Geometric families of degenerations from mutations of polytopes

Laura Escobar

University of California, Santa Cruz

ICERM Workshop Computational Interactions between Algebra, Combinatorics, and Discrete Geometry

February 13, 2025

Based on: ArXiv: 2408.01785 (Joint with Megumi Harada and Christopher Manon) and 2408.01788 (Joint with Adrian Cook and Megumi Harada and Christopher Manon)

Toric degenerations

Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a *d*-dimensional polytope with vertices in \mathbb{Z}^d and let $P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d = \{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n\}.$

The **toric variety** X_P is the closure of the image of the map $(\mathbb{C}^*)^d \to \mathbb{P}^n$ given by $x \mapsto (x^{\alpha_0}, \ldots, x^{\alpha_n})$.

The dimension of X_P is d and the degree of X_P is $d! \operatorname{Vol}_d(P)$.

Toric degenerations

Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a *d*-dimensional polytope with vertices in \mathbb{Z}^d and let $P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d = \{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n\}.$

The **toric variety** X_P is the closure of the image of the map $(\mathbb{C}^*)^d \to \mathbb{P}^n$ given by $x \mapsto (x^{\alpha_0}, \ldots, x^{\alpha_n})$.

The dimension of X_P is d and the degree of X_P is $d! \operatorname{Vol}_d(P)$.

Question. Given a variety $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$, is there a polytope P such that the toric variety X_P approximates X?

Toric degenerations

Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a *d*-dimensional polytope with vertices in \mathbb{Z}^d and let $P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d = \{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n\}.$

The **toric variety** X_P is the closure of the image of the map $(\mathbb{C}^*)^d \to \mathbb{P}^n$ given by $x \mapsto (x^{\alpha_0}, \ldots, x^{\alpha_n})$.

The dimension of X_P is d and the degree of X_P is $d! \operatorname{Vol}_d(P)$.

Question. Given a variety $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$, is there a polytope P such that the toric variety X_P approximates X?

Definition. A toric degeneration of a variety $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is a flat family $\pi : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathbb{C}$, where the general fiber is X and the special fiber is a toric variety X_P .

In this setting, the degree of X equals $d! \operatorname{Vol}_d(P)$.

Newton–Okounkov bodies

Let A be a finitely generated \mathbb{C} -algebra that is positively graded. Equip \mathbb{Z}^n with a total order \succ . A function $\nu : A \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{Z}^n$ is a **valuation** if

•
$$\nu(f+g) \succeq \min\{\nu(f), \nu(g)\},\$$

►
$$\nu(fg) = \nu(f) + \nu(g)$$
, and

▶
$$\nu(c) = 0$$
 for all $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

Definition. (Okounkov, Lazarsfeld–Mustață, Kaveh–Khovanskii) Let X be a projective variety of dimension d and $\nu : \mathbb{C}[X] \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$ a valuation. The **Newton–Okounkov body** for (X, ν) is $\Delta(X, \nu) := \overline{\operatorname{cone}(\operatorname{im}(\nu))} \cap (\{1\} \times \mathbb{R}^d).$

Newton-Okounkov bodies

Let A be a finitely generated \mathbb{C} -algebra that is positively graded. Equip \mathbb{Z}^n with a total order \succ . A function $\nu : A \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{Z}^n$ is a **valuation** if

•
$$\nu(f+g) \succeq \min\{\nu(f), \nu(g)\},\$$

•
$$\nu(fg) = \nu(f) + \nu(g)$$
, and

▶
$$\nu(c) = 0$$
 for all $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

Definition. (Okounkov, Lazarsfeld–Mustață, Kaveh–Khovanskii) Let X be a projective variety of dimension d and $\nu : \mathbb{C}[X] \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$ a valuation. The **Newton–Okounkov body** for (X, ν) is $\Delta(X, \nu) := \overline{\operatorname{cone}(\operatorname{im}(\nu))} \cap (\{1\} \times \mathbb{R}^d).$

Theorem. (Anderson, 2013) When the image of ν is a saturated semigroup, $\Delta(X, \nu)$ is a lattice polytope and we have a degeneration of X to the normalization of the toric variety of $\Delta(X, \nu)$.

