Shift invariance for six-vertex model and directed polymers

Vadim Gorin

UW Madison / MIT / IITP

February 2020

Directed percolation



Directed percolation



We proceed with a *particular* choice of weights - white noise.



Aka Brownian Last Passage Percolation with min \leftrightarrow max.



 Explicit joint laws D((0,0); (t, n)) via Fredholm determinants [Kuperberg-99], [Baryshnikov-01], [Borodin-Olshanski-04], [Ferrari-08], [Dotsenko-10],
 [Johansson-Rahman-19]

Important idea: Robinson–Schensted–Knuth correspondence maps the computation to Dyson Brownian Motion — evolution of eigenvalues of Hermitian matrix with Brownian entries.

Formulas are efficient for the asymptotic analysis

Brownian directed percolation: what's known?



- Explicit joint laws D((0,0); (t, n)) via Fredholm determinants
- No exact formulas for both endpoints (s, m); (t, n) varying.

However, formula-less asymptotic analysis is possible. E.g. in [Balasz–Busani–Seppalainen-19], [Fan–Seppalainen-19], [Basu–Ganguly–Hammond-19], [Dauvergne–Ortman–Virag-18], [Basu–Sarkar–Sly-18], [Basu–Hoffman–Sly-18], [Hammond-17], [Matetski–Quastel–Remenik-17], [Georgiou–Rasoul-Agha–Seppalainen-16], ... [Newman-94], ...

Brownian directed percolation: simplest unknown



Question: What is the joint law for the (intersecting) **pair** of distances D(A, B) and D(C, D)?



Theorem. [Borodin–Gorin–Wheeler-19] The law is shift invariant: If C is on the same horizontal as A, with abscissa **between** the ones of A and B, and if D is above and to the left from B, then

 $[D(A,B),D(C,D)] \stackrel{d}{=} [D(A,B),D(A,D+A-C)].$



Theorem. [Borodin–Gorin–Wheeler-19] The law is shift invariant: If C is on the same horizontal as A, with abscissa **between** the ones of A and B, and if D is above and to the left from B, then

$$\left[D(A,B),D(C,D)\right] \stackrel{d}{=} \left[D(A,B),D(A,D+A-C)\right].$$

• Hence, the distribution is explicit.



$$[D(A,B),D(C,D)] \stackrel{d}{=} [D(A,B),D(A,D+A-C)].$$

- Hence, the distribution is explicit.
- The intersection condition is crucial.



$$[D(A,B),D(C,D)] \stackrel{d}{=} [D(A,B),D(A,D+A-C)].$$

- Hence, the distribution is explicit.
- The intersection condition is crucial.
- No elementary proof.



$$[D(A,B),D(C,D)] \stackrel{d}{=} [D(A,B),D(A,D+A-C)].$$

- Hence, the distribution is explicit.
- The intersection condition is crucial.
- No elementary proof.
- Similar property holds for multi-point distributions...



$$\left[D(A,B),D(C,D)\right] \stackrel{d}{=} \left[D(A,B),D(A,D+A-C)\right].$$

- Hence, the distribution is explicit.
- The intersection condition is crucial.
- No elementary proof.
- Similar property holds for multi-point distributions...
- ... and for many more models!

Zoo of integrable stochastic systems



Theorem. [BGW-19] Shift invariance holds for each system.

Gamma polymer and its shift invariance



[Seppäläinen-10], [Corwin–Seppäläinen–Shen-14], [O'Connell–Ortmann-14]: Integrability and limits.

Gamma polymer and its shift invariance



Theorem. [BGW-19] $\{k_i\}, \{U_i\}, 1 \le \iota \le n, \Delta > 0$. Set

$$k_i' = egin{cases} k_i, & i
eq \iota, \ k_\iota + \Delta, & i = \iota, \end{cases} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{U}_i' = egin{cases} \mathcal{U}_i, & i
eq \iota \ \mathcal{U}_\iota + (\Delta, 0), & i = \iota. \end{cases}$$

Under intersection condition, we have:

$$\left(\mathfrak{Z}_{(0,k_1)\to\mathcal{U}_1},\ldots,\mathfrak{Z}_{(0,k_n)\to\mathcal{U}_n}\right)\stackrel{d}{=}\left(\mathfrak{Z}_{(0,k_1')\to\mathcal{U}_1'},\ldots,\mathfrak{Z}_{(0,k_n')\to\mathcal{U}_n'}\right)$$

KPZ equation and its shift invariance





Kardar-Parisi-Zhang SPDE

KPZ equation and its shift invariance



Rigorously found as a scaling limit in *numerous* stochastic systems.

KPZ equation and its shift invariance

$$Z_t = \frac{1}{2}Z_{xx} - \eta Z \qquad \stackrel{H = -\ln(Z)}{\longleftrightarrow} \qquad H_t = \frac{1}{2}H_{xx} - \frac{1}{2}(H_x)^2 + \eta$$

Solutions with $Z(0, x) = \delta_{x=y}$ coupled through 2*d* white noise η .



