranza - Controlling unfairness

Average over 40 instances

## The constrained SO approaches





# The constrained SO approaches

#### Some paths could turn out to be more inconvenient than planned (e.g., a priori inconvenience = 5%, a posteriori = 10%) and some good paths could be missed



### One step ahead...

#### Idea:

#### A model that embeds the path generation



## A model embedding the path generation

Min Total travel time (piecewise approximation)

subject to

- Total flow routed for each O/D pair

- Travel time on a path does not exceed the travel time experienced on any other path by a given percentage  $\phi$ 

Any other path? possibly unused or used

FP-UC-SO and L-UC-SO Exponential number of binary variables



A com	plete model	FP-UC-SO	
min	$\sum_{(ij)\in A} \sigma_{ij} \longleftarrow \text{Total travel t}$	ime	G.SIT
	$x_{ij} = \sum_{c \in C} \sum_{k \in K_c} a_{ij}^{ck} y_{ck}$	$\forall (i,j) \in \mathbf{A}$	her
	$d_c = \sum_{k \in K_c} y_{ck}$	$\forall c \in C$	
	$x_{ij} = \sum_{h=1}^n \lambda_{ij}^h$	$\forall (i,j) \in A$	
	$\sigma_{ij} = \sum_{h=1}^{n} \frac{f_{ij}^h - f_{ij}^{h-1}}{\Delta_{ij}^h} \lambda_{ij}^h$	$\forall (i,j) \in A$	
	$\tau_{ij} = \sum_{h=1}^{n} \frac{t_{ij}(b_{ij}^{h}) - t_{ij}(b_{ij}^{h-1})}{\Delta_{ij}^{h}} \lambda_{ij}^{h}$	$\forall (i,j) \in A$	
Limited	$\tau_{ck} = \sum_{(i,j)\in A} a_{ij}^{ck} \tau_{ij}$	$\forall c \in C  \forall k \in K_c$	
wrt.possibly	$\tau_{ck} \le (1+\phi)\tau_{ck'} + M(1-v_{ck})$	$\forall c \in C  \forall k \in K_c  \forall k' \in K_c \setminus \{k\}$	
unused paths	$y_{ck} \le d_c v_{ck}$	$\forall c \in C  \forall k \in K_c$	
	$x_{ij} \ge 0$	$\forall (i,j) \in A$	
	$y_{ck} \ge 0$	$\forall c \in C  \forall k \in K_c$	
A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT.	$0 \le \lambda_{ij}^h \le \Delta_{ij}^h$	$\forall (i,j) \in A  \forall h = 1,, n$	
UNIVERSITY OF BRESCIA	$v_{ck} \in \{0,1\}$ Grazia Speranza - Cont	$\forall c \in C  \forall k \in K_c.$ rolling unfairness	

A com	plete model	L-UC-SO
min	$\sum_{(ij)\in A} \sigma_{ij} \longleftarrow$ Total travel time	G.SIT
	$x_{ij} = \sum_{c \in C} \sum_{k \in K_c} a_{ij}^{ck} y_{ck}$	$\forall (i,j) \in A$
	$d_c = \sum_{k \in K_c} y_{ck}$	$\forall c \in C$
	$x_{ij} = \sum_{h=1}^{n} \lambda_{ij}^{h}$	$\forall (i,j) \in A$
	$\sigma_{ij} = \sum_{h=1}^{n} \frac{f_{ij}^{h} - f_{ij}^{h-1}}{\Delta_{ij}^{h}} \lambda_{ij}^{h}$	$\forall (i,j) \in A$
	$\tau_{ij} = \sum_{h=1}^{n} \frac{t_{ij}(b_{ij}^{h}) - t_{ij}(b_{ij}^{h-1})}{\Delta_{ij}^{h}} \lambda_{ij}^{h}$	$\forall (i,j) \in A$
Limited	$\tau_{ck} = \sum_{(i,j)\in A} a_{ij}^{ck} \tau_{ij}$	$\forall c \in C  \forall k \in K_c$
inconvenience>	$\tau_{ck} \le (1+\phi)\tau_{ck'} + M(2-v_{ck}-v_{ck'})$	$\forall c \in C  \forall k \in K_c  \forall k' \in K_c \setminus \{k\}$
w.r.t. used paths	$y_{ck} \le d_c v_{ck}$	$\forall c \in C  \forall k \in K_c$
	$x_{ij} \ge 0$	$\forall (i,j) \in A$
	$y_{ck} \ge 0$	$\forall c \in C  \forall k \in K_c$
A CONTRACT OF A	$0 \le \lambda_{ij}^h \le \Delta_{ij}^h$	$\forall (i,j) \in A  \forall h = 1,, n$
	$v_{ck} \in \{0,1\}$	$\forall c \in C  \forall k \in K_c.$
UNIVERSITY OF BRESCIA	Grazia Speranza - Controlling	unfairness

## A heuristic column-generation

→ Generate new improving paths

Solve restricted optimization problem



## Quality of the heuristic



φ	Run time FP-UC-SO	(sec) PC-M	Θ Avg. gap (%) Max. gap (%)		
1	563	1.61	0.22	0.63	
2	321	1.39	0.24	1.55	
3	176	1.30	0.30	1.30	
4	153	1.27	0.25	1.00	
5	151	1.30	0.22	0.96	
6	132	1.28	0.21	0.88	
7	115	1.30	0.20	0.90	
8	106	1.28	0.19	0.90	
9	99	1.28	0.19	0.90	
10	101	1.30	0.19	0.90	



## Comparison with UE and SO





## Comments

How to distribute vehicles over the different paths?

Incentives

Time dependent network

Dynamic problem

Decentralized optimization





## Thank you for your attention!