Newton-Okounkov bodies

Let A be a finitely generated \mathbb{C} -algebra that is positively graded. Equip \mathbb{Z}^n with a total order \succ . A function $\nu : A \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{Z}^n$ is a **valuation** if

•
$$\nu(f+g) \succeq \min\{\nu(f), \nu(g)\},\$$

•
$$\nu(fg) = \nu(f) + \nu(g)$$
, and

•
$$\nu(c) = 0$$
 for all $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

Definition. (Okounkov, Lazarsfeld–Mustață, Kaveh–Khovanskii) Let X be a projective variety of dimension d and $\nu : \mathbb{C}[X] \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$ a valuation. The **Newton–Okounkov body** for (X, ν) is $\Delta(X, \nu) := \overline{\operatorname{cone}(\operatorname{im}(\nu))} \cap (\{1\} \times \mathbb{R}^d).$

Theorem. (Anderson, 2013) When the image of ν is a saturated semigroup, $\Delta(X, \nu)$ is a lattice polytope and we have a degeneration of X to the normalization of the toric variety of $\Delta(X, \nu)$.

When the tropicalization of X is well-behaved [Kaveh–Manon, 2016] construct valuations ν such that $\Delta(X, \nu)$ is a lattice polytope.

Tropical geometry and Newton-Okounkov bodies

Choose a presentation $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/I$ for $\mathbb{C}[X]$, I homogeneous.

Trop(I):={ $w \in \mathbb{R}^n | in_w(I)$ contains no monomials}.

Trop(*I*) is a fan in \mathbb{R}^n with cones $C_w = \overline{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid in_x(I) = in_w(I)\}}$ for $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

The tropicalization of $I = \langle y^2 z - x^3 + 7xz^2 - 2z^3 \rangle$ is the product of $\mathbb{R}(1,1,1)$ with the union of the rays

Tropical geometry and Newton-Okounkov bodies

Choose a presentation $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/I$ for $\mathbb{C}[X]$, I homogeneous.

 $\mathsf{Trop}(I) := \{ w \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \mathsf{in}_w(I) \text{ contains no monomials} \}.$

Trop(*I*) is a fan in \mathbb{R}^n with cones $C_w = \overline{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid in_x(I) = in_w(I)\}}$ for $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

A cone C of Trop(I) is **prime** if $in_w(I)$ is prime for some/all $w \in C^\circ$.

Theorem. (Kaveh-Manon, 2016) Let *C* be a prime cone of Trop(*I*) and $\{u_1, \ldots, u_r\} \subset C$ be maximally linearly independent. There is a valuation ν_C of $\mathbb{C}[X]$ such that its Newton-Okounkov body $\Delta(\mathbb{C}[X], \nu_C) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is the convex hull of the columns of the matrix with rows u_1, \ldots, u_r .

Theorem. (E-Harada, 2019) Let C_1 and C_2 be two prime cones of Trop(*I*) of maximal dimension sharing a codimension-1 face. There exist natural projections $p_1, p_2 : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ such that

$$\Delta(X,\nu_{C_1}) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{p}_1} \Delta_{C_1 \cap C_2} \xleftarrow{\mathsf{p}_2} \Delta(X,\nu_{C_2})$$

and the fibers are intervals of the same length (up to a global constant).

We obtain two piecewise-linear bijections $\Delta(X, \nu_{C_1}) \rightarrow \Delta(X, \nu_{C_2})$.



Theorem. (E-Harada, 2019) Let C_1 and C_2 be two prime cones of Trop(*I*) of maximal dimension sharing a codimension-1 face. There exist natural projections $p_1, p_2 : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ such that

$$\Delta(X,\nu_{C_1}) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{p}_1} \Delta_{C_1 \cap C_2} \xleftarrow{\mathsf{p}_2} \Delta(X,\nu_{C_2})$$

and the fibers are intervals of the same length (up to a global constant).

We obtain two piecewise-linear bijections $\Delta(X, \nu_{C_1}) \rightarrow \Delta(X, \nu_{C_2})$.

The first one **shifts** the intervals.



Theorem. (E-Harada, 2019) Let C_1 and C_2 be two prime cones of Trop(*I*) of maximal dimension sharing a codimension-1 face. There exist natural projections $p_1, p_2 : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ such that

$$\Delta(X,\nu_{C_1}) \xrightarrow{p_1} \Delta_{C_1 \cap C_2} \xleftarrow{p_2} \Delta(X,\nu_{C_2})$$

and the fibers are intervals of the same length (up to a global constant).