Theorem. [BGW-19] For $\Delta > 0$: $Z_T^{(y)}(x) \stackrel{d}{=} Z_T^{(y+\Delta)}(x+\Delta)$, jointly with any $Z_T^{(y')}(x')$ for $\begin{cases} y' < y, x' > x + \Delta, & or \\ y' > y + \Delta, x' < x. \end{cases}$

Airy sheet and its shift invariance



Conjectures:

- $\mathcal{AL}(\cdot)$ should be a limit of LPP with general weights.
- $\mathcal{AL}(\cdot)$ should govern large-time behavior of KPZ. Because $H \approx \ln \left(\int_{\text{Brownian } \gamma} \exp(\int \text{white noise along } \gamma) \right)$.

Airy sheet and its shift invariance



Theorems:

- [Davergne–Ortmann–Virag-18]: Brownian LPP $\rightarrow \mathcal{AL}(\cdot)$
- Numerous partial results for LPP with integrable weights.
- [Amir-Corwin-Quastel-11][Sasomoto-Spohn-10][Calabrese-Le Dousal-Rosso-10][Dotsenko-10] 1-point KPZ $\rightarrow \mathcal{A}(0,0) =$ Tracy-Widom distribution

Airy sheet and its shift invariance



 $\mathcal{A}(0,\cdot)$ was known, but no distributions beyond it.

Shift invariance: How general is it?For special integrable weights in LPP and directed polymers.



• For universal limiting objects: KPZ-equation, Airy sheet.



What other weights lead to shift invariance? We do not know.

We proceed to another world



(still inside Integrable Probability)

Stochastic colored six-vertex model





Six-vertex model configurations: paths on the square grid.

Treat it as white/black model.

Stochastic colored six-vertex model





Six-vertex model configurations: paths on the square grid.

Treat it as white/black model.

Add colors.

















Stochastic colored six-vertex model



Simulation by Leonid Petrov



- [Pauling-1935] Six-vertex (aka square ice) model.
- [Lieb-1967] Bethe ansatz analysis. Residual entropy of ice.
- [Bazhanov–1985], [Jimbo–1986] Colored vertex models
- [Gwa–Spohn-1992] Stochastic version. KPZ class indications.
- [Borodin–Corwin–Gorin-2014] Adaptation of integrable probability methods. Confirmation of KPZ class predictions.
- [Kuniba–Mangazeev–Maruyama–Okado-2016] Stochastic higher rank colored models.



Height function $\mathcal{H}^{\geq i}(x, y)$

Counts the number of paths of colors $\geq i$ to the right/below (x, y).

$$\longleftarrow \mathcal{H}^{\geqslant 2}(x,y)$$
 shown



Theorem. [BGW-19] $\{k_i\}$, $\{U_i\}$, $1 \le \iota \le n$, $\Delta > 0$. Set

$$k_i' = egin{cases} k_i, & i
eq \iota, \ k_\iota + \Delta, & i = \iota, \end{cases} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{U}_i' = egin{cases} \mathcal{U}_i, & i
eq \iota \ \mathcal{U}_\iota + (0, \Delta), & i = \iota. \end{cases}$$

Under intersection condition, we have:

$$(\mathcal{H}^{\geqslant k_1}(\mathcal{U}_1), \ldots, \mathcal{H}^{\geqslant k_n}(\mathcal{U}_n)) \stackrel{d}{=} (\mathcal{H}^{\geqslant k'_1}(\mathcal{U}'_1), \ldots, \mathcal{H}^{\geqslant k'_n}(\mathcal{U}'_n))$$



• Consider inhomogeneous model based on q, $\{v_x\}$, $\{u_y\}$:

$$b_1(x,y) = q \frac{u_y - v_x}{u_y - qv_x}, \qquad b_2(x,y) = \frac{u_y - v_x}{u_y - qv_x}$$

- Polynomial identity: need to check at enough points.
- When $v_x = u_y$, behavior at (x, y)-vertex trivializes: only turns.
- In such situations Yang-Baxter equation relates the weighted sums to the ones available by induction assumption.



- Our proof:
 - Lagrange interpolation + Yang-Baxter equation.



Our proof:

• Lagrange interpolation + Yang-Baxter equation.

Later alternative (still integrable!):

- (Davergne-20+) Last Passage Percolation case: symmetries of Robinson–Schenstead–Knuth correspondence
- (Galashin-20+) 6v case: Hecke algebras and two reflections.



Our proof:

• Lagrange interpolation + Yang-Baxter equation.

Later alternative (still integrable!):

- (Davergne-20+) Last Passage Percolation case: symmetries of Robinson–Schenstead–Knuth correspondence
- (Galashin-20+) 6v case: Hecke algebras and two reflections.