We obtain two piecewise-linear bijections $\Delta(X, \nu_{C_1}) \rightarrow \Delta(X, \nu_{C_2})$.

The second one **vertically reflects** $\Delta(X, \nu_{C_1})$ and then **shifts** the intervals.



Theorem. (E-Harada, 2019) Let C_1 and C_2 be two prime cones of Trop(*I*) of maximal dimension sharing a codimension-1 face. There exist natural projections $p_1, p_2 : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ such that

$$\Delta(X,\nu_{C_1}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{p}_1} \Delta_{C_1 \cap C_2} \xleftarrow{\mathbf{p}_2} \Delta(X,\nu_{C_2})$$

and the fibers are intervals of the same length (up to a global constant).

We obtain two piecewise-linear bijections $\Delta(X, \nu_{C_1}) \rightarrow \Delta(X, \nu_{C_2})$.

Remark 1. Ilten interprets this piecewise-linear bijection as a generalization of the combinatorial mutations of Akhtar-Coates-Galkin-Kasprzyk used to study mirror symmetry for Fano manifolds.

Remark 2. In the case of the Grassmannian of 2-planes in \mathbb{C}^m the second bijection is connected to cluster mutations.

Families of degenerations from mutations of polytopes

 $X \rightsquigarrow \operatorname{Trop}(X) \rightsquigarrow$ a collection of Newton–Okounkov polytopes and piecewise-linear bijections between them.

Mutations of polytopes also appear in the theory of cluster algebras/varieties, mirror symmetry, and the study of Fano manifolds/varieties.

Million-dollar question. Is there a systematic theory that can unify these?

Families of degenerations from mutations of polytopes

In [E–Harada–Manon, 2024] we have proposed a theory which generalizes the theory of toric varieties by

- ▶ replacing the classical lattice $M \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$ with a collection of lattices which are related by piecewise-linear bijections ("mutations"), and
- ▶ replacing the Laurent polynomial ring C[x₁[±], · · · , x_r[±]], together with its usual valuation with a more general C-algebra A equipped with a valuation.

Families of degenerations from mutations of polytopes

In [E–Harada–Manon, 2024] we have proposed a theory which generalizes the theory of toric varieties by

- ▶ replacing the classical lattice $M \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$ with a collection of lattices which are related by piecewise-linear bijections ("mutations"), and
- ▶ replacing the Laurent polynomial ring C[x₁[±], · · · , x_r[±]], together with its usual valuation with a more general C-algebra A equipped with a valuation.

By doing the above, we gain multiple benefits:

- ▶ We systematize and generalize the phenomenon in [E–Harada, 2022].
- We exhibit a family {X_α} of toric degenerations of a single variety X, where each of the resulting toric varieties are associated to a polytope which is mutation-related to the others in the family.
- We develop a generalization of the classical theory of polytopes together with a combinatorics-geometry dictionary.

Polyptych lattices

A polyptych lattice of rank r is $\mathcal{M} = (\{M_i\}_{i \in I}, \{\mu_{ij}\}_{i,j \in I})$ such that

► $M_i \simeq \mathbb{Z}^r$.

•
$$\mu_{ij}: M_i \to M_j$$
 is a piecewise linear bijection.

•
$$\mu_{ii} = \text{id and } \mu_{jk} \circ \mu_{ij} = \mu_{ik}$$
.

Example. The trivial polyptych lattice of rank r is $\mathcal{M}_{\circ}^{r} := (\{\mathbb{Z}^{r}\}, \{id\}).$

Example. Let $\mathcal{M}_2 = (\{M_1, M_2\}, \{\mu_{12}\})$, where $M_1 \simeq M_2 \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $\mu_{12}(x, y) = (\min\{0, y\} - x, y)$.

An **element** of \mathcal{M} is $m = (m_i)_{i \in I}$ such that for all $i \in I$, $m_i \in M_i$ and for all $i, j \in I$, $\mu_{ij}(m_i) = m_j$.

Given $S \subseteq M$, the *i*-th chart of S is the set $S_i := \{s \mid \exists m \in S, m_i = s\}$.