Is there anything simpler or more conceptual?

Is there a simpler proof?



In general, we do not know. But if one cares only about covariance...

$$\mathcal{H}_t = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}_{xx} + p(x-y,t)\cdot\eta, \qquad \mathcal{H}(x,0) = 0,$$

y is a parameter, η is the 2d white noise, and

$$p(x-y,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-y)^2}{2t}\right).$$

Proposition. For $\Delta > 0$: $\mathcal{H}^{(y)}(x, T) \stackrel{d}{=} \mathcal{H}^{(y+\Delta)}(x + \Delta, T)$, jointly with any $\mathcal{H}^{(y')}(x', T)$ for $\begin{cases} y' < y, x' > x + \Delta, & or \\ y' > y + \Delta, x' < x. \end{cases}$

$$\mathcal{H}_t = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}_{xx} + p(x-y,t)\cdot\eta, \qquad \mathcal{H}(x,0) = 0,$$

y is a parameter, η is the 2d white noise, and

$$p(x-y,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-y)^2}{2t}\right).$$

Proposition. For $\Delta > 0$: $\mathcal{H}^{(y)}(x, T) \stackrel{d}{=} \mathcal{H}^{(y+\Delta)}(x + \Delta, T)$, jointly with any $\mathcal{H}^{(y')}(x', T)$ for $\begin{bmatrix} y' < y, x' > x + \Delta, & or \\ y' > y + \Delta, x' < x. \end{bmatrix}$

Proof. \mathcal{H} is an explicit Gaussian:

$$\mathcal{H}^{(y)}(x,T) = \int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^\infty p(z-y,s)p(x-z,T-s)\eta(z,s)\,dz\,ds$$

$$\mathcal{H}_t = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}_{xx} + p(x-y,t)\cdot\eta, \qquad \mathcal{H}(x,0) = 0,$$

y is a parameter, η is the 2d white noise, and

$$p(x-y,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-y)^2}{2t}\right).$$

Proposition. For $\Delta > 0$: $\mathcal{H}^{(y)}(x, T) \stackrel{d}{=} \mathcal{H}^{(y+\Delta)}(x + \Delta, T)$, jointly with any $\mathcal{H}^{(y')}(x', T)$ for $\begin{bmatrix} y' < y, x' > x + \Delta, & or \\ y' > y + \Delta, x' < x. \end{bmatrix}$

Proof. \mathcal{H} is an explicit Gaussian:

$$\mathcal{H}^{(y)}(x,T) = \int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^\infty p(z-y,s)p(x-z,T-s)\eta(z,s)\,dz\,ds$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}\mathcal{H}^{(y)}(x,T)\mathcal{H}^{(y')}(x',T) = \int_0^T \int_{-\infty}^\infty p(z-y,s)p(x-z,T-s)p(z-y',s)p(x'-z,T-s)\,dz\,ds$$

$$\mathcal{H}_t = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}_{xx} + p(x - y, t) \cdot \eta, \qquad \mathcal{H}(x, 0) = 0,$$

Hence, $\mathbb{E}\mathcal{H}^{(y)}(x, T)\mathcal{H}^{(y')}(x', T) =$

expected intersection local time of two Brownian bridges



$$\mathcal{H}_t = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}_{xx} + p(x - y, t) \cdot \eta, \qquad \mathcal{H}(x, 0) = 0,$$

Hence, $\mathbb{E}\mathcal{H}^{(y)}(x, T)\mathcal{H}^{(y')}(x', T) =$

expected intersection local time of two Brownian bridges





Theorem. The expected local time the Brownian bridge from *a* to *b* spends at level *c* is independent of *c* as long as a < c < b.

Simplest case: additive SHE Eventually, shift invariance for additive SHE becomes: cat = 1

Theorem. The expected local time the Brownian bridge from *a* to *b* spends at level *c* is independent of *c* as long as a < c < b.

In fact, the law of the local time at c is known:

$$\sim (|c-a|+|c-b|+y) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}(|c-a|+|c-b|+y)^2\right) dy, \qquad y>0.$$

[Ray-63], [Williams-74], [Biane-Yor-88], [Borodin-89], [Pitman-99]

Back to the six-vertex model



Theorem. The expected local time the Brownian bridge from *a* to *b* spends at level *c* is independent of *c* as long as a < c < b.

Theorem. [BGW-19] Discrete versions of this statement hold and generalize up to the level of the six-vertex model paths.

- Can be used to prove shift invariance of covariances.
- However, high enough in the hierarchy, the models are non-gaussian!

Summary of shift invariance







- Joint laws invariant with respect to partial shifts.
- Access to new distributions.
- Proof for covariance: shift invariance for local times.
- Proof for law: inhomogeneity / polynomiality / Yang-Baxter
- Integrable Probability ties all models together.