Polyptych lattice halfspaces

Roughly a **point** of \mathcal{M} is a collection $p = \{p_i : M_i \to \mathbb{Z} \mid i \in I\}$ such that

$$\blacktriangleright \forall i, j \in I, \ p_j \circ \mu_{ij} = p_i$$

- ▶ $\exists i \in I$ such that p_i is linear and
- ▶ $\forall i \in I$, p_i is a convex piecewise-linear function.

We denote by $Sp(\mathcal{M})$ the collection of points of \mathcal{M} .

Example. For $\mathcal{M}_{\circ}^{r} := (\{\mathbb{Z}^{r}\}, \{id\})$ we have that $\mathsf{Sp}(\mathcal{M}_{\circ}^{r}) = \mathsf{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^{r}, \mathbb{Z})$. $\mathsf{Sp}(\mathcal{M}_{2}) \cong \{(a, a', b) \in \mathbb{Z}^{3} \mid a + a' = \min(0, b)\}.$

Polyptych lattice halfspaces

Roughly a **point** of \mathcal{M} is a collection $p = \{p_i : M_i \to \mathbb{Z} \mid i \in I\}$ such that

$$\blacktriangleright \forall i, j \in I, \ p_j \circ \mu_{ij} = p_i$$

- ▶ $\exists i \in I$ such that p_i is linear and
- ▶ $\forall i \in I$, p_i is a convex piecewise-linear function.

We denote by $Sp(\mathcal{M})$ the collection of points of \mathcal{M} .

Example. For $\mathcal{M}_{\circ}^{r} := (\{\mathbb{Z}^{r}\}, \{id\})$ we have that $\mathsf{Sp}(\mathcal{M}_{\circ}^{r}) = \mathsf{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^{r}, \mathbb{Z})$. $\mathsf{Sp}(\mathcal{M}_{2}) \cong \{(a, a', b) \in \mathbb{Z}^{3} \mid a + a' = \min(0, b)\}.$

The **PL-halfspace** associated to $p \in \text{Sp}(\mathcal{M})$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ is $\mathcal{H}_{p,a} := \{ m \in \mathcal{M} \mid p(m) \ge a \}.$

Example. An PL halfspace in \mathcal{M}_2 is:



Polyptych lattice polytopes

We denote by $Sp(\mathcal{M})$ the collection of points of \mathcal{M} .

The **PL-halfspace** associated to $p \in \text{Sp}(\mathcal{M})$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ is $\mathcal{H}_{p,a} := \{ m \in \mathcal{M} \mid p(m) \ge a \}.$

A PL-polytope is a bounded finite intersection of PL-halfspaces.

Example. A PL-polytope in \mathcal{M}_2 :



An PL-polytope is **integral** if for all $i \in I$ its chart in M_i is an integral/lattice polytope.

Polyptych lattice polytopes

We denote by $Sp(\mathcal{M})$ the collection of points of \mathcal{M} .

The **PL-halfspace** associated to $p \in \text{Sp}(\mathcal{M})$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ is $\mathcal{H}_{p,a} := \{ m \in \mathcal{M} \mid p(m) \ge a \}.$

A PL-polytope is a bounded finite intersection of PL-halfspaces.

Example. A PL-polytope in \mathcal{M}_2 that is not integral:



An PL-polytope is **integral** if for all $i \in I$ its chart in M_i is an integral/lattice polytope.

Compactifications via polytopes

1. Toric case.

Let *P* be a lattice polytope in \mathbb{R}^n . The toric variety X_P is a compactification of the torus $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_r^{\pm 1}])$.

The homogeneous coordinate ring of the toric variety X_P is given by $\mathbb{C}[X_P] = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{C}[x^m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}^r \cap kP].$

Compactifications via polytopes

1. Toric case.

Let P be a lattice polytope in \mathbb{R}^n . The toric variety X_P is a compactification of the torus $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_r^{\pm 1}])$.

The homogeneous coordinate ring of the toric variety X_P is given by $\mathbb{C}[X_P] = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{C}[x^m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}^r \cap kP].$

We have a valuation $\nu : \mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_r^{\pm 1}] \to \{\text{PWL functions } \mathbb{Z}^r \to \mathbb{Z}\}$ given by $\nu(\sum c_{\alpha} x^{\alpha})(-) := \min_{c_{\alpha} \neq 0} \langle \alpha, - \rangle.$

The support function $\psi_P : \mathbb{Z}^r \to \mathbb{R}$ of a polytope P is defined by $\psi_P := \min\{\langle m, -\rangle \mid m \in P\}.$

We have that $\mathbb{C}[X_P] = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \{ f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}] \mid \nu(f) \ge \psi_{kP} \}.$

Detropicalization of a polyptych lattice

Assume that \mathcal{M} is **dualizable**. Roughly, this means there is a polyptych lattice \mathcal{N} and a pair of bijections $\mathfrak{N} : \mathcal{N} \to Sp(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathfrak{M} : \mathcal{M} \to Sp(\mathcal{N})$.

Let $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ be the semialgebra generated by $\mathsf{Sp}(\mathcal{N})$ with respect to the operations $\oplus := \min$ and $\odot := +$.

Definition. Given a domain A, a function $\nu : A \to \mathcal{P}_N$ is a **valuation** if

►
$$\nu(f+g) \ge \nu(f) \oplus \nu(g)$$
,

•
$$\nu(fg) = \nu(f) \odot \nu(g)$$
, and

•
$$\nu(c) = 0$$
 for all $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

A **detropicalization** of \mathcal{M} is a domain \mathcal{A} together with a valuation $\nu : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ such that $\mathsf{Sp}(\mathcal{N}) \subseteq \mathsf{im}(\nu)$.

Detropicalization of a polyptych lattice

Assume that \mathcal{M} is **dualizable**. Roughly, this means there is a polyptych lattice \mathcal{N} and a pair of bijections $\mathfrak{N} : \mathcal{N} \to Sp(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathfrak{M} : \mathcal{M} \to Sp(\mathcal{N})$.

Let $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ be the semialgebra generated by $\mathsf{Sp}(\mathcal{N})$ with respect to the operations $\oplus := \min$ and $\odot := +$.

Definition. Given a domain A, a function $\nu : A \to \mathcal{P}_N$ is a **valuation** if

$$\blacktriangleright \nu(f+g) \geq \nu(f) \oplus \nu(g),$$

•
$$u(fg) = \nu(f) \odot \nu(g)$$
, and

•
$$u(c) = 0$$
 for all $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

A **detropicalization** of \mathcal{M} is a domain \mathcal{A} together with a valuation $\nu : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ such that $\mathsf{Sp}(\mathcal{N}) \subseteq \mathsf{im}(\nu)$.

Example. For the trivial polyptych lattice of rank r, $\mathcal{M}_{\circ}^{r} := (\{\mathbb{Z}^{r}\}, \{id\})$, recall that $Sp(\mathcal{M}_{\circ}^{r}) = Hom(\mathbb{Z}^{r}, \mathbb{Z})$. The dual is \mathcal{M}_{\circ}^{r} and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}_{\circ}^{r}}$ is the set of piecewise-linear convex functions on \mathbb{Z}^{r} .

The ring $\mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_r^{\pm 1}]$ is a detropicalization of \mathcal{M}_{\circ}^r with valuation $\nu(\sum c_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}) := \bigoplus_{c_{\alpha} \neq 0} \langle \alpha, - \rangle.$

Detropicalization of a polyptych lattice

Assume that \mathcal{M} is **dualizable**. Roughly, this means there is a polyptych lattice \mathcal{N} and a pair of bijections $\mathfrak{N} : \mathcal{N} \to Sp(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathfrak{M} : \mathcal{M} \to Sp(\mathcal{N})$.

Let $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ be the semialgebra generated by $\mathsf{Sp}(\mathcal{N})$ with respect to the operations $\oplus := \min$ and $\odot := +$.

Definition. Given a domain A, a function $\nu : A \to \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ is a **valuation** if

$$\blacktriangleright \nu(f+g) \geq \nu(f) \oplus \nu(g),$$

•
$$u(fg) = \nu(f) \odot \nu(g), \text{ and}$$

•
$$u(c) = 0$$
 for all $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

A **detropicalization** of \mathcal{M} is a domain \mathcal{A} together with a valuation $\nu : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ such that $\mathsf{Sp}(\mathcal{N}) \subseteq \mathsf{im}(\nu)$.

Example. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, t^{\pm 1}]/\langle x_1x_2 - 1 - t \rangle$. There exists a valuation ν such that (\mathcal{A}, ν) is a detropicalization of \mathcal{M}_2 .

Remark. For each $d, r \in \mathbb{N}$ we give a polyptych lattice $\mathcal{M}_{d,r}$ together with detropicalization $(\mathcal{A}_{d,r}, \nu_{d,r})$ where $\mathcal{A}_{d,r} = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_d, t_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, t_r^{\pm 1}]/\langle x_1 \cdots x_d - t_1 - \cdots - t_r \rangle.$

Compactifications via polyptych lattice polytopes

2. Polyptych lattice case.

Let ${\cal A}$ be a detropicalization of ${\cal M}$ with valuation ν and Δ an integral PL-polytope.

Let \mathcal{N} be the dual of \mathcal{M} with bijection $\mathfrak{M}: \mathcal{M} \to \mathsf{Sp}(\mathcal{N})$.

The support function $\psi_{\Delta} : \mathcal{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ of Δ is defined by $\psi_{\Delta} := \min\{\mathfrak{M}(\mathsf{m}) \mid \mathsf{m} \in \Delta \cap \mathcal{M}\}.$

Define the graded algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\Delta} := \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \{ f \in \mathcal{A} \mid \nu(f) \geq \psi_{k\Delta} \}.$

Compactifications via polyptych lattice polytopes

2. Polyptych lattice case.

Let ${\cal A}$ be a detropicalization of ${\cal M}$ with valuation ν and Δ an integral PL-polytope.

Let \mathcal{N} be the dual of \mathcal{M} with bijection $\mathfrak{M}: \mathcal{M} \to \mathsf{Sp}(\mathcal{N})$.

The support function $\psi_{\Delta} : \mathcal{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ of Δ is defined by $\psi_{\Delta} := \min\{\mathfrak{M}(\mathsf{m}) \mid \mathsf{m} \in \Delta \cap \mathcal{M}\}.$

Define the graded algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\Delta} := \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \{ f \in \mathcal{A} \mid \nu(f) \geq \psi_{k\Delta} \}.$

Theorem. (E–Harada–Manon) $X_{\Delta} := \operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{A}_{\Delta})$ is a compactification of Spec(\mathcal{A}). Moreover, for each $i \in I$, the chart image of Δ in M_i is a Newton–Okounkov body of X_{Δ} and these polytopes are connected by the PWL bijections μ_{ij} .

Geometric properties

Theorem. (E–Harada–Manon) $X_{\Delta} := \operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{A}_{\Delta})$ is a compactification of Spec(\mathcal{A}). Moreover, for each $i \in I$, the chart image of Δ in M_i is a Newton–Okounkov body of X_{Δ} and these polytopes are connected by the PWL bijections μ_{ij} .

Theorem. (E–Harada–Manon) Suppose each chart image Δ_i of Δ is a lattice polytope. There exists a toric degeneration $\pi : \mathfrak{X}_i \to \mathbb{C}$ with generic fiber isomorphic to X_{Δ} and special fiber the toric variety associated to Δ_i .

Geometric properties

Theorem. (E–Harada–Manon) $X_{\Delta} := \operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{A}_{\Delta})$ is a compactification of Spec(\mathcal{A}). Moreover, for each $i \in I$, the chart image of Δ in M_i is a Newton–Okounkov body of X_{Δ} and these polytopes are connected by the PWL bijections μ_{ij} .

Theorem. (E–Harada–Manon) Suppose each chart image Δ_i of Δ is a lattice polytope. There exists a toric degeneration $\pi : \mathfrak{X}_i \to \mathbb{C}$ with generic fiber isomorphic to X_{Δ} and special fiber the toric variety associated to Δ_i .

Moreover,

- \mathcal{A}_{Δ} is finitely generated.
- X_{Δ} is arithmetically Cohen-Macaullay.
- If \mathcal{A} is normal, then X_{Δ} is also normal.
- If A is a UFD, then X_∆ has a finitely generated class group and a finitely generated Cox ring.

We give a family of rank-2, two-chart examples in (Cook–E–Harada–Manon), and also give lots of sample computations for this family, e.g. the PL analogue of Gorenstein-Fano polytopes.

Thank you!